Support	Theme and number of times codes were used: positive/supportive comments of proposals	Section 2	Section 3 Option 1	Section 3 Option 2	Section 4 Option 1	Section 4 Option 2	Section 5	Section 6	Section 7 Option 1	Section 7 Option 2	Section 7 Option 3	Section 8	Section 9	Q19
SUP-001	In favour of proposals (Generally)	43	28	22	34	22	13	15	22	13	20	52	29	71
SUP-002	Will improve walking and/or cycling facilities	20	9	4	13	8	3	3	2	8	7	8	2	17
SUP-003	Will encourage me to walk/cycle more	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	6	1	10
SUP-004	Will improve access / give new route options	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5
SUP-005	Will help improve the environment / reduce emissions / pollution	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	10	5	3	7
SUP-006	Will improve access to jobs / employment	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	2
SUP-007	Will improve access to services (e.g. health care / essential shops)	0	3	3	2	0	0	0	6	0	0	1	0	2
SUP-008	Will improve access to education (schools / university)	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1
SUP-009	Will improve bridleways / equestrian facilities	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	2	0
SUP-010	Will improve access to other villages / key locations	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	6	3	1
SUP-011	Will improve safety (generally, inc references to speed limits)	29	24	10	51	34	86	79	23	28	15	17	12	19
SUP-012	Segregation between cyclists / motor vehicles is needed / welcomed	6	5	5	1	2	0	1	0	6	0	22	9	2
SUP-013	Will reduce traffic/congestion	0	2	1	0	0	1	1	21	6	6	0	0	3

Opposition	Theme and Number of Times Codes Were Used: General Negative Comments and Concerns	Section 2	Section 3 Option 1	Section 3 Option 2	Section 4 Option 1	Section 4 Option 2	Section 5	Section 6	Section 7 Option 1	Section 7 Option 2	Section 7 Option 3	Section 8	Section 9	Q19
OPP-001	The scheme is unnecessary / not needed	18	18	17	85	58	18	12	15	16	32	9	3	18
OPP-002	In opposition of proposals (generally)	13	32	35	30	25	1	2	5	8	3	5	0	34
OPP-003	Waste of public funding / money / better spent elsewhere	7	7	3	12	8	5	2	3	3	1	7	2	30
OPP-004	Concerns regarding parking removal	6	31	20	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OPP-005	Scheme favours cyclists over drivers / concern of prioritising cyclists needs over drivers	3	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	4	4	0	0	8
OPP-006	Concerns for vulnerable road users (elderly/disabled)	9	21	14	7	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	17
OPP-007	Opposition based on concerns for safety (generally)	34	40	30	4	3	7	9	51	33	15	17	5	26
OPP-008	Concerns around equestrian users / equestrian provision	10	9	10	9	10	12	10	9	4	7	9	7	9
OPP-009	Environmental concerns	5	3	2	2	0	1	0	0	1	2	14	0	5
OPP-010	Feels the scheme hasn't been thought through/ not suitable /doesn't make sense	9	6	2	1	2	2	0	1	5	5	3	3	12
OPP-011	Concerns around areas of shared-use space	29	25	21	1	0	20	1	0	0	1	2	1	36
OPP-012	Concerns of negative impact on historical routes	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0

OPP-013	Concerns over privacy / noise / construction	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	9	0	9
OPP-014	Concerns relating to existing road conditions i.e., lack of road space, road deemed unsuitable / dangerous for the proposals	13	22	16	0	0	4	1	1	1	7	4	6	5
OPP-015	Concerns over reduction in speed limit	10	1	2	2	0	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
OPP-016	Concerns for the landowner	1	2	2	10	5	0	0	0	3	0	4	0	0
OPP-017	Concerns around relocating/ not relocating the bus stop / bus layby	10	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
OPP-018	Concerns regarding maintenance	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0
OPP-019	Concerns that proposals will increase vehicular traffic/exacerbate conditions	0	4	4	4	0	2	1	11	26	36	0	1	13
OPP-020	Concern for named local business/business/housing area/site	0	3	7	8	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

Suggestion s	Theme and Number of Times Codes Were Used:	Section 2	Section 3 Option 1	Section 3 Option 2	Section 4 Option 1	Section 4 Option 2	Section 5	Section 6	Section 7 Option 1	Section 7 Option 2	Section 7 Option 3	Section 8	Section 9	Q19
	Scheme Suggestions													
SUG-001	Suggests wayfinding / signage / clear markings / no unnecessary signage	14	13	11	10	7	4	2	0	1	0	0	0	4
SUG-002	Suggests lighting / removal of lighting / types of lighting	3	1	1	1	1	13	6	4	3	0	47	15	5
SUG-003	Suggests providing alternative routes / extending route / new route / realignment	19	40	40	16	12	8	9	11	6	3	34	74	115
SUG-004	Suggests greenery / planting	3	5	3	26	14	3	3	5	11	3	26	4	5
SUG-005	Suggests seating	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
SUG-006	Suggests types of material surfacing / improved surface materials	3	2	1	3	2	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	3
SUG-007	Suggests new location for a crossing / to not have a crossing / improved crossing provision	13	12	4	3	1	36	3	7	6	11	0	10	1
SUG-008	Suggests changes to speed limits / to not change speed limits	4	0	0	1	3	7	20	8	4	3	1	2	2
SUG-009	Suggests traffic calming measures / changes to traffic calming measures / modal filters	4	5	2	32	12	11	5	7	4	6	0	4	1
SUG-010	Suggests further segregation between cyclists / motorists / pedestrians / equestrians	94	35	23	8	9	60	59	19	16	6	55	24	56
SUG-011	Suggests need for maintenance / bins	4	1	1	8	3	3	4	2	2	1	2	1	6
SUG-012	Suggests parking/traffic restrictions	3	12	9	14	9	0	1	6	1	2	0	0	4
SUG-013	Suggests parking removal / addition	6	13	6	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
SUG-014	Suggests changes to the wide of the footway / cycleway	7	22	5	3	0	7	8	9	1	1	23	9	15
SUG-015	Suggests colour contrasts	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUG-016	Suggests areas where visibility needs to be improved	8	5	3	1	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
SUG-017	Suggests cycle parking	0	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1

SUG-018	Suggests the addition or removal of one	1	11	2	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	way roads / alteration to roads													
SUG-019	Suggests bridge slope/ gradient	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUG-020	Suggests secutity cameras	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
SUG-021	Suggest specific access for carriage drivers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0

Comments	Theme and Number of Times Codes Were Used: Comments Relating to GCP/CCC	Section 2	Section 3 Option 1	Section 3 Option 2	Section 4 Option 1	Section 4 Option 2	Section 5	Section 6	Section 7 Option 1	Section 7 Option 2	Section 7 Option 3	Section 8	Section 9	Q19
COM-001	General criticism of GCP / CCC	2	1	2	1	0	1	0	2	0	1	3	1	5
COM-002	Criticism of consultation (e.g. will not be listened to / won't make a difference)	1	1	0	0	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	1	2
COM-003	Criticism of consultation materials (e.g. website, leaflet, maps, and info.)	1	4	4	1	1	1	1	4	2	1	1	2	0
COM-004	Criticism of consultation accessibility (e.g. lack of access for those without internet)	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1

Other	Theme and Number of Times Codes were Used: Other	Section 2	Section 3 Option 1	Section 3 Option 2	Section 4 Option 1	Section 4 Option 2	Section 5	Section 6	Section 7 Option 1	Section 7 Option 2	Section 7 Option 3	Section 8	Section 9	Q19
OTH-001	Not sure / do not know / confused by proposals	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	0
OTH-002	Nothing to add / not applicable / no comment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
OTH-003	Need more information / question about proposals	0	2	1	0	0	4	3	5	15	23	9	3	3
OTH-004	Request for contact / conversation regarding proposals	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTH-005	Other (unrelated comments)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
OTH-006	Other GCP/CCC Transport Schemes i.e. C2C/ bus ways	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Code	EqIA Theme	Total
EQ-001	EQIA is not needed/irrelevant	1
EQ-002	Support / agree with EQIA / it's necessary	3
EQ-003	I don't understand why the EQIA / why is it needed	0
EQ-004	Comments related to those with mobility and accessibility issues	74
EQ-005	Comments relating to age	56
EQ-006	Comments relating to age Comments relating to gender	11
EQ-007	Comments relating to race	3

EQ-008	Nothing to add / not applicable / no comment / no	53