

Venue	Coton Village Hall, High Street, Coton CB23 7PL		
Date	14 June 2016	Time	19:00 – 22:15
Attendees	Helen Bradbury (chair, Coton PC), Edward Byam-Cook (vice-chair, Madingley Parish), Bridget Smith (SCDC), Gabriel Fox (Coton Parish), Rod Cantrill (CCiC), Lucy Nethsingha (CCC), Bev Edwards (Barton PC), Penny Heath (North Newnham RA), Robin Pellew (CPPF), Stephen Coates (StWF), Angela Chadwyck-Healey (Madingley Road RA), Sebastian Kindersley (CCC, SCDC), Pauline Joslin (Hardwick PC), Maggie Challis (Grantchester PC), Jenny Jullien (Haslingfield PC), John Whitehouse (Boxworth PC), David Pepperell (Stapleford PC), Matthew Prince (Eversdens PC), Haydn Williams (Girton PC), Janet Lockwood (SCDC), Jane Ward (Hauxton PC), Les Rolfe (Bourn PC), Paul Solon, (Elsworth PC), Anna Barford (Comberton PC), Howard Russell (Dry Drayton PC), John Barker (Caldecote PC), Ashley Heller (CCC), Tim Watkins (CCC), Michaela Headland (note taker)		
Apologies	Steve Rose (Hardwick PC)		
Project Name	Cambourne to Cambridge & Western Orbital Better Bus Journeys		
Purpose of Meeting	Local Liaison Forum (LLF)		

	Agenda Item	Key Points from debate	Resolutions
1	Introductions	N/A	N/A
2	Notes of last meeting	N/A	N/A
3	Terms of Reference and meeting format	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Only one member per Parish Council to vote - Co-opting new members onto the LLF <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Cambridge Past Present Future b. North Newnham Residents' Association c. Madingley Road Residents' Association d. Save the West Fields 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Co-opted b. Co-opted c. Co-opted d. Co-opted e. Co-opted members can vote

	Agenda Item	Key Points from debate	Resolutions
4	Demand Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Origin/destination data required for cycling and buses between villages. 	<p>R1: The forum believes that the steps the City Deal has taken in relation to the western bus corridor lack strategic overview. The Forum requests that, before any additional road infrastructure is decided upon, demand management options are explored and their impacts assessed. Such demand management options should include residents' parking, employers' car parking levy, and congestion charging.</p> <p>R1 addendum: The Forum recommends that there be a public consultation in which specific options are put forward.</p> <p>Addendum passed: 19F; 0A</p> <p>R1 with addendum passed: 23F; 0A</p>
5	Viability of the busway and the sustainability of Cambourne and other A428 settlements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Vision for City Deal needed - East-West Rail link should be used to link Cambourne and Cambridge - A428/A14/M11 (Girton) Interchange at Madingley needs investigation 	<p>R2: The Forum asks that proposals for the Cambourne to Cambridge busway and Western Orbital be reviewed to clarify how they will improve the sustainability of Cambourne and the new A428 settlements. No final decision should be taken on either scheme until this has been done, and a full business case that includes bus operational impacts and viability is completed.</p> <p>R2 passed: 19F; 0A</p>

	Agenda Item	Key Points from debate	Resolutions
6	Process and Communication	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Young people to be involved more via Cambridge Regional College, Anglia Ruskin, Secondary Schools - More accurate maps should be used in future consultations as those used here were so inaccurate that respondents could not assess the true impact of the proposals. 	<p>R3: In view of widespread criticism of previous consultations run by City Deal, the Forum proposes that planners consult with its members, before any future consultation documents are issued, in order to ensure more positive engagement. In particular, the Forum requests that particular care is taken to ensure the accuracy of all factual information, including maps, in consultation documents.</p> <p>R3 addendum: A summary of social and environmental impacts should be included in future consultations.</p> <p>Addendum passed: 23F; 0A</p> <p>R3 with addendum passed: 19F; 0A</p>
7	Results of Western orbital Consultation		<p>R4 amended: The Forum considers that no evidence has been provided on the projected usage and commercial viability of the Western Orbital to justify the expense and environmental damage of an off-road solution. More evidence is requested.</p> <p>R4 Amended resolution passed: 17F; 0A</p>

	Agenda Item	Key Points from debate	Resolutions
8	Tidal bus solution on Madingley Hill		<p>R5 amended: The Forum notes overwhelming public opposition to off-road busway proposals either side of Madingley Hill (Area 1 North & Area 1 South). It also notes it is 'considered potentially possible to implement a tidal bus lane along the stretch of Madingley Road between the Madingley Mulch Roundabout and the M11 bridge' (Atkins, Technical Note, 1/2/16). Should the City Deal Board select one of the off-road options, the Forum would strongly object on the grounds that an on-road dedicated bus lane, on this stretch of the road, amply satisfies the aims of the scheme in terms of speed and reliability. It would therefore consider the expense, environmental damage and negative impact on the neighbouring villages, of that selected off-road alternative, not to be justified. The Forum's support for on-road only extends as far as the M11.</p> <p>Addendum passed: 17F; 2A</p> <p>R5 Amended resolution passed: 17; 0A</p>
9	Bus priority route through West Cambridge site	The Forum asked the Chair to pursue this matter with City Deal and University	<p>R6 amended: The Forum understands that discussions have taken place between City Deal planners and the University as regards a route through the West Cambridge site for the Cambridge to Cambridge busway. The Forum requests more information on these discussions, and the routes and technology being considered.</p> <p>R6 Amended resolution passed. 20F; 0A</p>

	Agenda Item	Key Points from debate	Resolutions
10	Remodelling the M11 bridge, Jn 13	The Forum asked that modelling be done to show the effect on congestion on Madingley Hill if traffic were reduced by 30% - which would be the result of an all-ways interchange at Girton.	<p>R 7: The Forum notes that considerable work has been undertaken to assess various options for remodelling the M11 bridge at junction 13. It also notes that one proposal for the Cambridge to Cambridge busway requires spending up to £50 million on a new bus-only bridge across the M11. The Forum suggests that, should the City Deal Board select an option using the existing bridge, measures should be included to ensure it better serves all traffic, as well as providing bus priority. This would deliver greater economic benefit, and would thus represent a better use of City Deal funds.</p> <p>R7 addendum 1: The Forum proposes no further action on this until a range of demand management measures have been investigated.</p> <p>Addendum passed: 18F; 0A</p> <p>R7 with addendum passed: 17F; 0A</p> <p>R7 Addendum 2: The Forum believes that the Girton Interchange needs upgrading to accommodate full movements from the A428 onto the M11. This would be the most beneficial traffic improvement in the area, and would significantly reduce congestion on Madingley Hill.</p> <p>R7 Addendum 2 passed: 19F; 0A</p>

	Agenda Item	Key Points from debate	Resolutions
11	West Cambridge Park & Ride sites		<p>R8 deleted: The Forum supports a new Park and Ride along the A428 corridor. However should the City Deal Board select the Madingley Mulch Roundabout for a Park and Ride location, the Forum would object as it considers Scotland Farm to be a better location.</p> <p>R8 replacement: The Forum recommends that City Deal Officers to work with Smarter Cambridge Transport to discuss alternative Park & Ride sites and Transport Hubs for the A428 corridor.</p> <p>R8 passed. 15F; 0A</p> <p>R9: Should a Park and Cycle site be approved at J12 by the City Deal Board, the Forum considers that the locations proposed by Barton Parish Council to be safer and easier to access.</p> <p>R9 passed. 17F; 0A</p> <p>R10: The Forum recognises the peak time traffic problems on the A10 South, and supports Harston and Hauxton Parish Councils in asking officers to investigate siting the new Park and Ride south of Harston instead of at Hauxton. Foxton is suggested with its train station offering a choice of travel.</p> <p>R10 passed. 15F; 0A</p>