

Greater Cambridge Partnership

MAKING CONNECTIONS

Appendix S: Supplementary Economic Tables



Greater Cambridge Partnership

MAKING CONNECTIONS

Appendix S: Supplementary Economic Tables

TYPE OF DOCUMENT (VERSION) PUBLIC

PROJECT NO. 70101339

DATE: AUGUST 2023

WSP

WSP House 70 Chancery Lane London WC2A 1AF Phone: +44 20 7314 5000

WSP.com







QUALITY CONTROL

Issue/revision	First issue	Revision 1	Revision 2	Revision 3
Remarks	Prepared for Interim Publication			
Date	24/08/2023			
Prepared by	Eric Norton			
Signature				
Checked by				
Signature				
Authorised by				
Signature				
Project number				
Report number				
File reference				







CONTENTS

DS1: CONSULTATION/SCENARIO 2	1
ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY OF THE TRANSPORT SYSTEM (TEE)	1
PUBLIC ACCOUNTS (PA) TABLE	2
ANALYSIS OF MONETISED COSTS AND BENEFITS	3
DS7: SCENARIO 1	4
ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY OF THE TRANSPORT SYSTEM (TEE)	4
PUBLIC ACCOUNTS (PA) TABLE	5
ANALYSIS OF MONETISED COSTS AND BENEFITS	6
DS8: SCENARIO 3	7
ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY OF THE TRANSPORT SYSTEM (TEE)	7
PUBLIC ACCOUNTS (PA) TABLE	8
ANALYSIS OF MONETISED COSTS AND BENEFITS	9
APPRAISAL SUMMARY TABLES	10
CONSULTATION SCHEME	11
SCENARIO 1	12
SCENARIO 2	13
SCENARIO 3	14





DS1: CONSULTATION/SCENARIO 2

ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY OF THE TRANSPORT SYSTEM (TEE)

<u>Non-business:</u> Commuting	ALL MODES		ROAD		BUS and COACH	RAIL		OTHER
User benefits	TOTAL		Private Car	s and LGVs	Passengers	Passenger	5	
Travel time	536.4		445. <mark>4</mark>		9 <mark>1</mark> .0	0.0		0.0
Vehicle operating costs	40.8		40.8					0.0
User charges	-570.2	1	-593.0		22.8	0.0		0.0
During Construction & Maintenance	0.0	-	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0
NET NON-BUSINESS BENEFITS:	7.0	(<mark>1</mark> a)	-106.9		113.8	0.0		0.0
<u>Non-business:</u> <u>Other</u>	ALL MODES	- 2	ROAD		BUS and COACH	RAIL		OTHER
<u>User benefits</u>	TOTAL		Private Car	s and LGVs	Passengers	Passenger	5	-
Travel time	398. <mark>3</mark>		272.3		126.0	0.0		0.0
Vehicle operating costs	67.2		67.2					0.0
User charges	-630. <mark>4</mark>		-759.0		128.6	0.0		0.0
During Construction & Maintenance	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0
NET NON-BUSINESS BENEFITS: OTHER	- <mark>1</mark> 64.9	(1b)	-419.4		254.5	0.0		0.0
<u>Business</u> User benefits		1	Goods Vehicles	Business Cars & LGVs	Passengers	Freight	Passenger s	
Travel time Vehicle operating	306.9		223.6	64.7	<mark>18.6</mark>	0.0	0.0	0.0
costs	44.3		37.4	6.9				0.0
User charges	-1089.1]	-959.9	-140.2	11.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
During Construction & Maintenance	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Subtotal	-737.9	-2	-698.9	- <mark>68.6</mark>	29.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Private sector provider						Facialit	Passenger	
impacts Revenue	0	1			0	Freight 0	s	0
Operating costs	0				0	0	0	0
Investment costs	0				0	0	0	0
Grant/subsidy	0				0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0.0	-3			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other business impacts		•						
Developer	1.2	-4		0	0		0	0
contributions	0 -737.9	-4 (5) = (2) +						
TOTAL Present Value of Transport Economic Efficiency Benefits (TEE)	-895.9 Notes: Bene	(6) = (1a) + efits appear a	- <i>(1b)</i> + <i>(5)</i> s positive nu		costs appear as n , in 2010 prices		bers.	

Making Connections Project No.: 70101339 Greater Cambridge Partnership







PUBLIC ACCOUNTS (PA) TABLE

	ALL MODES	ROAD	BUS and COACH	RAIL	DTHER
Local Government	TOTAL	NEDACTOUCTUDE			
Funding	-2282.4	INFRASTRUCTURE		1 г	
Revenue		-2174.1	-108.4	ł	171
Operating Costs	1067.0	152.9	742.3		1/1
nvestment Costs	52.1	52.1	0.0		
Developer and Other Contributions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Grant/Subsidy Payments	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
NET IMPACT	- 1163.4 -7.0	-1969.2	633.9	0.0	171.
Central Government Fu	ndina:				
Transport	nung.				
Revenue	0	0		[
Operating costs	0	0		Γ	
nvestment Costs	0	0			
Developer and Other		÷			
Contributions	0	0	0		
Grant/Subsidy Payments		0	0)
NET IMPACT	0-8	0	0	0	
Central Government Fu	nding: Non-				
Transport					
Indirect Tax Revenues	-259.1 -9	-241.8	-17.3	0	
TOTALS_ Broad Transport					
Budget	-1163.4 (10) =	(7) + (8)			
Nider Public Finances	-259.1 (11) =				
inder Fublic Finances		(5)			
	Notos: Costs appoar a	s positivo pumbors, while revenu	es and 'Developer and Other Contributi	and appear as pogative num	bors
	moles. Justs appeal a	a positive numbers, while revenue	es and Developer and Other Contributio	appear as negative nun	ibera.





ANALYSIS OF MONETISED COSTS AND BENEFITS

Noise	х	-12
Local Air Quality	х	-13
Greenhouse Gases	<mark>51.</mark> 8	-14
Journey Quality		-15
Physical Activity	393.0	-16
Accidents	150.2	-17
Economic Efficiency: Consumer Users (Commuting)	7.0	(1a)
Economic Efficiency: Consumer Users (Other)	-164.9	(1b)
Economic Efficiency: Business Users and Providers	-737.9	-5
Wider Public Finances (Indirect Taxation Revenues)	-259.1	- (11) - sign changed from PA table, as PA table represents costs, not benefits
Present Value of Benefits (see notes) (PVB)	-560.0	(PVB) = (12) + (13) + (14) + (15) + (16) + (17) + (1a) + (1b) + (5) - (11)
Broad Transport Budget	-1163.4	-10
Present Value of Costs (see notes) (PVC)	-1163.4	(PVC) = (10)
OVERALL IMPACTS		
Net Present Value (NPV)	603.4	NPV=PVB-PVC
Benefit to Cost Ratio (BCR)	0.5	BCR=PVB/PVC

Note : This table includes costs and benefits which are regularly or occasionally presented in monetised form in transport appraisals, together with some where monetisation is in prospect. There may also be other significant costs and benefits, some of which cannot be presented in monetised form. Where this is the case, the analysis presented above does NOT provide a good measure of value for money and should not be used as the sole basis for decisions.





DS7: SCENARIO 1

ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY OF THE TRANSPORT SYSTEM (TEE)

Non-business: Commuting	ALL MODES		ROAD		BUS and COACH	RAIL		OTHER
User benefits	TOTAL		Private Car	s and LGVs	Passengers	Passengers	6	
Travel time	442.4		389.9		52.5	0.0		0.0
Vehicle operating costs	30.8		30.8					0.0
User charges	- <mark>4</mark> 87.3		-511.9		24.6	0.0		0.0
During Construction & Maintenance	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0
NET NON-BUSINESS	0.0	4	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0
BENEFITS:	-14.1	(1a)	-91.2		77.0	0.0		0.0
Non-business: Other	ALL MODES		ROAD		BUS and COACH	RAIL		OTHER
User benefits	TOTAL		Private Car	s and LGVs	Passengers	Passengers	6	
Travel time	235.3		181.4		53.9	0.0		0.0
Vehicle operating costs	27.2		27.2					0.0
User charges	-267.5		-389.1		121.6	0.0		0.0
During Construction	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0
NET NON-BUSINESS BENEFITS: OTHER	-5.1	(1b)	-180.6		175.5	0.0		0.0
User benefits			Goods Vehicles	Business Cars & LGVs	Passengers	Freight	Passenger s	
Travel time	219. <mark>4</mark>		158.3	49.9	11.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Vehicle operating costs	32.0		26.9	5.1				0.0
User charges	-571.2		-497.3	-84.8	11.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
During Construction	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Subtotal	-319.7	-2	-312.1	-29.8	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Private sector provider			L	2			Passenger	
mpacts	0				0	Freight 0	s	0
Revenue Operating costs	0				0	0	0	0
Investment costs	0				0	0	0	0
Grant/subsidy					0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0.0	-3			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other business mpacts								
Developer contributions	0	-4		0	0		0	0
MPACT	-319.7	(5) = (2) +	(3) + (4)		15			
FOTAL Present Value of Fransport Economic Efficiency Benefits (TEE)	Notes: Bene		as positive nu		costs appear as n		bers.	
	and values		nacounteu pi	osent values	, in 2010 prices	~		

Making Connections Project No.: 70101339 Greater Cambridge Partnership







PUBLIC ACCOUNTS (PA) TABLE

	ALL MODES	ROAD	BUS and COACH	RAIL	OTHER
Local Government		inone and in the second s		ion.	o men
Funding	TOTAL	INFRASTRUCTURE	~		
Revenue	- <mark>1359.6</mark>	-1354.9	-4.7		
Operating Costs	594.5	122.1	394.7		77
nvestment Costs	50.0	50.0	0.0	1	
Developer and Other Contributions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8
Grant/Subsidy Payments	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1
NET IMPACT	-715.2 -7	-1182.9	390.0	0.0	77.
Central Government Fu Transport	nding:	<u></u>			
Revenue	0	0			
Operating costs	0	0	-		
nvestment Costs	0	0	1		
Developer and Other Contributions	0	0	0	0	
Grant/Subsidy Payments	0	0	0	0	6
NET IMPACT	0 -8	0	0	0	
Central Government Fu Transport	nding: Non-	2			
Indirect Tax Revenues	-149.7 -9	-148.2	-1.5	0	W
TOTALS_ Broad Transport_ Budget Wider Public Finances	-149.7 (11) = Notes: Costs appear		nues and 'Developer and Other Contrib	utions' appear as negative	numbers.





ANALYSIS OF MONETISED COSTS AND BENEFITS

Noise	x	-12
Local Air Quality	x	-13
Greenhouse Gases	37.8	-14
Journey Quality		-15
Physical Activity	163.3	-16
Accidents	53.8	-17
Economic Efficiency: Consumer Users (Commuting)	-14.1	(1a)
Economic Efficiency: Consumer Users (Other)	-5.1	(1b)
Economic Efficiency: Business Users and Providers	-319.7	-5
Wider Public Finances (Indirect Taxation Revenues)	-149.7	- (11) - sign changed from PA table, as PA table represents costs, not benefits
Present Value of Benefits (see notes) (PVB)	-233.7	(PVB) = (12) + (13) + (14) + (15) + (16) + (17) + (1a) + (1b) + (5) - (11)
Broad Transport Budget	-715.2	-10
Present Value of Costs (see notes) (PVC)	-715.2	(PVC) = (10)
OVERALL IMPACTS		
Net Present Value (NPV)	481.5	NPV=PVB-PVC
Benefit to Cost Ratio (BCR)	0.3	
Benefit to Gost Ratio (BOR)		n newspaper set of the

Note: This table includes costs and benefits which are regularly or occasionally presented in monetised form in transport appraisals, together with some where monetisation is in prospect. There may also be other significant costs and benefits, some of which cannot be presented in monetised form. Where this is the case, the analysis presented above does NOT provide a good measure of value for money and should not be used as the sole basis for decisions.





DS8: SCENARIO 3

ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY OF THE TRANSPORT SYSTEM (TEE)

<u>Non-business:</u> Commuting	ALL		ROAD		BUS and COACH	RAIL		OTHER
User benefits	TOTAL		Private Car	s and LGVs	Passengers	Passenger	s	
Travel time	326.5		294.5		32.1	0.0		0.0
Vehicle operating costs	18.6		18.6					0.0
User charges	-276.9		-301.5		24.6	0.0		0.0
During Construction	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0
NET NON-BUSINESS BENEFITS:	68.2	(1a)	11.6		56.7	0.0		0.0
SENEFITS.	00.2	(14)	11.0		00.7	0.0		0.0
<u>Non-business:</u> Other	ALL MODES		ROAD		BUS and COACH	RAIL		OTHER
User ben <mark>efits</mark>	TOTAL		Private Car	s and LGVs	Passengers	Passenger	S	
Travel time	169.2		143.0		26.2	0.0		0.0
Vehicle operating costs	22.0		22.0					0.0
User charges	-11 <mark>1.</mark> 9	р П	-236.0		124.1	0.0		0.0
During Construction & Maintenance	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0
NET NON-BUSINESS BENEFITS: OTHER	79.3	(1b)	-71.0		150.3	0.0		0.0
<u>User benefits</u> Travel time	164.3		Goods Vehicles	Cars & LGVs 37.8	Passengers	Freight	Passenger s	0.0
Travel time	Carteria de Cartos		<mark>119.3</mark>	37.8	7.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Vehicle operating costs	24.3		20.3	4.0				0.0
User charges During Construction	-329.4		-290.8	-49.8	1 <mark>1</mark> .2	0.0	0.0	0.0
& Maintenance	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Subtotal	-140.8	-2	- <mark>1</mark> 51.1	-8.0	18.4	0.0	0.0	0_0
Private sector provider						Freight	Passenger s	
Revenue	0				0	0	0	0
Operating costs	0				0	0	0	0
Investment costs	0				0	0	0	0
Grant/subsidy		2			0	0	0	0
Subtotal Other business	0.0	-3			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
impacts								
Developer contributions	0	-4		0	0		0	0
MPACT	-140.8	(5) = (2) +	(3) + (4)					1
TOTAL Present Value of Transport Economic Efficiency Benefits (TEE)	Notes: Bene	fits appear a			costs appear as r , in 2010 <mark>prices</mark>		ibers.	

Making Connections Project No.: 70101339 Greater Cambridge Partnership







PUBLIC ACCOUNTS (PA) TABLE

	MODES	ROAD	BUS and COACH	RAIL	OTHER
<u>_ocal Government</u>	TOTAL	INFRASTRUCTURE			
Revenue	-817.0	-851.6	34.6	Γ	
Operating Costs	486.2	121.9	298.7		65
nvestment Costs	49.9	49.9	0.0		
Developer and Other Contributions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Grant/Subsidy Payments	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
NET IMPACT	-280.8 -7	-679.8	333.3	0.0	65
Revenue	0	0		F	
Central Government Fu	ding				
Operating costs	0	0		t i i	
nvestment Costs	0	0		t t	
Developer and Other Contributions	0	0	0	0	
Grant/Subsidy Payments	0	0	0	0	
NET IMPACT	0 -8	0	0	0	
Central Government Fun Transport Indirect Tax Revenues	nding: Non_ -102.1]-9	-106.2	4.0	0	
TOTALS_ Broad Transport_ Budget Wider Public Finances	-280.8 (10) -102.1 (11)	= (7) + (8) = (9)			
	Service and the service of the servi	r as positive numbers, while reven unted present values in 2010 price	nues and 'Developer and Other Contribu	itions' appear as negative n	umbers.
	All entries are disco	unted present values in 2010 price	es and values.		





ANALYSIS OF MONETISED COSTS AND BENEFITS

Noise	x	-12
Local Air Quality	x	-13
Greenhouse Gases	24.8	-14
Journey Quality		-15
Physical Activity	120.9	-16
Accidents	35.2	-17
Economic Efficiency: Consumer Users (Commuting)	68.2	(1a)
Economic Efficiency: Consumer Users (Other)	79.3	(1b)
Economic Efficiency: Business Users and Providers	-140.8	-5
Wider Public Finances (Indirect Taxation Revenues)	-102.1	- (11) - sign changed from PA table, as PA table represents costs, not benefits
Present Value of Benefits (see notes) (PVB)	85.6	(PVB) = (12) + (13) + (14) + (15) + (16) + (17) + (1a) + (1b) + (5) - (11)
Broad Transport Budget	-280.8	-10
Present Value of Costs (see notes) (PVC)	-280.8	(PVC) = (10)
OVERALL IMPACTS		
Net Present Value (NPV)	366.4	NPV=PVB-PVC
Benefit to Cost Ratio (BCR)	-0.3	BCR=PVB/PVC

Note : This table includes costs and benefits which are regularly or occasionally presented in monetised form in transport appraisals, together with some where monetisation is in prospect. There may also be other significant costs and benefits, some of which cannot be presented in monetised form. Where this is the case, the analysis presented above does NOT provide a good measure of value for money and should not be used as the sole basis for decisions.







APPRAISAL SUMMARY TABLES







CONSULTATION SCHEME

Na	me of scheme:	Consultation Scheme			Name	
Descr	ription of scheme:	7am to 7pm weekdays chargeof £5 for cars (per day) AM Peak 2028, all-day soheme from 2027 or 2028 Maximised upgrade in public transport and sustainable transport m	easures		Organisation Role	Promoter/Official
	Scenario:					
	Impacts	Summary of key impacts		Assessment		
			Quantitative	Qualitative	Monetary £(NPV)	Distributional 7-pt scale/ vulnerable grp
Economy	Business users & transport providers	Large journey time and vehicle operating cost savings are generated, but these are exceeded by values of user charge distensities. This scenario, along with Scenario 2, generate the highest level of business disbenefit	Value of journey time changes(E) 307 Net journey time changes (E) 0 to 2min 2 to 5min		-738	Moderate Benefici (Non-charge elements) Moderate Advers (Charge elements
	Reliability impact on	Business car users and especially freight trips benefit from significant				
	Business users Regeneration	business car users and especially neight this benefit from significant improvements to journey time reliability. Revenue generated will provide opportunity to invest in areas which are in need of regeneration, with reallocation of road space and investment in public spaces making them more attractive places to spend time and improving quality of life and prosperity.		Moderate Beneficial	71	
	Wider Impacts	Enhanced connectivity from decongestion results in productivity gains but output change under imperfect competition has an adverse impact as a result of the net increase in costs of travel.			-47	
Environmental	Noise	The Consultation Scenario (£5 charge all day) results in the greatest number of road links predicted to experience a reduction in noise level	Major beneficial: 8 road links Moderate beneficial: 39 road inks Moderate adverse: 17 road links Major adverse: 8 road links	Moderate Beneficial		Slight Beneficial
Envire	Air Quality	Reductions in traffic will lower emissions within the study area and is anticipated to improve local air quality. This scenario will therefore generate the largest improvements.		Slight Beneficial		Large Beneficia
	Greenhouse gases	Reductions in road traffic and smoother flow of remaining vehicles due to reduced congestion result in lover levels of emissions. This scenario generates the largest emissions reduction.	Change in non-traded carbon over 60y (CO2e) -534 Change in traded carbon over 60y (CO2e) N/A		52	
	Landscape	The Making Connections programme will not directly affect Landscape and so this impact has been considered as neutral for the purposes of this appraisal.		Neutral		
	Townscape	There will be a limited direct effect on Townscape and so this impact has been considered as neutral for the purposes of this appraisal. However, the potential reinvestment it enables, including in public realm measures to support increased active trave, may allow schemes to be progressed which may in turn bring townscape benefits.		Neutral		
	Historic Environment	There will be no direct affect on Historic Heritage and so this impact has	1	Neutral	-	
	Biodiversity	been considered as neutral. There is unlikely to be a significant direct impact on biodiversity and so		Neutral		
	Water Environment	this impact has been considered as neutral. With limited infrastructure requirements, impacts on the water	1	Neutral		
-	Commuting and Other	environment have not been assessed at this stage. Large journey time and vehicle operating cost savings are genearated	Value of journey time	rveuzai	-	
Soci	users	both for car and bus users. Decongestion saves travel time for all modes while bus users also benefit from higher services frequencies and new services.	Value on fourney time changes(£) 935 Net journey time changes (£) 0 to 2min 2 to 5min		1043	Moderate Benefic (Non-charge elements) Moderate Advers (Charge element
	Reliability impact on Commuting and Other users	Commuters travelling at the busiest times will experience large improvements in journey time reliability. Traffic levels are reduced throughout the 7AM to 7PM period generating improved reliability for all road users.			76	
	Physical activity	Mode shift from car to sustainable modes results in higher levels of physical activity and generates health benefits. This value reflects only the impact of the area charge scheme, with no specific active mode measures currently represented.			<mark>39</mark> 3	
	Journey quality	Sides than 10.000 travelies are expected to benefit from improved pummy quality as a result of improved bias services, lass coopstated therefore less stressful car taxels and improved measures for active travel. However, elements of this are yet to be defined and have not been assessed in detail.		Moderate Beneficial		
	Accidents	Reductions in car vehicle kilometres will lead to lower accident numbers. This value doesn't include any allowance for investment of revenue in safety measures of reassignment of road space for active modes.			150	Moderate Benefic
	Security	A wide range of impacts have been assessed across all modes, ranging from neutral to moderate beneficial. No adverse impacts have been forecast for any user group.		Slight Beneficial		Slight to Moderat Beneficial
	Access to services	Overall, the programme is considered to have a moderate to large beneficial effect in terms of accessibility due to the significant improvements coming forward to the public transport and active travel network. The scale of the effect is likely to vary depending upon the amount of revenue which is available to fund improvements to public transport and active travel.		Large Beneficial		Large Beneficial
	Affordability	The user charge will make trips less affordable though this values doesn't take into account the effect of discounts, exemptions and reimbursements (DERS) such as face days, or discounts for low income groups and local businesses. Bus users will experience improved affordability as faces are reduced. The ail-day charge scenarios have the largest affordability impact. Though the monetised value is negative, once DERs are accounted for a slight beneficial impact is anticipated.		Slight Beneficial	-1720	Slight beneficial
	Severance	Slight beneficial effects are expected due to improvements to footways and cycles and reductions in vehicluar traffic which would decrease the impact of severance.		Slight Beneficial		Neutral
	Option and non-use values	There will be a step change in public transport services provided, and more households will have access to the bus network. Improvements to the active travel network and wider measures are being considered to adde behaviour changes to create more opportunities for travel on currently under-served routes.		Moderate Beneficial		
Public Accoun ts	Cost to Broad Transport Budget	Income from revenues exceeds costs of implementation and operation, leaving a revenue surplus			-1163	
	Indirect Tax Revenues	Mode shift from car to sustainable modes results in a reduction in tax			-259	





SCENARIO 1

-	Summary Table		THE STATE	3		
	me of scheme: ription of scheme:	Scenario 1 AM and PM weekdays chargeof £5 for cars (per day) Addenbrooke's/Royal Papworth visitors and patients free Small vans charged the same as cars			Name Organisation Role	Promoter/Official
	Scenario:	Reduced upgrade in public transport and sustainable transport mea	asures		Role	Promoter/Official
	Scenario:					
	Impacts	Summary of key impacts	Quantitative	Assessment Qualitative	Monetary £(NPV)	Distributional 7-pt scale/ vulnerable grp
Есопоту	Business users & transport providers	Large journey time and vehicle operating cost savings are generated, but these are exceeded by values of user charge disbenefits. This scenario, generates a significantly reduced level of business disbenefit relative to the all-day charge scenarios.	Value of journey time changes(E) 219 Net journey time changes (E) 0 to 2min 2 to 5min > 5min		-320	Moderate Beneficia (Non-charge elemen Moderate Adverse (Charge elements
	Reliability impact on Business users Regeneration	Business car users and especially freight trips benefit from significant improvements to journey time reliability. Revenue generated will provide opportunity to invest in areas which are in need of regeneration, with reallocation of road space and investment in public spaces making them more attractive places to spend time and improving quality of life and prosperity.		Moderate Beneficial	44	
	Wider Impacts	Enhanced connectivity from decongestion results in productivity gains but output change under imperfect competition has an adverse impact as a result of the net increase in costs of travel.			-15	
Environmental	Noise	Assessment of noise is based on Scenario 2. Impacts of Scenario 1 would be proportionally lower relative to the changes in traffic levels induced.		Moderate Beneficial		Slight Beneficial
Enviro	Air Quality	Reductions in traffic will lower emissions within the study area and is anticipated to improve local air quality. This scenario will therefore generate an intermediate level of improvement.		Slight Beneficial		Large Beneficial
	Greenhouse gases	Reductions in road traffic and smoother flow of remaining wehcles due to reduced congestion result in lower levels of emissions. This scenario generates a slightly reduced emissions reduction relative to the all-day charge scheme.	Change in non-traded carbon over 60y (CO2e)		38	
	Landscape	The Making Connections programme will not directly affect Landscape and so this impact has been considered as neutral for the purposes of this appraisal.		Neutral		
	Townscape	There will be a limited direct effect on Townscape and so this impact has been considered as neutral for the purposes of this appraisal. However, the potential reinvestment it enables, including in public realm measures to support increased active traver, may allow schemes to be progressed which may in turn bring townscape benefits.		Neutral		
	Historic Environment	There will be no direct affect on Historic Heritage and so this impact has		Neutral	7	
	Biodiversity	been considered as neutral. There is unlikely to be a significant direct impact on biodiversity and so this impact has been considered as neutral.		Neutral		
	Water Environment	With limited infrastructure requirements, impacts on the water environment have not been assessed at this stage.		Neutral		
Social	Commuting and Other users	Large journey time and vehicle operating cost savings are generarized both for car and bus users. Becongression saves travel time for all modes while bus users also benefit from higher services frequencies and new services.	Value of journey time changes(E) 678 Net journey time changes (E) 0 to 2min 2 to 5min > 5min		736	Moderate Benefici (Non-charge elemer Moderate Adverse (Charge elements
	Reliability impact on Commuting and Other users	Commuters traveling at the busiest times retain large improvements in journey time reliability, while interpeak impacts which are lost are less significant.			66	
	Physical activity	Mode shift from car to sustainable modes results in higher levels of physical activity and generates health benefits. This value reflects only the impact of the area charge scheme, with no specific active mode measures currently represented.			163	
	Journey quality	More than 10.000 travelers are expected to benefit from improved journey quality as a result of myored bus services. Just congreted and therefore less stressful car travel and improved measures for active travel. However, elements of this are yet to be defined and have not been assessed in detail.		Moderate Beneficial		
	Accidents	Reductions in car vehicle kilometres will lead to lower accident numbers. This value doesn't include any allowance for investment of revenue in safety measures of reassignment of road space for active modes.			54	Moderate Benefici
	Security	A wide range of impacts have been assessed across all modes, ranging from neutral to moderate beneficial. No adverse impacts have been forecast for any user group.		Slight Beneficial		Slight to Moderate Beneficial
	Access to services	Overall, the programme is considered to have a moderate to large beneficial effect in terms of accessibility due to the significant improvements coming flowrard to the public transport and active travel network. The scale of the effect is likely to vary depending upon the amount of revenue which is available to fund improvements to public transport and active travel.		Large Beneficial		Large Beneficial
	Affordability	The user charge will make trips less affordable though this values doesn't take into account the effect of discounts, exemptions and reimbursements (DERS) such as face days, or discounts for low income groups and local businesses. Bus users will experience improved affordability as faces are reduced. Removal of charges from the interpeak period considerably reduces this impact. Though the moneties value is negative, once DERs are accounted for a slight beneficial impact is anticipated.		Sight Beneficial	-755	Slight beneficial
	Severance	Slight beneficial effects are expected due to improvements to footways and cycles and reductions in vehicluar traffic which would decrease the impact of severance.		Slight Beneficial		Neutral
	Option and non-use values	There will be a step change in public transport services provided, and more households will have access to the bus network. Improvements to the active travel network and wider measures are being considered to aide behaviour changes to create more opportunities for travel on currently under-served routes.		Moderate Beneficial		





SCENARIO 2

_		Scenario 2		2		
	Name of scheme: scription of scheme:	Scenario 2 7am to 7pm weekdays charge of £5 for cars (per day) 180 free days for first two years of STZ, 100 free days for 2028, 50 Maximised upgrade in public transport and sustainable transport me			Name Organisatie Role	Promoter/Official
	Scenario:					
	Impacts	Summary of key impacts		Assessment		
			Quantitative	Qualitative	Monetary £(NPV)	Distributional 7-pt scale/ vulnerable grp
Economy	Business users & transport providers	Large journey time and vehicle operating oost savings are generated, but these are exceeded by values of user charge disbenefits. This scenario, along with the Consultation Scenario, generate the highest level of business disbenefit	Value of journey time changes(E) 307 Net journey time changes (E) 0 to 2min 2 to 5min > 5min		-738	Moderate Beneficia (Non-charge element Moderate Adverse (Charge elements)
	Reliability impact on	Business car users and especially freight trips benefit from significant			71	-
	Business users Regeneration	Improvements to journey time reliability. Revenue generated will provide opportunity to invest in areas which are in need of regeneration, with reallocation of road space and investment in public spaces making them more attractive places to spend time and improving quality of life and prosperity.		Moderate Beneficial		
	Wider Impacts	Enhanced connectivity from decongestion results in productivity gains but output change under imperfect competition has an adverse impact as a result of the net increase in costs of travel.			-47	0
Environmental	Noise	Scenario 2 (ES charge all day) results in the greatest number of road links predicted to experience a reduction in noise level	Major beneficial: 8 road links Moderate beneficial: 39 road inks Moderate adverse: 17 road links Major adverse: 8 road links	Moderate Beneficial		Slight Beneficial
Envir	Air Quality	Reductions in traffic will lower emissions within the study area and is anticipated to improve local air quality. This scenario will therefore generate the largest improvements.		Slight Beneficial		Large Beneficial
	Greenhouse gases	Reductions in road traffic and smoother flow of remaining vehicles due to reduced congestion result in love levels of emissions. This scenario generates the largest emissions reduction.	Change in non-traded carbon over 80y (CO2e) -534 Change in traded carbon over 80y (CO2e) N/A		52	
	Landscape	The Making Connections programme will not directly affect Landscape and so this impact has been considered as neutral for the purposes of this appraisal.		Neutral		
	Townscape	There will be a limited direct effect on Townscape and so this impact has been considered as neutral for the purposes of this appraisal. However, the potential relivestment it enables, including in public realm measures to support increased active trave, may allow schemes to be progressed which may in turn bring townscape benefits.		Neutral		
	Historic Environment	There will be no direct affect on Historic Heritage and so this impact has been considered as neutral.		Neutral		9
	Biodiversity	There is unlikely to be a significant direct impact on biodiversity and so this impact has been considered as neutral.	j.	Neutral		
	Water Environment	With limited infrastructure requirements, impacts on the water environment have not been assessed at this stage.		Neutral		v.
Social	Commuting and Other users	Large journey time and vehicle operating cost savings are generated both for car and box users. Decongestion saves travel time for all modes while bus users also benefit from higher services frequencies and new services.	Value of journey time changes(E) 935 Net journey time changes (E) 0 to 2min 2 to 5min > 5min		1043	Moderate Beneficia (Non-charge element Moderate Adverse (Charge elements)
	Reliability impact on Commuting and Other users	Commuters traveling at the busiest times will experience large r mprovements in journey time reliability. Traffic levels are reduced throughout the 7AM to 7PM period generating improved reliability for all road users.			76	
	Physical activity	Mode shift from car to sustainable modes results in higher levels of physical activity and generates health benefits. This value reflects only the impact of the area charge scheme, with no specific active mode measures currently represented.			393	3
Public Account ts	Journey quality	More than 10,000 travelers are expected to benefit from improved journey quality as a result of improved bus services, less congested and therefore less stread luca travel and improved measures for active travel. However, elements of his are yet to be defined and have not been assessed in defail.		Moderate Beneficial		
	Accidents	Reductions in car vehicle kilometres will lead to lower accident numbers. This value doesn't include any allowance for investment of revenue in safety measures of reassignment of road space for active modes.			150	Moderate Beneficia
	Security	A wide range of impacts have been assessed across all modes, ranging from neutral to moderate beneficial. No adverse impacts have been forecast for any user group.		Slight Beneficial		Slight to Moderate Beneficial
	Access to services	Overall, the programme is considered to have a moderate to large beneficial effect in terms of accessibility due to the significant improvements coming forward to the public transport and active travel network. The scale of the effect is likely to vary depending upon the amount of revenue which is available to fund improvements to public transport and active travel.		Large Beneficial		Large Beneficial
	Affordability	The user charge will make trips less affordable though this values doesn't take into account the effect of discounts, exemptions and reimbursement (DERs) such as free days, or discounts for low income groups and local businesses. Bus users will experience improved affordability as fares are reduced. The ail-day charge scenarios have the largest affordability impact. Though the monetised value is negative, once DERs are accounted for a slight beneficial impact is anticipated.		Slight Beneficial	-1720	Slight beneficial
	Severance	Slight beneficial effects are expected due to improvements to footways and cycles and reductions in vehicluar traffic which would decrease the impact of severance.		Slight Beneficial		Neutral
	Option and non-use values	There will be a step change in public transport services provided, and more households will have access to the bus network. Improvements to the active travel network and wider measures are being considered to aide behaviour changes to create more opportunities for travel on		Moderate Beneficial		
		currently under-served routes.				





SCENARIO 3

Na	ime of scheme:	Scenario 3			Name	
	ription of scheme:	AM and PM weekdays chargeof £3 for cars (per day) Addenbrooke's/Royal Papworth visitors and patients free, 100 free	days 2027 and 2028		Organisation	
		Lowest level of upgrade in public transport and sustainable transpo			Role	Promoter/Official
	Scenario:]	
	Impacts	Summary of key impacts		Assessment	T - 24 G - 200	11 - Second 15 - 16
			Quantitative	Qualitative	Monetary £(NPV)	Distributional 7-pt scale/ vulnerable grp
Economy	Business users & transport providers	Large journey time and vehicle operating cost savings are generated, but these are exceeded by values of user charge disbenefits. This scenario, generates the lowest level of business disbenefit	Value of journey time changes(E) 164			Moderate Benefici
ů			Net journey time changes (£) 0 to 2min 2 to 8min > 5min		-141	(Non-charge elemer Moderate Adverse (Charge elements
	Reliability impact on	Business car users and especially freight trips benefit from significant			34	
	Business users Regeneration	improvements to journey time reliability. Revenue generated will provide opportunity to invest in areas which are			34	
		In need of regeneration, with reallocation of road space and investment in public spaces making them more attractive places to spend time and improving quality of life and prosperity.		Moderate Beneficial		
	Wider Impacts	Enhanced connectivity from decongestion results in productivity gains but output change under imperfect competition has an adverse impact as a result of the net increase in costs of travel.			16	
Environmental	Noise	Scenario 3 results in the lowest number of road links predicted to experience a reduction in noise level		Moderate Beneficial		Slight Beneficial
Enviro	Air Quality	Reductions in traffic will lower emissions within the study area and is anticipated to improve local air quality. This scenario will therefore generate the smallest improvements.		Slight Beneficial		Large Beneficial
	Greenhouse gases	Reducions in road traffic and smoother flow of remaining vehicles due to reduced congestion result in lower levels of emissions. This scenario generates the lowest level of emissions reduction.	Change in non-traded carbon tBC over 80y (CO2e) TBC Change in traded carbon over 80y (CO2e) N/A		25	
	Landscape	The Making Connections programme will not directly affect Landscape and so this impact has been considered as neutral for the purposes of this appraisal.		Neutral		1
	Townscape	There will be a limited direct effect on Townscape and so this impact has				
		been considered as neutral for the purposes of this appraisal. However, the potential reinvestment it enables, including in public realm measures to support increased active travel, may allow schemes to be progressed which may in turn bring townscape benefits.		Neutral		
	Historic Environment	There will be no direct affect on Historic Heritage and so this impact has		Neutral		
	Biodiversity	been considered as neutral. There is unlikely to be a significant direct impact on biodiversity and so.		Neutral		
	Water Environment	this impact has been considered as neutral. With limited infrastructure requirements, impacts on the water		Neutral		
a	Commuting and Other	environment have not been assessed at this stage. Large journey time and vehicle operating cost savings are genearated	Value of journey time 408			8
Social	users	both for car and bus users. Decongestion saves travel time for all modes while bus users also benefit from higher services frequencies and new services.	Value of journey time changes(E) 498 Net journey time changes (E) 0 to 2min 2 to 3min > 5min		536	Moderate Benefici (Non-charge elemen Moderate Adversi (Charge elements
	Reliability impact on Commuting and Other users	Commuters traveling at the busiest times retain most of the improvements in journey time reliability, while interpeak impacts which are lost are less significant.			53	*
	Physical activity	Mode shift from car to sustainable modes results in higher levels of physical activity and generates health benefits. This value reflects only the impact of the area charge scheme, with no specific active mode measures currently represented.			121	
	Journey quality	More than 10,000 travellers are expected to benefit from improved			-	
		journey quality as a result of improved bus services, less congested and therefore less stressful car travel and improved measures for active travel. However, elements of this are yet to be defined and have not been assessed in detail.		Moderate Beneficial		
	Accidents	Reductions in car vehicle kilometres will lead to lower accident numbers. This value doesn't include any allowance for investment of revenue in safety measures of reassignment of road space for active modes.		3	35	Moderate Benefici
	Security	A wide range of impacts have been assessed across all modes, ranging from neutral to moderate beneficial. No adverse impacts have been forecast for any user group.		Slight Beneficial		Slight to Moderate Beneficial
	Access to services	Overall, the programme is considered to have a moderate to large beneficial effect in terms of accessibility due to the significant improvements coming forward to the public transport and active travel network. The scale of the effect is likely to vary depending upon the amount of revenue which is available to fund improvements to public transport and active travel.		Moderate Beneficial		Moderate Benefici
	Alfordability	The user charge will make trips less affordable though this values doesn't take into account the effect of discounts, exemptions and reimbursements (DERs) such as the edga, or discounts for low income groups and local businesses. Bus users will experience improved affordability as there are recticued. Removal of charges from the interpeak period and lowering the charge considerably reduce this impact.		Slight Beneficial	-389	Slight beneficial
	Severance	Though the monetised value is negative, once DERs are accounted for a slight beneficial impact is anticipated. Slight beneficial effects are expected due to improvements to footways	,			
	Option and non-use	and cycles and reductions in vehicluar traffic which would decrease the impact of severance. There will be a step change in public transport services provided, and		Slight Beneficial		Neutral
	values	more households will have access to the bus network. Improvements to the active travel network and wider measures are being opositiered to aide behaviour changes to create more opportunities for travel on currently under-served routes.		Moderate Beneficial		
Public Accoun ts	Cost to Broad Transpor Budget	rt Income from revenues exceeds costs of implementation and operation,			-281	

WSP House 70 Chancery Lane London WC2A 1AF

wsp.com