

Cambridgeshire County Council Record of Decision

Decision taken by an officer of the Council

Title of decision	PR1094 Traffic Regulation Order objections associated with proposed traffic calming in Stapleford and Great Shelford and proposed installation of pedestrian and cycling crossings and shared use paths in Great Shelford and Stapleford.
Date decision taken	30 July 2026
Decision maker	Peter Blake (service director of GCP)
Details of decision taken	After a review of the details of the proposed scheme and taking into account the written representation received, the Interim Director of GCP, in conjunction with Councillors Damary-Homan and Fane, decided that the proposals should be implemented as published. The objectors and others who submitted written representations will be informed of the outcome accordingly.
Reasons for taking decision	• There was discussion about the narrowing of the carriageway and priority measure being unsafe and causing congestion on Hinton Way. The scheme has been designed in accordance with LTN 1/20. The narrowing is required as an additional safety feature to reduce speeds and increase intervisibility between those using the crossing and other highway users. • Objections were raised about the number of speed humps. The speed humps are in accordance with LTN 1/20 and the Highways Road Hump Regulations 1996, they are necessary to ensure motorists conform to the 20mph speed limit throughout Mingle Lane and Church Street. • There was discussion around the proposed signalised crossing over London Rd and that it should be relocated. A wider toucan crossing over London Rd will be provided. However, there is no opportunity to relocate or widen the signalised crossing further due to the proximity of driveway access to residential properties, which constrains the room required to widen or relocate the signalised crossing. Moving the crossing would see it being relocated significantly

	away from the junction of London Rd and Church St, due to the bend that is located to the east of the junction.
Options considered	Please see above – ‘Reason for taking decision’
Sufficient budgetary provision	Yes, included in budget for Melbourn Greenway from the Greater Cambridge City Deal.
Equality and Diversity Implications	No significant implications.
Details of any conflict of interests declared	No conflicts of interest.
Details of any disclosable pecuniary interest or non-statutory disclosable interest declared	No pecuniary interests or non-statutory disclosable interest declared.