

<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Cambourne to Cambridge &amp; Western Orbital Local Liaison Forum</b>		
<b>Venue</b>	<b>Comberton Village College</b>		
<b>Date</b>	<b>Friday 17 March 2017</b>	<b>Time</b>	<b>7pm – 10pm</b>
<b>Attendees</b>	<p><b>Members on the Local Liaison Forum</b>  Helen Bradbury (HB) Chair, Bridget Smith (BS) Vice-Chair, Cllr Des O'Brien (DO'B) Bourn, Cllr Tumi Hawkins (TH) Caldecote, Cllr Tim Scott (TS) Comberton, Cllr Grenville Chamberlain (GC) Hardwick, Rod Cantrill (RC) Newnham, Bev Edwards (BE) Barton Parish Council, Steve Jones (SJ) Bourn Parish Council, Jeni Sawton (JS) Cambourne Parish Council, Gabriel Fox (GF) Coton Parish Council, Howard Russell (HR) Dry Drayton Parish Council, Paul Solon (PS) Elsworth Parish Council, Stuart Hawkins (SH) Madingley Parish Council, Stacey Weiser (SW) Cambridge Past, Present &amp; Future, Rita Langan (RL) Cranmer Road Residents' Association, Ellen Khmelnitski (EK), (GWRA) Gough Way Residents' Association, Abigail Shaw (AS), (MRRA) Madingley Road Residents' Association, Penny Heath (PH) North Newnham Residents' Association, Stephen Coates (SC) Save the West Fields, Harriet Gillett (HG), (SWRA) Storeys Way Residents' Association.</p> <p><b>From Cambridgeshire County Council</b>  Ashley Heller, Katie Cross</p>		
<b>Apologies</b>	Trumpington Residents' Association		
<b>Purpose of Meeting</b>	<b>Local Liaison Forum (LLF)</b>		



	<b>Agenda Item</b>	<b>Key Points discussed</b>	<b>Resolution</b>
		<b>VOTE: PROPOSAL OF RESPONSE STATEMENT</b>	<b>UNANIMOUSLY PASSED</b> 20 For, 0 Against, 0 Abstained
<b>2</b>	Guest Speaker – ‘The Big Picture’	Heidi Allen MP gave a talk on the importance of people power and democracy. The recent news release is good news and it shows that the City Deal are listening.	
<b>3</b>	Option 6: Presentation on behalf of the LLF	<p>Presentation from Gabriel Fox, Coton Parish Council on details of Option 6. Included 3 recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The LLF agrees that the route of Option 6 from Cambourne to the West Cambridge site is more suitable than the off-road option 3/3a and urges the City Deal to assess it immediately.</li> <li>2. The LLF agrees that a Park &amp; Ride site at the Scotland Farm junction of the A428 is superior to a site at Crome Lea.</li> <li>3. The LLF agrees that the route of Option 6 from the West Cambridge site to the city centre is more suitable than routes in the catchment area of option 3/3a and urges City Deal to assess it immediately.</li> </ol>	<p><b>UNANIMOUSLY PASSED</b> 20 For, 0 Against, 0 Abstained</p> <p><b>PASSED</b> 17 For, 1 Against, 2 Abstained</p> <p><b>PASSED</b> 16 For, 2 Against, 3 Abstained</p>

	Agenda Item	Key Points discussed	Resolution
4	Discussion of Option 6	<p><b><u>The Cambourne Perspective, talk from JS</u></b>            JS explained the issue Cambourne residents have now of trying to get into the City and how this will only get worse with further congestion. Cambourne residents need a fast, affordable and reliable bus service to help attract them to change transport mode. It is imperative that safe cycleways are included in the scheme and that money needs to be spent correctly and not quickly.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Cllr Peter Topping clarified that the Government is recognising the need not to spend money quickly but to spend the money sensibly.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>The Hardwick Perspective, talk from GC</u></b>            GC explained that residents in Hardwick have shown overwhelming support for a Park &amp; Ride site at Scotland Farm and for a north-of-the-A428 off-road route from Scotland Farm into Cambridge. GC proposed an off-road route from Scotland Farm, going north of the A428, crossing the A428 north of Madingley Roundabout, continuing within the A428 cutting, turning right at the bridge of Cambridge Road and running along Cambridge Road to join Madingley Road at the 'Coton Turn'. He suggested that the real solution to the congestion problem will be rail based, but that will not be in place for a while.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- TS, PH all agreed and think Option 4 should still be considered and looked into.</li> <li>- TS likes' option 6, but we should revisit Option 4 and we need to keep in mind a hybrid option and taking the best bits of both.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Girton Interchange, discussion between LLF members</u></b></p> <p>Large discussion around Girton Interchange and the remit of City Deal.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- DO'B: Investigating potential money saved on the on-road option to be spent on the Girton Interchange</li> <li>- HR: Supports Park &amp; Ride at Girton Interchange</li> <li>- BS: Girton Interchange outside of City Deal, and therefore LLF, remit</li> <li>- RC: Combined Authority Mayor may have remit.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Questions from the audience:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- How confident are is the LLF that the City Deal Board will listen to all the evidence and options and give them a fair and transparent appraisal?</li> <li>- The outline planning proposal for Cambourne West has no obvious space for a transport hub, has anyone proposing Option 6 actually spoken to the University?</li> <li>- Option 6 doesn't seem to have anything about the damage to Conservation Areas inside Cambridge and it should be included.</li> </ul>	

	Agenda Item	Key Points discussed	Resolution
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- For Option 6 you need to think about a good and direct cycling route.</li> <li>- Concern about how the consultants get briefed and the detail of the brief. Hope for the LLF to be more involved in the briefing process.</li> <li>- Can the cycleway/footpath be referred to as a 'Non-Motorised User' path to allow for all users including horse-riders?</li> </ul> <p><b><u>East of the M11</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- PH, City Centre Access Study (Tackling Congestion) is still unresolved making it difficult to decide on where the route will finish.</li> <li>- <b>RC PROPOSED AMENDMENT: Madingley Road, protection of existing road: tabled proposal to amend Option 6 so that there are no bus lanes from the High Cross Turning.</b></li> <li>- <b>PH proposed a further amendment: The LLF does not support any new bus lanes on Madingley Road.</b></li> <li>- HB explained that the whole premise of Option 6 was to avoid unnecessary heavy engineering solutions, and that bus lanes are only included in the plan where evidence suggests they are necessary to order to ensure buses are free flowing (ie on Madingley Hill). Every effort will therefore be made to avoid any unnecessary bus lanes east of the M11. However Option 6 needs to propose an alternative solution - one that works and so guarantees free-flowing buses - before bus lanes can be ruled out on Madingley Road. Rather than take a vote of the whole LLF on the issue, a workshop was proposed to discuss the issue further, and develop, where possible, alternatives to bus lanes.</li> <li>- <b>There was general agreement that this was a sensible way forward.</b></li> </ul> <p><b>AGREED: HB, BS &amp; RC will get together to discuss how workshops will work and who will be invited to attend.</b></p>	<p>NO VOTE</p> <p>NO VOTE</p> <p><b>UNANIMOUSLY PASSED</b> 20 For, 0 Against, 0 Abstained</p>

	Agenda Item	Key Points discussed	Resolution
5	On-Road options briefing	<p>Ashley Heller presented the current on-road option for the Cambourne to Cambridge Scheme.</p> <p>Questions from the floor:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Gantry's are not used internationally, why are they used for this scheme? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o We have looked at examples from the UK, we would require sign of from the DfT if we were to use something different.</li> </ul> </li> <li>- Would Option 6 inform the business case of Option 3/3a? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Yes it would inform the business case. All the facts will be presented to the Executive Board.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
6	Inbound Flow Control	<p>Talk by Edward Leigh, Smart Cambridge Transport on Inbound Flow Control. He suggested that it should also be one of the options for this scheme.</p> <p>RC suggested to arrange a workshop on Inbound Flow Control.</p> <p><b>VOTE: The City Deal Executive Board &amp; Joint Assembly should consider investigating Inbound Flow Control for the Cambourne to Cambridge Scheme.</b></p>	<p><b>UNANIMOUSLY PASSED</b> 20 For, 0 Against, 0 Abstained</p>
7	Next LLF meeting	<p>Tuesday 16th May, 7pm. Focus primarily on the Western Orbital. Venue to be announced.</p>	