

Growing and sharing prosperity

Delivering our City Deal



Foxton Travel Hub Update

30th Jan 2020

Agenda

- Programme update
- Transport challenge
- Public consultation outcomes
- Site section
- Wider project considerations
- Outline Business Case
- Possible considerations
- Next steps





Programme update

- Executive Board: June and NOT March
- There is now a 3 month delay due to the General Election





The Transport Challenge to 2031

From 2011 to 2031



- Travel choices are currently limited for many people
- We need to offer more people a competitive alternative to travelling by car
- This means making significant improvements to public transport, cycling and walking

"A package of transport and other infrastructure projects to alleviate the growing pains of Greater Cambridge should be considered the single most important infrastructure priority [...] in the short to medium term".





CPIER recommendation 7

Public consultation outcomes

A great deal of detailed comments were received. From these there were most debate/concerns about:

- The proposals impact on the congestion around the level crossing and the plans to bypass the level crossing
- The need for greater improvements to active travel and public transport options, including bus services, as part of the proposals for a Travel Hub
- The negative impact the Travel Hub would have on local residents due to increased personal motorised vehicle traffic attracted to the availability of parking, lack of improvements to the level crossing, and lack of improvements to other travel options in the area



Public consultation outcomes

There was no majority of support for either of the Travel Hub locations:

- Over two fifths preferred 'neither' option
- Over two fifths preferred the 'Southern option'
- Few preferred the 'Northern option'

There was no clear view on whether a Travel Hub at Foxton would improve access to sustainable transport:

- Over two fifths indicated they thought that, 'yes', it would improve access (42%)
- Over two fifths indicated they thought that, 'no', it would not improve access (41%)

Respondents who were located in 'Foxton and local area' were more opposed to the proposed development.

However

Other respondents from outside the Foxton local area supported the reduction in traffic on A10 and impact on local B roads



Site section

North option



South Option





Wider considerations

- EIA: BNG, ecology, noise, air quality, emissions
- Ground conditions
- Ecology
- Drainage
- Sustainability
- Transport modelling & reassignment
- Impact on A10
- Journey time
- Noise and Air Quality
- Level crossing
- Archaeology
- Growth





OBC

- Consider all of these issues and any other outputs from the Public consultation
- Option refinement
- Business Case, BCR & VfM
- Final option recommendation

Programme completion – April / June





Possible considerations

- Pedestrian footbridge
- Scale of the proposal
- Traffic regulation and options for enforcement
- Amenity space
- Reduction in speed limits
- Access
- Screening
- Landscaping
- BNG
- Cycle paths



Questions?

contactus@greatercambridge.org.uk



Close

