Cambridge Transport	South East	17-Oct-2020		to	16-Dec-2020			_	_					
Please If you select respon	ou are If you are responding as Having read the information provided on	ir you selected no, what alternative		y The proposed layout of the interchange between the	Our proposals include a new avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and th				als for How far do you support to	Survey Response  e What planting would you like to see between stops note on bridge crossings, do areas set up along the	picnic Between Sawston Road and As part of the linear par route the High Street south of concept and to leave a las	How far do you support each active travel path ting proposal from the Travel Hub to Granta Park and to  We welcome your views. If you have any other comments on the proposals, including any suggestions for inclusion on the design please add them in the space below.  We welcome your views. If you have any other comments on the proposals, including any suggestions disproportionately affect or impact people or groups with protected characteristics under the Equation 1.	Please indicate your interest in the project. (Tick a	all Would you plan to use this scheme for:
	of a group representative, please proposals for Francis  Yes		along the eastern side of		highway. What is your preference for the planting along Francis Crick Avenue?  New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	do you normally gain access?  Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  No opinion			ne and route between Babraham a	woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Travel Babraham the Active Travel   legacy of the scheme, w  Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Research Campus as shown in the Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path S: Strongly - Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path S: Strongly - Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path S: Strongly - Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path		
individual I am responding						Via the DNA path* coming from				Hedgerow planting along field	Links with a set show	C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Gantha Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support Travel support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support Travel support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support Travel support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support Travel support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support Travel support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support Travel support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support Travel support Travel Hub to Babraham Researc	av	
as an individual	Yes		Yes		New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	Support	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties boundaries and grassland No	Sawston Road	support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support and the active travel path and the busway cross each other.  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to		
responding as an individual	Yes		Yes	#11 & #4 - it's not clear how the	A mix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route Strongly oppose	Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub existing rail and road corridors. The stations at Great Shelford, Stapleford and Sawston are white elephants, on the very to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support which I even more strongly oppose).  Why doesn't the bus route connect to any of the villages in the area? I'm sure that's been decided at an earlier stage but it.	Resident of Stapleford, Local business owner/employer, I regularly travel in the area	
responding as an individual	Yes		Yes	cycleway ties in to & crosses the busway. Will it still be possible to cycle over the busway bridge? I live in Trumpington and would be a big		Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	Support	Oppose	Nature reserve-quality habitat wherever possible  Open grass with a belt of scrub along field boundaries and the River Granta	No opinion Support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support Trav	Resident in Cambridge, I regularly travel in the area	Commuting to work, Recreation, Other (please specify), Visiting friends and activities in the villages - if there is a segregated cycle link from the Active Travel Path to them
I am responding as an individual	Yes		No opinion		A mix of trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	I do not use the DNA path	Strongly Support	Strongly support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research	Resident elsewhere, I regularly travel in the area	Commuting to work
individual  I am responding	Yes		Yes		A mix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	No opinion	No opinion No opinion No opinion	No opinion No opinion	Campus - Path D: Support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel I am strongly opposed to abusing the green belt in this way. Bury farm and Gog Magog one of the most beautiful rural Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, areas around Cambridge. There are already two roads between Babraham and Cambridge and as more people ar	Resident of Stapleford	Prefer not to say
individual I am responding		There is no need whatsoever so put the guided busway down the centre of Francis Crick Avenue,		See comments above, the changes		From the public footpath from						Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly This whole proposal seems a complete and unnecessary waste of money, completely over the top, destroying masses of		
as an individual		there is little traffic down this road now due to all the restrictions so the bus could very easily run on the road saving a huge unnecessary cost		to Francis Crick Avenue are totally unnecessary	No opinion	Dame Mary Archer Way  Why limit to one access point, keep as many open as possible to encourage use	On foot	No Opinion	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties No opinion No	No opinion No opinion	oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion,	Resident in Cambridge, I regularly travel in the area	Otner (please specify),i would categorically never use it
responding as an individual	Yes		No opinion		New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Grass and scattered trees alongside the River Granta	No opinion Strongly support	Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion	Resident elsewhere, I regularly travel in the area	Commuting to work, Recreation
responding as an individual	Yes		Yes		New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	Support	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support  As I live in Haverhill I would obviously want the scheme to continue to your boarder with Suffolk near Haverhill.  I think it would be acceptably positive.	Resident elsewhere	Recreation
I am responding as an individual	Yes		Yes		A mix of trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	Bicycle	Strongly Support	Strongly support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research	Resident in Cambridge, I regularly travel in the area	Recreation
I am responding as an	Yes		Yes		A mix of trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	Bicycle	Strongly Support	Strongly support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Include an electric bike rental hub at the proposed park and ride	Resident in South Cambridgeshire, I occasionally travel in the area	Recreation, Other (please specify),Occasional journeys to work meetings and/or to Addenbrooke's hospital
individual I am responding	Yes		No		A mix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	Support	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field  No	No opinion Strongly support	Campus - Path D: Oppose Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Tree planting should be maximised to hide as much of the route as possible.	Resident in Cambridge, I occasionally travel in the area	Recreation
as an individual						Cambridge	,			Cross and contrared trees alteracide		Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Rabraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel		
responding as an individual	Yes		No opinion		New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	No opinion	No opinion Grass and scattered trees alongside the River Granta	Join the existing path along Sawston Road  Strongly support	Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel	Resident of Great Shelford  Other (please specify),I am from Canada and I never came	
responding as an individual	Yes		No	Building to the West is a more ideal choice I believe, I don't think the city is ready yet to go to the east.		I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  There is much room to build innovation, why not doing it?	I do not use the DNA path	Strongly Support	Strongly support	Grass, trees, bushes, are oxygen and vital for a better eco system. Not talking about the resources, simply a greener ecosystem with more oxygenation.  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route  Strongly support	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub	to Cambridge, but I would choose all of the answer below, simply because it's a hole lot to me, this would conclude into a single choice, witch would be the "Cambridge" itself, we will travel to Cambridge, and we will want to seek around	this one but another board, simply, something fresh.
I am responding as an individual	Yes		No		No opinion	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	Strongly support	No opinion No opinion No opinion	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	traver into to Granita Fatis Fatis No Opinion, traver into to Babraham Research Campus - Path B. Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion	Resident of Sawston	Recreation
I am responding as an	No opinion		Yes		Only hedgerows either side of the new public transport route	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  No opinion	Bicycle	Oppose	Strongly oppose	Open grass with a belt of scrub or mixed species hedge (unmaintained) along boundaries to residences Hedgerow planting along field boundaries and grassland No	Join the existing path along Support	Travel Hub to Grantah Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C:  Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C:  Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C:  Road/New Road using the existing bustops. It could then turn left onto Babraham Road, and use the bus stops there so far a way from the village centre. Those without a bike and those with mobility issues wo far a way from the village centre. Those without a bike and those with mobility issues wo far a way from the village centre. Those without a bike and those with mobility issues wo far a way from the village centre. Those without a bike and those with mobility issues wo far a way from the village centre. Those without a bike and those with mobility issues wo far a way from the village centre. Those without a bike and those with mobility issues wo far a way from the village centre. Those without a bike and those with mobility issues wo far a way from the village centre. Those without a bike and those with mobility issues wo far a way from the village centre. Those without a bike and those with mobility issues wo far a way from the village centre. Those without a bike and those with mobility issues wo far a way from the village centre. Those without a bike and those with mobility issues wo far a way from the village centre. Those without a bike and those with mobility issues wo far a way from the village centre. Those without a bike and those with mobility issues wo far a way from the village centre. Those without a bike and those with mobility issues wo far a way from the village centre. Those without a bike and those with mobility issues wo far a way from the village centre. Those without a bike and those with mobility issues wo far a way from the village centre. Those without a bike and those with mobility issues wo far a way from the village centre.	uld Resident of Sawston, I regularly travel in the area	Recreation
individual I am responding	No opinion		No opinion		No opinion	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	Oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Hedgerow planting along field boundaries and grassland  No opinion	No opinion Support	D: Strongly support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel to either use their car or existing public transport. The Shelford stop is also a long way out from most of Shelford. This calls	Resident in South Cambridgeshire, I regularly travel in the	Recreation
individual I am responding						nado receivo						D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub T	ated	
as an individual		Sounds like a lot of transformation when cycle	No opinion		No opinion	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained  Via the public footpath and	On foot	Support	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta No opinion	No opinion Strongly support	Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel	Resident of Stapleford	Recreation
responding as an individual	No	lanes already exists what was needed was a slightly better layout at the roundabout for cyclists to join the DNA path. Can we also make sure the trees will be kept along	1	3. I like that!  I like 11, but think about how to join	New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	permissive path from the north/north east connected to Granham's Road  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	Support	Oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Strongly support	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Gabraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to	Resident in Cambridge	Commuting to work, Recreation
I am responding as an individual	Yes		No opinion	it to the shared path on the existing guided busway bridge over the railway in a way which minimises cycle-pedestrian conflict. Bikes		Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Support	No opinion	No opinion Yes	No opinion Strongly support	Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion	Resident in Cambridge, I occasionally travel in the area	Recreation
I am responding as an	No	I wouldn't like to see this route.	No	cycle pededitian continue. Bixes	A mix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	Why are you not following the old rail route from Babarham, which at least gets you into the centre of both Shelford and Stapeleford, which this proposal fails to do.	No opinion No opinion	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research  Why are you considering taking green fields for this when there is a perfectly good route available that could be used?  Why are you considering taking green fields for this when there is a perfectly good route available that could be used?	Resident of Great Shelford	Other (please specify), If it follows the route I propose I would use it to get into both Cambridge and Sawston. The proposed one would not be used.
individual I am responding	Yes		Yes		Only hedgerows either side of the new public transport route	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford Provide an alternative access from the north from the Cambridge Biomedical Campus area	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	Strongly support	Open grass with a belt of scrub or mixed species hedge (unmaintained) along boundaries to residences boundaries and grassland	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path	Resident of Great Shelford	Commuting to work, Recreation
individual I am responding						Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford No opinion					lain the existing path along	C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Bahraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub		Other (please specify),Multiple aspects: commuting, taking
as an individual	Yes		Yes		A mix of trees and hedges	Great Shelford No opinion	Bicycle, On foot, Horse	Support	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Hedgerow planting along field boundaries and grassland Yes	Sawston Road Strongly support	Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly popose, Travel	Resident of Sawston	children to school, leisure etc.
responding as an individual	No opinion		No opinion	It is odd for this new bus way to	No opinion	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  No opinion	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	No opinion	No opinion No opinion No opinion	No opinion No opinion	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel  I wish the route could be extended to Great Knighton (new developments east of Trumpington). Many people drive from my	Resident of Great Shelford	
I am responding as an individual	Yes		Yes	connect one major employer	New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	On foot	Support	Support	Open grass with a belt of scrub or mixed species hedge (unmaintained) along boundaries to residences  Hedgerow planting along field boundaries and grassland  Yes	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Support	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Oppose  Path D: Oppos	Resident in Cambridge, Resident in South Cambridgeshire, occasionally travel in the area	Commuting to work, Recreation
I am responding as an	Yes		Yes	The illustration seems to show that	New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge No opinion	Bicycle	Support	Strongly support	No opinion No opinion No opinion	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path	Resident in Cambridge	Recreation
individual I am responding	Yes			case. If you're promoting active	A mix of trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	I do not use the DNA path	Strongly Support	Strongly support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Grass and scattered trees alongside the River Granta  Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	D: No Opinion This could be acheived either by spotting inbound cyclists and changing the lights on the crossing (as for the busses), or by Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path byth pow and the logo term?	Other (please specify),Property owner in Aberdeen Avenue	e Other (please specify), Visiting family in Sawston
individual I am responding				5. Pedestrians exiting and entering the route to Cambridge South								Campus - Path D: Strongly support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel The fall in numbers of people commuting at present must bot match on the numbers originally projected when the scheme		
as an individual	Yes			station will be in conflict with cyclists (often travelling quite fast) coming down the slope of the guided	A mix of trees and nedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	Oppose	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Grass and scattered trees alongside the River Granta	No opinion Support	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to	Resident in Cambridge	Recreation
responding as an individual	Yes		Yes		A mix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  Provide an alternative access from the north from the Cambridge Biomedical Campus area	Bicycle	Support	Support	Open grass with a belt of scrub or mixed species hedge (unmaintained) along boundaries to residences  No opinion  Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route  Strongly support	Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel	Resident in South Cambridgeshire	Recreation
l am responding as an individual	Yes		Yes		New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	No opinion No opinion No opinion	Join the existing path along Sawston Road No opinion	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B. Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose  I strongly oppose such a huge development which will leave Great Shelford as a suburb of Cambridge. I am concerned that Shelford train station doesn't appear on the new map - could you please detail what will happen to this much needed and vital village amenity?	Resident of Great Shelford	Commuting to work
I am responding as an individual	Yes		Yes	1,3,9 etc. Muddled cycle and pedestrian ways which appear to conflict with each other.	More important to separate cycles and pedestrians	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford No opinion	Bicycle	Support	Support	Grass and scattered trees only  No opinion  Yes	Join the existing path along Sawston Road No opinion	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C:  Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C:  Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C:  inadequate.  I am disappointed that the route only goes as far as Babraham. We had, until the New Town of Haverhill was planned, a railway from Cambridge all the way to Haverhill with the possibility of onward travel from there. What is planned is inadequate.	Resident in Cambridge, I regularly travel in the area, Other (please specify),Long term association with Linton since early 1970s	
I am responding as an	No opinion		Yes		A mix of trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	Oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Hedgerow planting along field boundaries and grassland  No opinion	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Strongly support	D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Where is the car parking at the stops? Few people will access these by walking/cycling as they are too far from the villages Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Granta Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub t	Resident in South Cambridgeshire	Recreation, Commuting to work
individual I am responding	No opinion		No opinion		A mix of trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	Support	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  No opinion	No opinion Strongly support	Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Ensure the electric car charge points are of a high rating for fast charge - 100+KWHr	Resident in South Cambridgeshire, I regularly travel in the	Other (please specify),London Rail link and to travel into centre of cambridge (could walk to the hub rather than park
as an individual I am responding						Via the DNA path* coming from				as well as woodland planting consideration of noise reduction Woodland planting along field	lain the existing path along	Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Oppose,	area	and ride
as an individual	Yes		No opinion		No opinion	Cambridge  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	No Opinion	No opinion	fencing assuming there will be noise from new busway boundaries and the River Granta  Yes	Sawston Road Strongly support	Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub This is a poorly considered proposal that does nothing to serve the residents of Great Shelford. The proposed location of	Resident in Cambridge, I occasionally travel in the area	Prefer not to say
responding as an individual	Yes		Yes		A mix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties No opinion Yes	No opinion Support	to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel the Great Shelford stop is a long way from the majority of the residents of the village, and will not encourage use. It would hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub	Resident of Great Shelford	Prefer not to say
I am responding as an individual	No opinion		Yes		A mix of trees and hedges	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the north/north east connected to Granham's Road  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	No opinion	Open grass with a belt of scrub or mixed species hedge (unmaintained) along boundaries to residences  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  Yes	No opinion Support	to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Pat	stops, Resident of Stapleford	Other (please specify),To go to the city
I am responding as an	No opinion		No opinion		A mix of trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	No opinion	Grass and scattered trees only  Grass and scattered trees alongside the River Granta  Yes	No opinion Oppose	Travell Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path it degree to belt to the advantage of developers. The present proposed stops are useless to serve these villages and the itraffic light road crossings will only exacerbate the chaos already caused by badly managed rail crossings	Resident of Stapleford, I regularly travel in the area	Prefer not to say
individual  I am responding	Yes		Yes		New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	Support	Support	Grass and scattered trees only  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  Yes	No opinion Support	D: Support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel I'm not in favour of shared pedestrian/cycle routes. I use the DNA path most days and it would benefit from having a Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, separate pedestrian path because pedestrians don't wear hi-viz and in winter it can be quite dangerous as you can't see I can't see any equality issues with the proposals	Resident of Sawston	Commuting to work, Recreation
individual I am responding	Ves			pedestrians and cyclists don't mix very well	New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the	Bicycle	Support	Support	Grass and scattered trees only  Grass and scattered trees alongside Ves	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion,	Resident in Cambridge	Commuting to work, Recreation
as an individual					non delice of deed claim stee of the paolic dansport contact and my may	Granham's Road	Sicycle	Саррот	оцироп	the River Granta	alongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel under the misapprehension that public transport was supposed to attract potential travellers. Is this project supposed to	redent in Cambridge	Community to work, recordation
responding as an individual			Yes		No opinion	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	On foot	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	No opinion	No opinion	Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Ganta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub	Resident in Cambridge, I regularly travel in the area	Commuting to work, Recreation
responding as an individual	Yes		Yes		A mix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	On foot	Support	No opinion	Open grass with a belt of scrub or mixed species hedge (unmaintained) along boundaries to residences  Hedgerow planting along field boundaries and grassland  Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, I would like the landscape planting to include bee and insect friendly planting. Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion	Resident in Cambridge	Recreation
I am responding as an individual	Yes		Yes		New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  No opinion	Bicycle	Support	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Strongly support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research	Resident of Stapleford	Other (please specify),I wouldn't as I object to it
I am responding as an	No opinion		No opinion		No opinion	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	Bicycle	No Opinion	No opinion	No opinion No opinion No opinion	No opinion No opinion	Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No	Resident elsewhere	Recreation
individual I am responding			Yes		A mix of trees and hedges	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot		Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	No opinion Support	Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Oppose, Travel Hub to Rahrsham Research Campus - Path B: Oppose, Travel Hub A mis use of funds in my opinion. A very expensive additional route when the bus links can easily be incorporated onto the	Resident of Stapleford, Local business owner/employer, I	
as an individual				it looks like 5 and 6 are the 'wrong' way around. Surely it would be		north/north east connected to Granham's Road	<b>Q</b> . 0		3,11		lain the eviction path class	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path A: Strongly support, Travel	regularly travel in the area, I occasionally travel in the area	
responding as an individual	Yes			beneficial that users of the new proposed scheme could access the south station without having to cross		Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	On foot	Oppose	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Hedgerow planting along field boundaries and grassland Yes	Join the existing path along Sawston Road  Strongly support	support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Part C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub	Resident of Great Shelford	Recreation
responding as an individual	No opinion		No opinion		No opinion	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	No opinion	No opinion No opinion No opinion	No opinion No opinion	to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to	Local business owner/employer, I regularly travel in the area	a Other (please specify), Its in the wrong place to use.
I am responding as an individual	Yes		No		A mix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Support	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Hedgerow planting along field boundaries and grassland	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose	Resident of Great Shelford, Resident in South Cambridgeshire, Local business owner/employer, I regularly travel in the area	У
I am responding as an individual	Yes		No opinion		A mix of trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion	Bicycle	Support	Strongly support	Grass and scattered trees only No opinion Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Gabraham Research Campus - Path D: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D:	Resident of Sawston	Commuting to work, Recreation
I am responding as an	Yes		Yes		New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	Bicycle	Support	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly whilst there is clearly a commitment to sustainability, I'd like to see designated areas devoted to perhaps pocket parks that encourage bio diversity, study opportunities and small group visits from local schools etc. It would be desirable for	Resident in South Cambridgeshire	Recreation
individual I am responding	Vac			encroachment by overhanging branches/ grass can significantly	No opinion	I do not visit the Nine Wells local  No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	Oppose	Strongly oppose	Lam concept to the route Grass and scattered trees alongside No coinion	Join the existing path along Strongly support	C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path and need to be fixed first.	Resident of Sawston	Other (please specify),I would not use the scheme
as an individual I am						Vio the DNA path* coming from				ule (AVE) Oralia	Sawston Road	oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub		
responding as an individual	Yes		No		New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	On foot	Support	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Grass and scattered trees alongside the River Granta	No opinion Strongly support	to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Oppose, Travel Hub to  I would prefer to see much more additional woodland around the Nine Wells Nature Reserve, before breaking into	Resident in Cambridge	Recreation
responding as an individual	No opinion		Yes		A mix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	On foot	Support	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	No opinion Support	Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Opinion Opinion Opinion	Resident in Cambridge	Recreation, Commuting to work
l am responding as an individual	Yes		Yes	Looks good.	New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Strongly Support	Oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support	Resident in South Cambridgeshire	Recreation, Commuting to work
I am responding as an	No opinion		No opinion		A mix of trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	Strongly support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Grante Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path	Resident of Sawston, I regularly travel in the area	Commuting to work, Recreation
individual I am responding	Yes		No opinion		A mix of trees and hedges	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the porth/orth east connected to	I do not use the DNA path	Support	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties No opinion Yes	No opinion Strongly support	D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to  Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub  I welcome this scheme and look forward to it being completed. I am pleased that the alternative plan which went through	Resident of Stapleford	Recreation
as an individual  I am responding				impressed with all the details and	-	Granham's Road  Via the public footpath and permissive path from the  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained.			Stronglish	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties.  Grass and scattered trees alongside  Yes		Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub For the future of our young people and employment within Cambridgeshire, these plans will reduce road congestion and		
as an individual I am	Yes		168	Impressed with all the details and planning of the above.	A mix of trees and hedges	north/north east connected to Granham's Road	On foot	Strongly Support	Strongly support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Grass and scattered trees alongside the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route  Strongly support	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to	Resident of Stapleford	Recreation  Other (please specify). Exercise would be my most likely
responding as an individual	Yes		Yes		No opinion	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Support	Support	No opinion No opinion Yes	No opinion Support	to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub  Use a different route, the villages of Stapleford and Great Shelford gain nothing from this one.	Resident in Cambridge	reason to use the scheme as opposed to be affected by the scheme.  Other (please specify), I will not use this scheme because the
I am responding as an individual	Yes		Yes		A mix of trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion	Bicycle	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  I am shocked by how limited the consultation is on this scheme.	Resident of Stapleford	Other (please specify), I will not use this scheme because the stops for Stapleford and Shelford are in locations aimed at enabling further housing development - not to enable use by local residents. This is a really bad choice of route unless you are focussed on a much wider program of house
I am responding as an	Yes		No opinion	Point 8 - footpath on east carriageway, will depend on access to the Institute for Lung and Hear Research (can't remember the		Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	Support	Support	No opinion	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path New York - Opinion, I need to not the planting along the route, I don't have specific opinions, however, I do feel they should be mixed including New York - Opinion, I need to not the planting along the route, I don't have specific opinions, however, I do feel they should be mixed including	Resident elsewhere, Other (please specify), I used to live in [redacted] (now moved to [redacted]), but I do work on the CBC, although at the moment working from home.	n
individual I am responding as an	No opinion			exact name). It will also depend on	No opinion	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	Bicycle	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  No opinion	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	D: Strongly support  I didn't see on the plans but I am hoping that there are no restrictive barriers or fences that would inhibit wildlife movement  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub  The EIA should address local air quality impacts at Hinton way and Haverhill road due to standing traffic between busway to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel and railway. This is already a problem at rush hour and with up to 16 busses an hour will get worse.  Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion,  The EIA should address local air quality impacts at Hinton way and Haverhill road due to standing traffic between busway to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion,  The EIA should address local air quality impacts at Hinton way and Haverhill road due to standing traffic between busway to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion,  The EIA should address local air quality impacts at Hinton way and Haverhill road due to standing traffic between busway to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion,  The EIA should address local air quality impacts at Hinton way and Haverhill road due to standing traffic between busway to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion,  The EIA should address local air quality impacts at Hinton way and Haverhill road due to standing traffic between busway to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion,  The EIA should address local air quality impacts at Hinton way and Haverhill road due to standing traffic between busway to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion,  The EIA should address local air quality impacts at Hinton way and Haverhill road due to standing traffic between busway to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion,  The EIA should address local air quality impacts at Hinton way and Haverhill road due to standing traffic between busway to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion,  The EIA should address local air quality impacts at Hinton way and Haverhill road due to standing traffic between busway to B	Resident of Stapleford	Other (please specify),I won't use this scheme.
as an individual  I am responding	\		Yes			Via the public footpath and permissive path from the	Riguele On fact			Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field  Yes	Join the existing path along	Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Oppose, Travel  The design of the river crossings should be more imaginative - contribute to the landscape.	Resident in Combridge	Recreation
as an individual	Yes		100		A mix of trees and hedges	north/north east connected to Granham's Road  Inis question is far too restrictive. Present arrangements are tine.	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	No opinion	woodland planting between stops and residential properties boundaries and the River Granta	Sawston Road	Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Oppose  Travel Hub to Ganta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly  It's not clear why the new high quality public transport route does not directly serve the local communities along the route Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly  It's not clear why the new high quality public transport route does not directly serve the local communities reduces the	Resident in Cambridge	Recreation
responding as an individual	Yes		Yes		New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  Provide an alternative access from the north from the Cambridge Biomedical Campus area	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties boundaries and the River Granta  Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route  Strongly support	support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to	Resident in Cambridge, I occasionally travel in the area	Recreation
l am responding as an individual	No opinion		Yes		New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle		Strongly support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Hedgerow planting along field boundaries and grassland	No opinion Support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Oppose	Resident in Cambridge	Prefer not to say
I am responding as an individual	Yes		Yes		A mix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	On foot	Support	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Grass and scattered trees alongside the River Granta  Yes	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Oppose Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus -	Resident in South Cambridgeshire, I regularly travel in the area	Recreation
individual I am responding as an	Yes		No opinion		A mix of trees and hedges	No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	Support	Support	Grass and scattered trees only  Grass and scattered trees alongside the River Granta  Yes	Support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel	Resident of Great Shelford	Recreation
individual I am responding			No opinion		A mix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stons and residential properties. Hedgerow planting along field	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub  It is vital that bridleways & onen countryside around the river Granta is not impact and access to these areas remains	Resident of Great Shelford, Resident of Stapleford, I	Other (please specify),None
as an individual					-	Great Shelford The track alongside Houson's Brook Conduit to be retained				woodland planning between stops and residential properties boundaries and grassland		Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D. Support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly  There is totally inadequate provision for cycle parking at the stations. People will just leave their bikes strewn all over the place. Vehicle parking is also inadequate - you must avoid the massive on-road parking problem that is happening on the	regularly travel in the area	
responding as an individual	Yes		No opinion		A mix of trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route  Strongly support	oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support  Campus - Path D: Strongly support  Campus - Path D: Strongly support  Sala Sunday departed painting is also intacequate - your miss avoid on in inass we direct painting in the provision of a large fee-charging car park near the station. I fear people will just park their vehicles as near to the stops as possible creating major congestion and nuisance. Furthermore, provision needs to be made for bus routes to be modified to include Cam Metro stops on their routes, particularly at	Resident of Sawston, I regularly travel in the area	Recreation

	Yes		No opinio	on	Should have a small kerb between the pavement and bike path.	opinion	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	No opinion	Bicycle	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	No opinion	No opinion Yes	No opinion Support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path Ought to follow the old railway line, so it can in future be reopened to Haverhill.	Resident in Cambridge	Recreation
	Yes		Yes		the pavellent and bike path.	nix of trees and hedges	Nie the DNA path* coming from	The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	Support	Support Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties	Woodland planting along field	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path	Resident in Cambridge, I regularly travel in the area	
	No opinior	ion	No opinio	on	This appears to be a very complex		Cambridge  I walk from the Brooklands Avenue		I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	I do not support the scheme for a busway so cannot	I do not support the scheme for a busway so cannot comment on any	alongside the public transport route  No opinion  No opinion	Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel It is complex, unattractive and will not properly serve the communities through which it passes. The concept of Park and Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Ride is flawed in as much as it does nothing to address the need to own a car. In fact it probably encourages car ownership I do not think the scheme discriminates as described.	Resident in South Cambridgeshire, I occasionally travel in the area	
					solution to a simple problem.		conduit.					comment on any proposals for landscaping.	proposals for landscaping.	loin the existing nath along	Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly	the area	
	Yes		Yes			w avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Great Shelford	Provide an alternative access from the north from the Cambridge Biomedical Campus area	Bicycle, On foot	Support	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	the River Granta	Sawston Road Suringly support	support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly  health impact will not be only positive and should be matched with reduction in health from people who currently walk		Commuting to work, Recreation
	Yes		Yes			opinion	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  Via the public footpath and	No opinion	Bicycle	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	No opinion	prefer buses to slow down and have a shorter bridge	Join the existing path along Sawston Road  No opinion	support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel	Resident of Stapleford	Prefer not to say
	Yes		Yes		just much more thought and detail needed here	opinion	nermissive nath from the	The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	Strongly Support	Oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Strongly support	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel	Resident in Cambridge	Recreation
	Yes		No opinio	on	No	opinion	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	No opinion	No opinion No opinion	No opinion No opinion	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose (Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose) (Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose) (Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose) (Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose) (Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose) (Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose) (Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose) (Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose) (Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose) (Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose) (Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose) (Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose) (Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose) (Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose) (Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose) (Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose) (Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose) (Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose) (Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose) (Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose) (Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose) (Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose) (Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose) (Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose) (Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D:	hill Resident in Cambridge, I regularly travel in the area	
	Yes		No		Or	ly hedgerows either side of the new public transport route	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the north/north east connected to Granham's Road	The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Support	Strongly oppose	Open grass with a belt of scrub or mixed species hedge (unmaintained) along boundaries to residences	Hedgerow planting along field boundaries and grassland	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion	Resident of Great Shelford	Recreation
	Yes		Yes		busway where they have to cross	nix of trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	Provide an alternative access from the north from the Cambridge Biomedical Campus area	Bicycle	No Opinion	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Oppose, Travel Babraham. The objective could be achieved at a much lower financial and environmental cost by putting in a dedicated bus	Resident of Stapleford	Recreation
	Yes		Yes		one of the road carriageways.	w avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford	The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Horse, On foot	Support	Strongly oppose				Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Oppose lane alongside the A1307.  The idea of a guide and a gricultural land crossing Hinton Way (GS) and Haverhill Road (Stapleford) is a travesty. This is a green belt area of farm land which should not be encroached upon. Once the busway is built and the green belt accessed, it will lead to infilling and more building and the villages of GS and Stapleford will lose their village.	Resident of Stapleford	
	Yes		No		A	nix of trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local	No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	Woodland planting along field No	Join the existing path along Strongly support	integrity. The views from Magog Down, Hinton Way and Haverhill Road and the country nature of these areas will be lost to development. The roads will become busier and more traffic will be generated. The A1307 currenty brings traffic from Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path	Resident in South Cambridgeshire, I regularly travel in the	e Recreation
							nature reserve						Modeland planting along field	Joint the existing path along	C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Oppose Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel	area  Resident of Great Shelford, Resident in South	
			Yes		Ne	w avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Great Shelford	The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	boundaries and the River Granta  Yes	Sawston Road Support	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Pa	Cambridgeshire, Local business owner/employer, I regularly travel in the area	arly Recreation
	Yes		Yes		Ne	w avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford	The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	boundaries and the River Granta	No opinion Strongly support	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support Park - Path	Resident of Stapleford	Other (please specify),Essential trips
	Yes		Yes		A	nix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford	No opinion	Bicycle, On foot	Support	Strongly oppose	There should be a landscaped rise with mixed shrubs and trees to block out any site and sound of the busway from residential areas.		Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route  Support	Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel	Resident of Stapleford	Other (please specify),I will not use it Stapleford/sawston green space.
	Yes		No		Aı	nix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford	The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	Support	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	Open grass with a belt of scrub s along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Towns of the busway as it comes off the bridge. They have the same rights to the road as cars.	Resident of Stapleford, I regularly travel in the area	Commuting to work, Recreation
	Yes		Yes		I like the segregation of cycle & foot traffic, and the diagonal crossing is nice.	w avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	No opinion	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	Grass and scattered trees alongside the River Granta	No opinion Support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Opinion Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinio	Resident of Stapleford, I regularly travel in the area	Commuting to work, Recreation
	Yes		Yes		Or	ly hedgerows either side of the new public transport route	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	No opinion	Bicycle, On foot	Oppose	Strongly oppose	No opinion	ridiculous size of bridge crossing for such a small river area	No opinion Strongly oppose	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path	Resident of Stapleford	Other (please specify),not at all. No b
	No opinior	ion	No opinio	on	Aı	nix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge	No opinion	Bicycle	Support	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	Woodland planting along field No boundaries and the River Granta	No opinion Strongly support	D: Strongly oppose  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub	Resident in South Cambridgeshire	Commuting to work
	Yes		No		No	opinion	Via the DNA path* coming from	The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion No opinion	Join the existing path along Sounts Read Oppose	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Oppose, Travel Hub  The wibsil everter is a wester of measurement of more and should be be abandoned.  The oppose of more and should be be abandoned.	Resident of Great Shelford	
	les		NU		Nu	оринон	Great Shelford		Bicycle, Officor	No Opinion	No opinion			Sawston Road Oppose	to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Oppose  I am opposed to this scheme for two main reasons: 1. All this scheme is doing it providing a connection to a massive car park near Babraham whereas the goal of the scheme	Resident of Great Sheriold	
	Yes		Yes		A	nix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge	The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	No Opinion	Oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  No opinion	No opinion No opinion	should be to get people out of the cards. Instead a light rail link to Linton and then Haverhill would be far better and reduce the overall amount of car traffic and reducing congestion.  2. The scheme intrudes disastrously on the landscape in many places especially the crossings of the River Granta and on I think consideration should be given to improving links to and from the current P&R at Babraham Road. There will be	Resident in Cambridge	Prefer not to say
															many changes in commuting behaviour in coming years, likely shifting to increase cycling and walking. This is more likely from the current P&R than all the way from Babraham. However, the cycle/pedestrian path from the Babraham P&R to Addenbrookes is to narrow to allow significant flows of cyclists and pedestrians. In addition, the cycle route from Babraham to Wandlebury is dangerous, being narrow and running right next to the traffic in places.  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to good questions, please plant more trees!	Resident in South Cambridgeshire	Commuting to work
	Yes		Yes		Ne	w avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge	No opinion	Bicycle, On foot	Support	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Lampus - Path D: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Lampus - Path D: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Lampus - Path D: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Lampus - Path D: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Lampus - Path D: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Lampus - Path D: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Lampus - Path D: Strongly support, Lampus - Path D: Strongly suppo	Resident in Cambridge, I regularly travel in the area	Recreation
	No opinior	ion	No opinio	on	No	opinion	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the north/north east connected to Granham's Road	The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties	Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research  Istrongly object to the route travelling across the Gog Magog Downs and would urge reconsideration of the old railway support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research  C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research  compromise this area of wildlife and natural beauty.	Resident of Stapleford	Commuting to work, Recreation
	Yes		Yes		A	nix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA nath* coming from	No opinion	On foot, Bicycle	Support	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Campus - Path D: Strongly support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to B: Support, Travel H	Resident in Cambridge	Commuting to work, Recreation
	Yes		Yes		Ar	nix of trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	Provide an alternative access from the north from the Cambridge Biomedical Campus area	I do not use the DNA path	Strongly Support	Strongly support	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	Hedgerow planting along field boundaries and grassland Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Onose Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus -	Resident elsewhere, I regularly travel in the area	Commuting to work
	Van		Van		It is a very wide route to have a	nix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from	The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Strongly Support	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	Woodland planting along field Ves	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route  Strongly support	C: Oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path I like the idea of promoting this as a general recreational area, not just a transport route.	Resident in Cambridge	Recreation
	105		165	on	footpath down one side only.		Cambridge						boundaries and the River Granta  Tes  Woodland planting along field		C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub The route cuts through open gentle hills close to Shelford and Stapleford without providing great amenity to village to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel residents (which was why the plans were opposed by village councils). Recognising that you pass by the villages the stops		
	Yes		No opinio	on	If all 2000 car drivers and 350 cyclists travel on the link between	opinion	Across the fields	I think it is not acceptable to suggest only one access in the future. NOT an appropriate outcome.	Bicycle, On foot	Strongly Oppose	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	boundaries and the River Granta No	No opinion Support	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No	Resident of Great Shelford	
	Yes		Yes		Babraham and Addenbrookes, this stop will be hopelessly inadequate. There is no modelling of the number	nix of trees and hedges		Via the Nine Wells Housing Estate. Have you considered the over-use of this facility? Too many people will ruin the site.	Bicycle	Oppose	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	s Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Sament regarding the construction or the operating carbon budget. Over 34 hectares of active farmland taken away for concrete overlay and no indication of offsets. This plan is negative for the environment and for Cambridgeshire.  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel	Resident in Cambridge, I regularly travel in the area	Prefer not to say
	Yes		Yes		A r	nix of trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	No opinion	On foot, Bicycle	Support	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub Buses should be coloured/branded such that they minimise visual impact on the landscape (i.e. green/camouflage rather	Resident in South Cambridgeshire, I regularly travel in the area	e Commuting to work, Recreation
	No opinior	ion	No opinio	on	separation of pedestrians and	nting should be as natural as possible and not stylised. An avenue of trees is suggestive of a grandiose project gerow and trees would be more appropriate and supportive of natural habitats.	oject. A mix of Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford	No opinion	Bicycle	Oppose	No opinion	mixed hedgerow and trees for optimal visual screening and habitat support	Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	No opinion Support	to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Ithan, say, blue).  Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Opinion	Resident of Stapleford	Recreation
	No opinior	ion	No opinio	on		w avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the north/north east connected to Granham's Road	The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties	Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Strongly oppose	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research	Resident of Great Shelford	Other (please specify),I dont proj
	Yes		No		I think a 30mph not 20mph speed limit is appropriate for a wide No straight road here.	opinion	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	No opinion	Bicycle	No Opinion	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	s No opinion No opinion	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus -	Resident of Sawston	Recreation
	Yes		Yes		Have a traffic light directed	w avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from	The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Strongly Support	Strongly support	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	There also needs to be in additional all the above wildlife crossings so so that wildlife can safely navigate No	Join the existing path along Strongly support	Path D: Oppose Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path The shared path needs to be wider to accommodate wider bikes/wheelchairs/pushchairs etc.	Resident in Cambridge, I regularly travel in the area	Recreation, Commuting to worl
	No assista		No control		intersection challenges.		Cambridge  Via the DNA path* coming from	The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Picush On fact	N. Ostrica	Ma anti-tra		across the roads (using small tunnels or flyovers) these are used  Woodland planting along field	Sawston road	C: Oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Oppose Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Pat		
	No opinior	ion	No opinio	on	A	nix of trees and hedges			Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	boundaries and the River Granta	Sawston Road Support	Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D:  Strongly oppose  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B:	Resident of Stapleford, I regularly travel in the area	Recreation
	Yes		Yes		A	nix of trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	No opinion	Bicycle, On foot	Support	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Support	Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub	Resident of Stapleford	Prefer not to say
	Yes		No opinio	on	Happy Ne  11. Bi-directional cycle track: how	w avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge	Provide an alternative access from the north from the Cambridge Biomedical Campus area	On foot	Support	Strongly support	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Strongly support	to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel	Resident in Cambridge	Recreation, Commuting to work
	Yes		Yes		will you ensure that cycles travelling	w avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford	No opinion	Bicycle, On foot	Support	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	S Suitable planting that supports the wildlife in and around the river No opinion	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Strongly support	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support S	Resident of Sawston, I regularly travel in the area	Commuting to work, Recreation
	No opinior	ion	No opinio	on	Aı	nix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford	The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	No Opinion	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	No opinion Support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly object to the proposed route on the following grounds:  Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose  Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose  I strongly object to the proposed route on the following grounds:  1. The proposed mass rapid transit route would adversely affect highway safety; in particular:  1. The proposed mass rapid transit route would adversely affect highway safety; in particular:  1. The proposed mass rapid transit route would adversely affect highway safety; in particular:  2. The proposed mass rapid transit route would adversely affect highway safety; in particular:  2. The proposed mass rapid transit route would adversely affect highway safety; in particular:  2. The proposed mass rapid transit route would adversely affect highway safety; in particular:  2. The proposed mass rapid transit route would adversely affect highway safety; in particular:  3. The proposed mass rapid transit route would adversely affect highway safety; in particular:  4. The proposed mass rapid transit route would adversely affect highway safety; in particular:  4. The proposed mass rapid transit route would adversely affect highway safety; in particular:  4. The proposed mass rapid transit route would adversely affect highway safety; in particular:  5. The proposed mass rapid transit route would adversely affect highway safety; in particular:  6. The proposed mass rapid transit route would adversely affect highway safety; in particular:  6. The proposed mass rapid transit route would adversely affect highway safety; in particular:  7. The proposed mass rapid transit route would adversely affect highway safety; in particular:  8. The proposed mass rapid transit route would adversely affect highway safety; in particular:  9. The proposed mass rapid transit route would adve	Resident of Great Shelford	Recreation
	hair of Coppice Avenue esidents' Association	ion	No opinio	on	No	opinion	Various	No opinion	Other (please specify), Various	No Opinion	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	s No opinion No opinion	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Avenue resident's Association ('CARA') strenuously objects to the proposed route on behalf of the residents of Coppice Avenue, on the following grounds:  1. The proposed mass rapid transit route would adversely affect highway safety and/or the convenience of Coppice Avenue residents as road users. In particular:	Resident of Great Shelford	Other (please specify), Various
	No	Maintain original cycl	cling route No		This guided bus route should be planned along original rail routes or main road route not destroying	opinion	varies	No opinion	Other (please specify),varies	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	strongly oppose the route so planting question is leading to assuming people approve	oppose the route so this is again a leading question that will assume people agree with the bridge	No opinion No opinion	Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research will destroy the green belt and nature when the route could have been constructed along the roads for a service that will been discriminated against as they are unable to access zoom meetings or understand the confusing online open discriminated against with overall leading questionnaires, therefore their voices have not been heard. Postal questionnaires, therefore their voices have not been heard. Postal questionnaires, therefore their voices have not been heard. Postal questionnaires or onfuse many. They have been discriminated against with overall leading questionnaires or onfuse many. They have been discriminated against with overall leading questionnaires or onfuse many. They have been discriminated against with overall leading questionnaires.  This proposal has been negatively continued especially through Covid where many affected residents are elderly and been discriminated against with overall leading questionnaires.  This proposal has been negatively continued especially through Covid where many affected residents are elderly and been discriminated against a	erall Resident of Great Shelford, I regularly travel in the area	Other (please specify),will not be
	No opinior	ion	Yes		green belt	nix of trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local	The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Oppose	Oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	crossing  Woodland planting along the whole area of the bridge in order to screen	Continue the new Active Travel path	Carbingly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C:  Strongly oppose  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C:  Strongly oppose  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C:  Strongly oppose  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C:  Strongly oppose  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C:  Strongly oppose  I lills, as well as the developing environmental nature.  I am concerned that there appears to be only a scant amount of perimeter planting to the route and no real attempt to conceal the busway. The bridge crossings look like something from the design of the M1 in the 1960's and are very backward looking and ugly. I would prefer the bridges to be lighter and have graceful spans and some architectural merit. If		Cambridge but the proposed rout
					The diagram shows widths or laines.  2m footway, 3.5 two-way cycle track, 4.5m northbound vehicle lane,			One of the two current access paths is a public right of way. We should retain the existing Hobson's Conduit track *and* the c	e				the bridge from view from Wandlebury.  There are a surprising number of questions about planting! I really	aurigate the public transport rotte	Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Dearwich B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Path. The whole CSET scheme is estimated to cost £132.3 million: it's really not good enough that aspects of Many people - in particular women, people who are black, Asian or minority ethnic - are less well-represented in statistical paths and the proposal for the proposals for me is the poor quality of the Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Path. The whole CSET scheme is estimated to cost £132.3 million: it's really not good enough that aspects of Many people - in particular women, people who are black, Asian or minority ethnic - are less well-represented in statistic paths and the proposal for me is the poor quality of the Many people - in particular women, people who are black, Asian or minority ethnic - are less well-represented in statistic paths and the proposal for me is the poor quality of the Many people - in particular women, people who are black, Asian or minority ethnic - are less well-represented in statistic paths and the proposal for me is the poor quality of the Many people - in particular women, people who are black, Asian or minority ethnic - are less well-represented in statistic paths and the proposal for me is the poor qu	maintain opportunities for pleasant walks and exercise in the villages on the edge of Cambridge and not just treat the	Campus. A better route along Ba
	Yes		Yes		bit of grass, 6.5m two-way busway, No 3.5m grass, 4.5m southbound vehicle lane, 2m footway. The	opinion	Great Shellord	existing public right of way, as the area must be extremely useful as green space for people who live and/or work near the hospital.	Bicycle	No Opinion	No opinion	No opinion	wouldn't know what's most appropriate for the soil conditions or local wildlife. Perhaps you could ask an environmental expert??	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route  Support	Strongly support, Travel Hub to Sandardam Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support  Travel Hub to Control Park, Rept A: No Origina Travel Hub.  Mu particular objections:  Travel Hub to Control Park, Rept A: No Origina Travel Hub.  Mu particular objections:  Mu p	resident of Sawston, Resident in South Cambridgestine, I regularly travel in the area	work,Where's the education opti getting to sixth form.
	No opinior	ion	No opinio	on	Aı	nix of trees and hedges	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the north/north east connected to Granham's Road	The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Oppose	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	Hedgerow planting along field boundaries and grassland	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Parth B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No	Resident of Great Shelford	Prefer not to say
	No opinior	ion	No opinio	on	I don't think that the guided busway should go to this new interchange. The new guided busway should feed people onto trains at the new	opinion	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford	retain access from DNA path	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion No opinion	No opinion No opinion	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel I strongly dislike (hate) the plan because it avoids the centres of Sawston and Shelford and so whilst it makes life worse in Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Travel Hub to Babraham Research Ca	Resident of Great Shelford	Other (please specify),I cannot would be quicker to walk to the than to walk out to the nearest
	No opinior	ion	Yes		railway station at Sawston.	trees, as subsequently leaves and roots will cause damage and ongoing issues.	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	None, as the stops are not of any use to the residents and the whole scheme needs rethinking to reflect different transport needs following covid	Grass and scattered trees alongside the River Granta	No opinion Strongly oppose	Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path The whole project requires reassessment following changes to transport needs after Covid 19.	ct Resident of Stapleford	Other (please specify), Not at all
	Yec		Yae			nix of trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local	No opinion	Bicycle	Support	Support	transport needs following covid.  No opinion	Hedgerow planting along field Vec	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel to me but for commuters living in nearby villages with very poor public transport we will still have to drive or cycle on Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, dangerous roads to connect. I am particularly thinking about the villages of Hauxton, Harston, Haslingfield & Little Shelford.	I regularly travel in the area, Resident in South	Recreation
	res		res				Permissive path from Hinton Way to		,				boundaries and grassland		Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel	Cambridgeshire	
	Yes		Yes		Move closer to cambridge south station entrance. You may need to	w avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Graham's Road then public footpath to Ninewells	The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	No Opinion	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	boundaries and the River Granta Yes	No opinion Strongly support	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support Travel	Resident of Great Shelford	Recreation
	Yes		Yes		station entrance. You may need to allow for car park exit from Astra zeneca building on the left of FC Avenue so cars can exit car park	nix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  Via the public footpath and	No opinion	Bicycle	No Opinion	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route  Strongly support	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Oppose Campus - Path D: Oppose Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub	Resident in South Cambridgeshire	Other (please specify),occaision
	Yes		No		Aı	nix of trees and hedges	nermissive noth from the	Provide an alternative access from the north from the Cambridge Biomedical Campus area	I do not use the DNA path	Support	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	No opinion Strongly support	to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion	Resident in South Cambridgeshire, Other (please specify),regular walker in the Nine Wells Reserve area	Recreation
	No opinior	ion	No opinio	on	No	opinion	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge	Provide an alternative access from the north from the Cambridge Biomedical Campus area	Bicycle	Strongly Support	Support	No opinion	No	No opinion Oppose	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support	Resident of Great Shelford, Local business owner/employer I regularly travel in the area	yer, Recreation
	Yes		Yes		Ne	w avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford	The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	On foot	No Opinion	Oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research intending to use the transit system. The old railway track should be used from Shelford to Sawston and beyond.	Resident of Stapleford	Other (please specify),Do not
	No opinior	ion	No opinio	on	At	nix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford	The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	On foot, Bicycle	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Support	Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path As a local person [[readcated] Great Shelford) if this is forced through then the route must be screened as much as possible with tree person [seed and shelford]	Resident of Great Shelford, I regularly travel in the area	
	Van		Van		Ar	nix of trees and hedges - need to reduce noise and visual pollution as much as possible! Can this also be don	done on I do not visit the Nine Wells local	-	I do not use the DNA path	Strongly Support	Support		combination of woodland and hedgerow and also think about how	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	C. Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path Think about any animal crossings that would help and plant plenty of trees!	Resident in South Cambridgeshire, I regularly travel in the	e Commuting to work, Recreati
	ies		res		exi	sing routes???	I do not visit the Nine Wells local						crossing.  Grass and scattered trees alongside		support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Oppose, Travel Hub	area	Community to work, Necreality
	Yes		Yes		Ne	w avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	nature reserve		I do not use the DNA path	Support	Strongly support	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route  Support	to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to	Resident elsewhere	Commuting to work
	Yes		No		Ne	w avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	Strongly Support	Strongly support	Open grass with a belt of scrub or mixed species hedge (unmaintained) along boundaries to residences	Hedgerow planting along field boundaries and grassland	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Support	Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel	Resident in South Cambridgeshire, I regularly travel in the area	Prefer not to say
	Yes		Yes		Ne	w avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Great Grenord	No opinion	On foot	Strongly Support	Strongly support	Open grass with a belt of scrub or mixed species hedge (unmaintained) along boundaries to residences	Grass and scattered trees alongside the River Granta	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Strongly support	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support	Resident of Great Shelford	Recreation
	Yes		No		Ar	nix of trees and hedges	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the north/north east connected to Granham's Road	Provide an alternative access from the north from the Cambridge Biomedical Campus area	I do not use the DNA path	Support	Support	Open grass with a belt of scrub or mixed species hedge (unmaintained) along boundaries to residences	Grass and scattered trees alongside the River Granta	No opinion Support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion	Resident of Great Shelford	Recreation
	Yes		Yes		A	nix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford	No opinion	Bicycle	Strongly Support	Strongly support	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Strongly support	Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research	Resident of Sawston	Commuting to work, Recreati
	No opinior	ion			Ar	nix of trees and hedges	north/north east connected to	The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	I do not use the DNA path	Support	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	s No opinion Yes	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Support	Campus - Path D: Oppose Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path	Resident of Sawston, I regularly travel in the area	Recreation
	No opinior		No opinio	on	It is unacceptable to have the cycle paths end in a grey shared space		Granham's Road  I do not visit the Nine Wells local	No opinion	Bicycle		Strongly oppose		Woodland planting along field No opinion	No opinion No opinion	C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub It is shocking and disappointing to see such a scheme proposed. It is very 1970s to promote essentially yet another park to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel and ride. It makes the mistakes of the guided busway to the north of Cambridge, yet this has a bigger negative	Resident in Cambridge	
	No opinior		No opinio		with pedestrians. This will mean cycling takes longer that driving, which is not constent with the local		nature reserve			No Opinion		Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	boundaries and the River Granta		Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  environmental impact directly in the locality, cutting through green land. It also embeds car driving for the decades ahead, by building a park and ride. It is completely the wrong step and must not happen. We need better public transport services on everyone can reduce car usage. This means improving the rail links to villages and bus routes from villages to rail		Other (please specify),I would
ople	Yes		Yes		Or	y hedgerows either side of the new public transport route	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  Via the public footpath and	keeping two access routes is very important	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	keep pedestrians and cyclists segregated generally the scheme is helpful for disabled people  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly  It is unfortunate that this scheme is continuing to solicit detailed design opinions when the fundamental value of this project	Other (please specify),local government officer	Other (please specify),for other (please specify),I would
	No opinior	ion	Yes		Ar	nix of trees and hedges	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the north/north east connected to Granham's Road	The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	On foot, Bicycle	Strongly Oppose	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	No opinion No opinion	oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose  C: Strongly oppose  Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose  Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose	Resident of Stapleford	Other (please specify), I wou other residents of Stapleford are not placed in a position of would be used by any of my
	Yes		Yes		A	nix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford	The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Support	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  Yes	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Strongly support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path could use some of the excess energy generated from the solar panels proposed.  This could use some of the excess energy generated from the solar panels proposed.	Resident in South Cambridgeshire, I regularly travel in the area	e Recreation
	No opinior	ion	No opinio	on	No	opinion	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford	The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	Support	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion	Resident of Stapleford	Recreation, Commuting to we
	Yes		Yes		Or	y hedgerows either side of the new public transport route	I do not visit the Nine Wells local	No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	Support	No opinion	Open grass with a belt of scrub or mixed species hedge (unmaintained) along boundaries to residences	Grass and scattered trees alongside Vec	No opinion No opinion	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion,	Resident in Cambridge, I regularly travel in the area	Recreation
	162		165		Footpaths and cycle ways need to W.	come as many trees as possible but the footpaths must be safe to walk along, even in the dark and therefore	liature reserve					(unmaintained) along boundaries to residences	the River Granta		Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel	Other (please specify), Resident in Great Kneighton development that overlooks Hobson Country park and the	
	Yes		Yes		be separate. Currently inadequate and vey dangerous for pedestrians.	icome as many trees as possible but the footpaths must be safe to walk along, even in the dark and therefore y important. Trees should not make the areas feel unsafe.	Cambridge	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	On foot	Strongly Support	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	is in upinion Yes	No opinion Support	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel interactive map. My rear of the proposed field the bus route is to take. I was advised of the proposed	development that overlooks Hobson Country park and the proposed site of the new station and therefore have a very active and live interest.	y Recreation
			No opinio	on	No	opinion	I do not visit the Nine Wells local	No opinion	Bicycle	Support	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential propertie	s No opinion No opinion	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Strongly support	Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No  be approximately 35 meters away.	Resident of Sawston, Resident of Babraham	Recreation
	No opinior	ion			It would be in the interest of biosecurity and biodiversity to plant		nature reserve								Opinion I am not opposed to the busway but this is far too close to the property and I am opposed to it running so close with the  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to		

	No have to go into the co turn round. Two sing	om turning right. They would campus to find somewhere to yile lanes are in any case that there are other places on	The proposals are a recipe for mayhem at this point. See my previous comment.  No opinio		Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	No opinion	Grass and scattered trees only  Grass and scattered trees alongside the River Granta  Woodland planting along field	No opinion Oppose	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion	It is not sensible to encourage notes of horses, casual strollers and people with mobility scooters to mix with cyclists who would no doubt be in a hurry to get to their destinations. They must be segretated in some way.		and biomodiad dumped did po
	Yes	No opinion	Cycle ways need to be wide enough A mix of t 3m, and lit.	trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	Support		Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta No opinion	Join the existing path along Sawston Road No opinion	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opini		Resident of Stapleford	Recreation
	No opinion	Yes	keep it simple and pedestrian and cycle friendly  A mix of t	trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties No opinion	No opinion No opinion	to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel	der	Resident of Stapleford	Other (please specify),wouldn't
	Yes	Yes	Need to plant as much as possible.  A mix of t	trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford No opinion	Bicycle, On foot	Strongly Support	Strongly support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Hedgerow planting along field boundaries and grassland  There shouldn't be a bridge. The	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Strongly supp	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly t support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel		Resident of Stapleford, I regularly travel in the area	Commuting to work, Recre
	No opinion	No opinion	No opinio	on	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  Provide an alternative access from the north from the Cambridge Biomedical Campus area	Bicycle	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	No opinion entire project is preposterous and must be scrapped. Save the landscape and greenbelt from the diggers!	No opinion No opinion	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel	f Yes, disproportionate against disabled people as the bus stops are not centrally located in each village.	Resident of Great Shelford, I regularly travel in the area	Other (please specify),Whea anywhere near my work ar away from my home!
	Yes	Yes	New aver	nue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Support	No opinion	Hedges and trees so you get high level and low level screening  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus or Dath Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongl		Resident of Stapleford, I regularly travel in the area	Commuting to work, Rec specify),Children would u Cambridge
	No opinion	No opinion	The capacity of this is much too small for likely demand.	trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	The stops are going to be of very limited use. They are well outside of a convenient walking distance for most residents, and they are much too small and poorly served to get to by other means. The bus road to the car park carves unnecessarily through green belt land. I would prefer for the		Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose	past	Resident in Cambridge	Other (please specify), I have no use for me. The since it would disrupt my around the city.
	Yes	Yes	There is not enough greenery. The diagonal crossing looks very dangerous for cyclings and pedestrians.  New aver	nue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Oppose	No opinion	Open grass with a belt of scrub or mixed species hedge (unmaintained) along boundaries to residences  Hedgerow planting along field boundaries and grassland  Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel E at the Union of t	luse People interested in conserving the very special chalk stream and associated ecosystem ed People interested in wildlife	Resident in Cambridge	Other (please specify), safe as a cyclist using t reason to take a bus to
	Yes	Yes	No opinio	on	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	On foot, Bicycle	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	no destructive route blighting the existing landscape at all  STRONGLY OPPOSE DESTRUCTION OF THIS AREA OF IRREPLACEABLE No opinion	No opinion Strongly oppo	Opinion a few years ago.  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path c: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research The impact on the residents of that end of Haverhill Road in particular are being ignored. The congestion that this crossi		Resident of Stapleford	Other (please specify),
	No opinion	No opinion	No opinio	on	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve		Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	COUNTRYTSIDE  Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  No opinion	No opinion No opinion	Campus - Path D: Support will cause will be deleterious to the noise and air quality of the residents, as will the light pollution. The here-to-for peace with regard to the autonomous route planned through Stapleford, I see that despite asking for public opinion, the field is already being ploughed and we can clearly see the planned route, which runs so close to the houses. After asking about the noise issue that may come from the electric buses, no one has come back to us with clear knowledge as to how loud	oful	ng Resident of Stapleford	Prefer not to say
	Yes	Yes	A mix of t	trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	Strongly support	Grass and scattered trees only  Grass and scattered trees alongside Ves	Join the existing path along Support	The winning noise will be. Wouldn't it then be prudent to nave the line away from the noises? The plan was to see how loud it would be, then perhaps consider a way to reduce it. NOT GOOD ENOUGH.  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub		Resident of Sawston, Resident in South Cambridgeshire,	nire, I Commuting to work, R
	No.	No opinion			Via the public footpath and participate out from the				the River Granta  If the scheme is discontinued as it should be none will be the suggestion that this can be	Sawston Road	to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly Research Ca		regularly travel in the area	-
	No None of them	No opinion	A mix of t	rees and hedges	north/north east connected to Granham's Road	On foot, Bicycle	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	necessary disguised is misconceived.	No opinion Strongly oppo	C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel		Resident of Great Shelford	Other (please specify
	Yes	Yes	New aver  This will be a blot on our beautiful landscape here in Cambridge.	nue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  No opinion  All routes to it need to remain.	Bicycle, On foot	Support	Strongly support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  Do not concrete over this natural beautiful space. The lungs of	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route Strongly supp	C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly		Resident in Cambridge, I occasionally travel in the area	
	Yes	Yes	The Magog Down is a stunning place of nature and wildflowers, nesting birds and more.	on	All the above, a quiet tranquil retreat to walk or cycle.  All routes to it need to remain. Do not clip our wings. Your plans are constraining.	Bicycle, On foot	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	destroying nature in the name of progressghastly!  Cambridge are congested enough. Air pollution is terrible.  Lower speeds along the lanes	No opinion Strongly oppo	oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel		Resident in Cambridge, Resident in South Cambridgeshi regularly travel in the area	Other (please specify
	No	No opinion	No opinio	on	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  Current access routes should be retained in their entirety.	Bicycle, On foot	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	I strongly oppose this scheme in its entirety. Therefore the question is otiose.  This bridge crossing is an eyesore. It looks horrendous and will wreck the surrounding landscape.	No opinion Strongly oppo	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose Travel Hub to Ganta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel	te Even able bodied people in Great Shelford and Stapleford will have difficulty accessing the proposed stops, unless they are cyclists or avid walkers. Anyone with a mobility issue will be profoundly affected.	are Resident of Stapleford	Other (please specify scheme.
	No opinion	No opinion	New aver	nue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	Support	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties I disapprove of the bridge crossing No opinion	No opinion Strongly supp	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support  The proposed busway is an environmentally and aesthetically offensive nonsense Campus - Path D: Strongly support		Resident in Cambridge	Prefer not to say
						Bicycle, On foot	Strongly Oppose					The elderly and less-mobile residents of villages such as Shelford and Stapleford who could easily access an enhanced road bus service running through the villages, but not easily travel to access the new busway which runs some way out of the villages.	of Resident of Great Shelford	
	No opinion	No opinion	The layout appears extremely busy and confusing. However I am not familiar with the area.	trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	No Opinion	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path	e up	Resident of Stapleford, I regularly travel in the area	Other (please specify)
	No opinion	No opinion	No opinio	on	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the north/north east connected to  No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	No opinion No opinion	Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Trave	vely	Resident of Stapleford	Other (please specify) Stapleford it would be route. It also seems re Cambridge would trav
	No opinion	No opinion	No opinio	on	Granham's Road  I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose			Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose  Tailway offers a much better long term alternative.  The proposed route of the busway would cause irreparable damage to the countryside through which it would pass. I strongly oppose it.		Resident in Cambridge	as near to the bus stop
	No opinion	No opinion	A mix of t	trees and hedges	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the The track alongside Hobero's Brook Conduit to be retained	On foot					I thought I was being asked for my opinion of this plan. Instead I am asked about the prettifying of an absolutely appallin plan to slice up the countryside for miles, and in particular to destroy the view from Magog Down. The Magog Down was created by people in Cambridge who bought a nominal £5 Gog (I have one) and a dedicated group of individuals in	s	Resident in Cambridge	
	Yes	openior	It would be better to have more separation between		Granham's Road		Sunast	No oninier	Let the affected residents choose.  Grass and scattered trees alongside Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path	Stapleford. This invaluable public good is to be destroyed by a group of planners whose preference for commercial interests has just been strongly criticised by Dr Carney in his Reith Lecture. There is an alternative route which would co I don't welcome the scheme at all. As a Shelford resident I don't think it's going to provide much benefit to any of the communities it cuts past, and will leave a big mark in the landscape.	sst		Other (please specify) needs at all, and both
		Yes	bikes/pedestrians and the road, and A mix of t less segregation between the road and the busway. Both safer and		Great Shelford The track alongside incosons block conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Support	No opinion	the River Granta	aiongside are public adaisport route	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support, Invested Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support I'm concerned you think a 3m unsegregated path is adequate for any increased active travel use, as previous schemes (DNA path) have completely underestimated their popularity in a way which makes them much less safe and pleasant to Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path		Resident of Great Shelford, I regularly travel in the area	ea into town) and leisure directions in a way wi proposed route.
	Yes	Yes	New aver	nue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Strongly Oppose	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  I do not want to see a bridge	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route  Strongly supp	t Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly		Resident in Cambridge	a Ab-
	No opinion	Yes	A mix of t	trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	Oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties crossing over the River Granta near No Staplford	No opinion No opinion	oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Oppose, Travel Hub to	The destruction of the countryside discriminates against all of the people who enjoy the outdoors.	Resident in South Cambridgeshire, I regularly travel in th area	Prefer not to say
	No opinion	No opinion	New aver	nue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	No Opinion	Oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Support	Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support  This proposed route is an abomination. On its own it would despoil the green belt. Worse, it would serve to provide parc	els	Resident in Cambridge, I regularly travel in the area	Other (please specify
			Lead de 10 ann								of land in the green belt for further development. It would not meaningfully serve the villages of Sawston, Stapleford or Shelford since it passes only their extreme northerly edges. It would fill the streets of those villages close to the stops will on-street parking, making local access very difficult. It would reduce accessibility to/from Cambridge by closing Haverhill Road, Hinton Way and Granhams Road up to 16 times/hour.	th	Resident of Stapleford, I regularly travel in the area	
	Yes	No opinion	Just dont build it, use electric buses extremely frequently on existing roads, there is no evidence this project is needed when other more viable, better thought out options	trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  Leave the DNA path access, no need for more.	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	No opinion	No opinion No opinion No opinion	No opinion No opinion	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose	the proposal would affect the mental health of those who currently enjoy the green unnencumbered views. A feeling of space and connection to nature.	Resident of Great Shelford	Prefer not to say
	Yes	Yes	Cycle lanes finish abruptly on	trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Support	Strongly support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Plant trees on banked approaches to bridge crossings	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, t Travel Hub to Babraham Research  Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research  1. Where cycle paths go along existing roads - e.g. Paths A and D connecting the new hub by A11, make sure they are v separated from these fast roads.  2. Conceal the busway as much as possible behind tall planting.	ell	Resident in South Cambridgeshire, I regularly travel in th area	the Commuting to work,
	Yes	No opinion	A mix of t	trees and hedges	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the north/north east connected to Cambrid Road of Shelford Should be via Granham's Road with off-road access between the level crossing and entrance to White Hill Farm.		Strongly Support	No opinion	Open grass with a belt of scrub or mixed species hedge (unmaintained) along boundaries to residences  Grass and scattered trees alongside the River Granta  Yes	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Oppose	Campus - Path D: Support  The Great Shelford Conservation area and rights of way are missing from the interactive map.  The Cambridge Southern Area Action Plan to enhance the ecology and improve public access must be implemented.	The stops along the route behind Stapleford and Great Shelford would be difficult to access by less-abled. This would be a particular problem if public transport from within the villages is reduced in response to the availability of the new mass transit route.		Recreation, Other (ple the quality of recreation
					Granham's Road Faill.						There should be more widespread improvements to off-road public access in the local area in part compensation for the  We strongly oppose the building of a huge roadway through this part of natural Cambridge and the damage it does to the view from the Gog Magog downs.		Resident in Cambridge	Prefer not to say
	Yes	Yes	New aver	nue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties No opinion No opinion	No opinion No opinion	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path		Resident in Cambridge, I regularly travel in the area	Prefer not to say
	No opinion	No opinion	A mix of t		I do not visit the Nine Wells local	I do not use the DNA path	Strongly Support	Strongly support	Open grass with a belt of scrub or mixed species hedge Hedgerow planting along field Yes	Join the existing path along Strongly supp	Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub		Resident of Sawston	Prefer not to say
					Via the public footpath and parmissive path from the				(unmaintained) along boundaries to residences boundaries and grassland	Sawsion rodu	Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose The Gog Magog hill is the only place close to Cambridge where you can have uninterrupted views to the horizon. The			
	No opinion	No opinion	No opinio  Cycle lanes finish on approach to	on	north/north east connected to Granham's Road  Via the public footpath and	I do not use the DNA path	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	No opinion No opinion No opinion	No opinion Support	proposed new busway would destroy that. At present the down is an oasis of countryside even though it is so close to the city. The busway would destroy that atmosphere.  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly		Resident in Cambridge	Prefer not to say
	Yes	Yes	roundabout. Prioritisation needs A mix of t clarifying.  Cycle lanes finish on approach to	rees and hedges	permissive path from the north/north east connected to Granham's Road  Via the public footpath and	Bicycle, On foot	Support	Strongly support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Plant trees on banking at approach to bridge crossing on both sides Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route Strongly supp	C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support	There is not enough cycle stand provision, especially if you want to encourage people to cycle. Can any buses used have	I regularly travel in the area, Resident in South Cambridgeshire	Recreation
	Yes	Yes		nue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	permissive path from the north/north east connected to Granham's Road  Via the public footpath and	Bicycle, On foot	Support	Strongly support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to	There is not enough cycle stand provision, especially if you want to encourage people to cycle. Can any buses used have an integrated system so that you can take you bike with you, this would make them much more user friendly and promote cycling further. Such buses do exist with provision either at the back or front for bikes to be stored and transported.	Resident in South Cambridgeshire, I regularly travel in the area	he Commuting to work,
	Yes	No	Fewer people are using their cars, more people are cycling. Once again priority is given to cars.	on	permissive path from the north/north east connected to Granham's Road stop messing about with access to the nature reserve, hands off.	I do not use the DNA path	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	I'm totally against the creation of these stops yet again you are behind the curve, these road changes and car and bus stops are not necessary.  I hate this it looks dreadful why have all these road changes they are not necessary and really ugly.	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel		Resident in Cambridge, I regularly travel in the area	Other (please specify not required
	Yes	No opinion	<ul> <li>6) Vehicular access - there needs to roundabout to allow access to and from both directions along Francis Crick Avenue.</li> </ul>	nue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly t support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose		Resident in South Cambridgeshire	Commuting to work
	No opinion	No opinion	New aver	nue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose	olds	Resident of Great Shelford	Prefer not to say
	Yes	Yes	The cycle lanes appear to finish on the approach to the roundabout.  Prioritisation needs clarification  A mix of t	trees and hedges	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the north/north east connected to Granham's Road  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	On foot	Support	Strongly support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support	ng	Resident in South Cambridgeshire	Recreation
	Yes	Yes	provision for private cars or taxis	nue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  Provide an alternative access from the north from the Cambridge Biomedical Campus area	Bicycle	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	No opinion Strongly supp	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C:	People who do not own a car (to drive to the A11 P&R) will benefit very little from this scheme. The P&R shuttle service wi compete with local bus services, creating the worst to both worlds: either a frequent service that is inconvenient to access, or a less frequent local bus service.		
	No opinion	No opinion	(which can access Cambridge  No opinio	on				Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Gantan Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose - Path C: Strongly oppose -			Commuting to work
	No opinion	No opinion	No opinio	on				Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Campus - Path D: Strongly support The proposed autoroute joining the Sawston Road harms the historic setting of the highly significant heritage site including Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly along Sawston Road travel at 60mph and I have seen many cars in the field on the other side of the current cycle path. I someone is walking or cycling along the path at the moment a car leaves the road they could be killed!	lf .	Resident of Babraham	Recreation
								Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Campus - Path D: Strongly support  Campus - Path D: Strongly support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel  Libb a Beached Campus - Path P: Strongly support, Travel  Libb a Beached Campus - Path P: Strongly support  Libb a		Resident of Babraham	Recreation
									Woodland planting along field	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Campus - Path D: Strongly support field. You need to work out how to make this stretch much safer.  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel			
								Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties boundaries and the River Granta		t oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel		Resident of Babraham	
	No opinion	No opinion	No opinio		I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties No opinion No opinion	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub		Resident of Babraham	Commuting to work
	No opinion	No opinion	No opinio  The bridge for the busway over the	on .	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties No opinion No	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel  1) Overall opposed to the scheme - on the basis that a route following the A1307 would be more cost effective and much		Resident of Babraham	Prefer not to say
	Yes	Yes	railway line is too crowded. If this	nue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  No opinion  No opinion  For the Hinton Way crossing, woodland planting would fit in  This bridge will certainly be visible	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Garanta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub Referring back to Q15 and the concept of an avenue of trees along some sections of the route. Thinking about the route Referring back to Q15 and the concept of an avenue of trees along some sections of the route. Thinking about the route	ce ce	Resident of Great Shelford	Commuting to work,
	No opinion	No opinion	A mix of t	trees and hedges	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the north/north east connected to Granham's Road  Access via the public footpath and permissive path from Granham's Road should be maintained as a minimum.	Bicycle, On foot	Support	No opinion	For the Hinton Way crossing, woodland planting would fit in this bridge will certainly be visible from the top of Magog Down, around the closest properties.  For the Haverhill Road crossing which will clearly be visible above the ground and the flood plain is estimated to be 235m wide -	No opinion Support	to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel between Magog Down and Stapleford, there were certainly more hedgerows in the fields between the Down and Staplef Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion,  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion,  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion,  old windmill (no longer there) and also part way across the field behind the houses on Haverhill Road running parallel to road - still there on the 1:25000 scale maps (1937-1961) series. Presumably, the fields were often split up by hedges?	ford the the	Resident of Stapleford, Resident in South Cambridgeshir regularly travel in the area	ire, I Recreation
	No opinion	No opinion	No opinio	on	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion	Bicycle	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Hedgerow planting along field boundaries and grassland Yes	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Strongly supp	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Oppose, travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support	ad d	Resident of Babraham	Recreation, Commut
		Yes	New aver	nue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the north/north east connected to Granham's Road  Create a proper network of paths linking Wandlebury, the Gog Magog area and the Nine Wells LNA with bridges over an new busway	y On foot	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	No opinion  Grass and scattered trees alongside the River Granta  Yes	No opinion No opinion	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Onion		Resident in Cambridge	Recreation
	No opinion	No opinion	No opinio	on	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion	Bicycle	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Strongly supp	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Oppose, t Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research  I am disappointed that the route appears to have changed from the previous consultation. Please return it back to the original route.		Resident of Babraham	Recreation
	No opinion	No opinion	No opinio	on	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion	Bicycle	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties boundaries and the River Granta	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Strongly supp	Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granita Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly		Resident of Babraham	Recreation
	Yes	Yes	Cycle lanes finish on approach to roundabout. Prioritisation needs clarifying	trees and hedges	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the north/north east connected to  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Support	Strongly support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties to bridge crossing on both sides  Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path  Where cycle paths go along existing highways e.g. Paths A and D from the Hub, make sure the cycle paths are well separated from these fast roads. Screen busway wherever possible behind tall planting.		Other (please specify),Great Abington Parish Council	Other (please specif
	Yes	Yes	clarifying.		Via the DNA path* coming from  The track alongside Hobero's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Support	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	No opinion Support	Campus - Path D: Strongly support  Granus - Path D: Strongly support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel  Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support,  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C:  Support,  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C:	ald		Other (please specify
	No opinion				Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained  Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained			5, - <u>-</u>	boundaries and the River Granta	эцрин	D: Support  D: Support  Overall the scheme is poor value for scarce money, and in the Shelford/Stapleford area it is clearly a stalking horse for extensive further housing development - there can be no other reasonable conclusion. The stops in that area are a	онен.		
	No opinion	No opinion	No opinio		Via the DNA path't paging from	On foot	No Opinion		Magazia in the second s	Continue the new Astire Transit	significant distance from the current population centres and cannot be of use to most current residents. They damage ou environment through impacts on species, they increase traffic queueing, air pollution and visual pollution - the bridge over the Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel  Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly  1. The proposed route between Sawston and Shelford	The bridge over the A11 that will be part of the Linton Greenway, and that connects the proposed Travel Hub with Little Abington, is currently not accessible to wheelchair users or families with pushchairs. The bridge should be made wider to		Other (please specif
Little Abington Parish Counc	ii Yes	Yes	New aver	nue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	Support	Oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  Weetland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route  Strongly supp	C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research  Campus - Path D: Strongly support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support. Travel  We are surprised that the survey does not contain questions about the busway route between Sawston and Shelford. The  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel	allow more space for users to pass in both directions and the current stairs are steep. Gently sloping ramps up to the bridge would help. Also the path across the field in Little Abington that leads to the bridge is not accessible to wheelchair users or families with pushchairs as it is very uneven.	Resident in South Cambridgeshire	Other (please specif
	Yes	Yes	75.00	nue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained  This will depend on the management plan for the field beside Hobson's Brook Conduit. If access across the field may	Bicycle	Support	Oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub	The bridge over the A11, part of the Linton Greenway is not accessible to wheelchair users and families with pushchairs.	. Resident in South Cambridgeshire	Other (please specify
	No opinion	No opinion	These cormore detawell.  It looks a bit liable to queues and	mments are from [name redacted] - I carry out ecological surveys in the fields around Nine Wells. I will submit a ailed response by email. I am restricting my comments here to the Nine Wells area as I know the other areas let	a Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  This will depend on the management plan for the field beside Hobson's Brook Conduit. If access across the field may conflict with the management objectives, a new access will be needed. But if access across the field is in line with the management objectives, then it may make sense to retain the existing track. I would like to be involved in discussions about this.	Bicycle, On foot	Support	No opinion	No opinion  Hedgerow planting along field boundaries and grassland  No opinion	No opinion No opinion	to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub		Resident in Cambridge	Recreation
	Yes	Yes	It looks a bit liable to queues and difficult for drop offs without using roundabouts. I'm not convinced that adding the shared cycle and foot route across the park instead of	trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  No opinion	Bicycle, On foot	Support	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties No opinion Yes	No opinion Support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub.	Ensure wheelchair access is fit for purpose and safe particularly as regards incline steepness and any bends / space for manoeuvre . Clear Visible signing and tactile surfaces	Resident in Cambridge, I regularly travel in the area, Oth (please specify), Resident in Trumpington Clay farm area	
	No opinion	No opinion	No opinio	on	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	No opinion	No opinion No opinion No	No opinion No opinion	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion	e	Resident of Great Shelford	Other (please specify roads practically next to pour more concrete madness.
	Yes	No opinion	5. There will be serious conflict between commuter-cyclists travelling between Francis Crick Ave and the bridge over the railway -	on	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	No Opinion	No opinion	No opinion No opinion No opinion	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path We will already have a lot of people milling around by the station, can we not needlessly have people queuing for buses		Resident of Great Shelford, I regularly travel in the area	Other (please specify to Cambridge via the ea on most weekends for sometimes use it for
	Yes	No	when a trainload of passengers Provision should be made for operating a through service to	nue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	Support	Strongly support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties boundaries and the River Granta  Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	D: No Opinion the same area?  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel		Resident of Sawston	provides little or no b
			proposed new route and the existing busway i.e. the 'interchange' should					Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  No opinion	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path		Resident of Babraham	Other (please specify
	Yes	Yac	Aminofe	trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	No opinion No opinion No opinion	alongside the public transport route  No opinion  No opinion	C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel travel choices or connecting communities. It appears to be large-scale destruction without solving the problem of traffic Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel travel choices or connecting communities. It appears to be large-scale destruction without solving the problem of traffic Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraha	ble	Resident of Sawston	Recreation, Commul
	Yes				Cambridge			5, 1,110		Join the existing path along	Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  This, especially during construction, will create massive disturbance and loss of habitat to species that the ecology repor have already discovered such as others and bats. The run-off from the construction process is likely to enter the water FIRST, THE PREVIOUSLY OBJECTIONS FOR THE OVERALL ROUTE PROPOSAL FROM BOTH RESIDENTS OF [redacted] STAND, EVEN THOUGH THEY SEEM TO HAVE BEEN IGNORED; SO MUCH FOR CLAIMS OF LISTENING	rts		
	169		I find the whole thing overwhelming	nue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA patht coming from				Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  No opinion  Woodland planting along field	Sawston Road	Our answering this survey does not mean that we no longer object.; we do so very strongly.  I have tried to get answers to Qs such as what legal authority GCP has to have undertaken the preparatory work and spr Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub I do not want this transport carving across greenbelt land. It should be kept for agricultural use only. I worry about the to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel impact on wildlife which cannot be reversed once it has been built. I am against wasting good land in this way when we		Resident of Great Shelford, Resident of Stapleford	·
	No opinion	No	whole area.  Strong support for walking and	trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta Yes	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Strongly supp	t Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel	ay ey	Resident of Stapleford	Other (please specify
	Yes	Yes	cycling priority.  No opinio  But (12) the carriageway lane is far too wide, it doesn't need to be any	on .	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	No opinion	No opinion No opinion Yes	No opinion Strongly supp	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support	d may be cycling, walking, using mobility scooters or any other mode of travel along it.	Resident in Cambridge	Prefer not to say
Parish Councilor Great Shelford	Yes	Yes	This appears to be a workable solution to this important A mix of t intersection	trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	On foot, Bicycle	Strongly Support	Strongly oppose	I am totally opposed to the alignment proposed; it makes no sense to plough through Green Belt land and establish a stop that is in effect on the edge of Gt Shelford  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  Yes	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Strongly supp	C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support  Grand Strong s	II.	Resident of Great Shelford	Prefer not to say
	Yes	Yes	The interface with existing public realm is crucial. The pedestrian and cycle crossings need to be single stage.	nue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the north/north east connected to Granham's Road  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	On foot	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Hedgerow planting along field boundaries and grassland Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	I am very concerned that the A11 travel hub is being built in the wrong place! A revised location south of the proposed position that ties into the A505 and A11 would create a hub that would better serve Granta Park which is the larger of the	ed	Resident elsewhere	Commuting to work, F

am esponding s an ndividual	Y	Yes	Yes	New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the north/north east connected to Granham's Road  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	Support	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	No opinion Support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub Area bounded by Granham Rd/Hinton Way and G1 Shelford village to be planted as woodland walking areas; similarly for to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, stop/start of vehicles at crossings as such pollution will be heaviest there. This would contribute to tree planting as per Gov Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No opinion, stop/start of vehicles at crossings as such pollution will be heaviest there. This would contribute to tree planting as per Gov Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No opinion, stop/start of vehicles at crossings as such pollution will be heaviest there. This would contribute to tree planting as per Gov Pravel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No opinion, stop/start of vehicles at crossings as such pollution will be heaviest there. This would contribute to tree planting as per Gov Pravel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No opinion, stop/start of vehicles at crossings as such pollution will be heaviest there. This would contribute to tree planting as per Gov Pravel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No opinion, stop/start of vehicles at crossings as such pollution will be heaviest there. This would contribute to tree planting as per Gov Pravel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No opinion, stop/start of vehicles at crossings as such pollution will be heaviest there. This would contribute to tree planting as per Gov Pravel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No opinion, stop/start of vehicles at crossings as such pollution will be heaviest there. This would contribute to tree planting as per Gov Pravel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No opinion, stop/start of vehicles at crossings as such pollution will be heaviest there. This would contribute to tree planting as per Gov Pravel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No opinion, stop/start of vehicles at crossing as such pollution will		Resident of Great Shelford, Resident in South Cambridgeshire	Recreation
n ponding behalf of Deal Land	N	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	No opinion	Grass and scattered trees only  Hedgerow planting along field boundaries and grassland  No	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub Land take for environmental mitigation should be reduced to the minimum and be designed around severed areas, in consultation with the affected landowner. Suitable fencing should be included to prevent users from straying off the formation, potentially having been inadvertently encouraged to trespass through the idea of the route being a linear park		Other (please specify), Affected landowner (why is this not option?)	ot an Other (please specify),n/a
oup or ness									The bridge will be 4.5m above the ground and the flood plain is	aiongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham	I have only made comment on the sections of the proposed route which will impact on Magog Down.	opuom;	
alf of The Magog Trust or or ss Cambridge	N	No opinion	No opinion  /e do not disagree with the principle of	No opinion  Our comments are as follows using We support the proposal to retain the existing tree lined avenue and consider this essential to ensure that an imp	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  No opinion	Bicycle	No Opinion	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties estimated to be 235m wide - hence it will have to be banked at each end. Since the overall width will be	No opinion Strongly oppose	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opin		Other (please specify), Chairman, The Magog Trust	Other (please specify), Probably wouldn't use it as there a other more accessible transport means.
nding Medipark Ltd (A JV half of between Countryside	N	No se	egregation, but in order to be able to support the egregation of the pedestrian and cycle path we equest confirmation that it will still permit	the numbering on the plan above:  2. The extent of landscaping and tree planting should be maximised retained.  We are of the view that the tree lined avenue is an essential element of the landscaped Campus environment are retained.	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	No opinion	No opinion No opinion No opinion	No opinion No opinion	to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No			
nding Properties and	N	No opinion	ndscaping to be delivered as part of the  No opinion	as part of these proposals. We are The replacement trees should be of a similar size to the existing trees on Francis Crick Avenue (FCA). We also No opinion	Via the DNA path* coming from	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	No opinion	Why plant hedges if you don't intend to maintain them. It is important for wildlife to create a viable habitat which unmaintained shrub is not. Dominant species take over,	No opinion Strongly oppose	Opinion  2. There is currently a substantial area of ditches, swales, gabions and landscaping along FCA that are proposed to be Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, With regard to picnic tables - they bring litter. Who is going to clear that up when roadside verges are not cleared of litter.		Resident of Stapleford	Other (please specify), I wouldn't use it. Stop at Staplefo at the extremity of the village and there are easier and m convenient ways of travelling to Cambridge, or the static
idual		Le	eave the road as it is currently. No need for	The diagonal crossing for improved Trees are a lovely idea, but who will ensure that their leaves and other seasonal detritus such as blossom are ac	I have in the past visited it from the				lower storeys are lost affected undergrowing flora. Indicate the proportion and a button the landscape of the area. If you were These new bridge crossings of the River Granta will devastate the		Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Avenues of trees. Hedges, with the occasional tree, are currently reflected in the landscape currently rather than a landscape of avenues of trees, except of course at Wimpole or within established woodlands.  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel August 1 C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel August 2 C: No Opin	This proposed new route will negatively impact anyone with a disability that means they can't drive, because all the new		Addenbrooke's.
oonding an vidual	N	No ex	xpensive changes, which will disrupt and cause arbon emissions while they are being carried out.		round the horseback via the permissive paths; and also sometimes via the DNA path.	Bicycle, Horse, On foot	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	I would like to see the existing landscape preserved as it, and this expensive and unnecessary new route scrapped.  existing landscape. The whole route is not necessary and a massive waste of public money.	No opinion Strongly oppose	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel access to Cambrough From this cultication is a lack of loads to train them on. Toelleve that the Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub	bus stops are essentially unreachable unless you have a car, and also it is likely to lead to a further reduction in existing services which do currently go sufficiently near to people's houses.	Resident of Stapleford, Local business owner/employer, I regularly travel in the area	Prefer not to say
oonding on on on vidual	Y	Yes	Yes	A mix of trees and hedges	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the north/north east connected to Granham's Road	I do not use the DNA path	Oppose	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Support	to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No		Resident of Sawston	Other (please specify), I would not use this route becaus not convenient for my home or work.
n ponding	N	No opinion	No opinion		Ordinian 3 Noau				Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field No		Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B:		Resident of Babraham	
on vidual									boundaries and the River Granta		Strongly oppose  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel			
ponding an vidual	Yı	Yes	No opinion	A mix of trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion	Bicycle	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Grass and scattered trees alongside the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route  Strongly support	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support		Resident of Babraham	Commuting to work, Recreation
n ponding an	N	No opinion	No opinion	A mix of trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	Open grass with a belt of scrub or mixed species hedge (unmaintained) along boundaries to residences boundaries and the River Granta  Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route Strongly support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support Hub to Babraham Research Cam		Resident of Babraham	Commuting to work
m sponding behalf of Sustrans		Van	Van	All cycling infrastructure is to be compliant with LTN 1/20 and New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from  The took elegacide Hebero's Brook Conduit to be retained.	Bicycle, On foot	0	Strongly support	Open grass with a belt of scrub	Continue the new Active Travel path alonoside the public transport route	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel  Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly  support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path  on the NCN and other cycle routes which at present are uncoordinated		Resident elsewhere, Other (please specify),Lease holder affected land	
group or sisiness	"	ies	les	provide high quality connectivity between all destinations.	Cambridge  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, Off foot	Oppose	Subligiy support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties along field boundaries and the River Yes Granta	alongside the public transport route	C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support  This is a flagship route for Sustrans and has high usage (circa 1.700 cycle movements a day). Any changes or diversions		Responsible for maintaining NCN11 Key stakeholder	Commuting to work, Recreation
s an dividual	N	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	On foot	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	No opinion Support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion No Opinion		Resident of Stapleford	
am esponding s an	N	No opinion	No opinion	This is a horrible mess.  1. What improvements to existing oycle infrastructure? How can I	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties No opinion Yes	No opinion Oppose	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Str		Resident in South Cambridgeshire, I regularly travel in the area	ne Recreation
am sponding	N	No opinion	No opinion	comment if the improvements aren't  No opinion	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature receive.	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	Strangly appaga	Woodland planting between store and residential proporties  Woodland planting along field  No eninter	Join the existing path along Support	Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose replacements that actually do solve the relevant problems developed in its place.  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C:		Resident of Babraham	Prefer not to say
s an idividual	IV	чо оринон	NO Opinion	No Opinion	nature reserve	Too not use the DNA path	но Ориноп	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta No opinion	Sawston Road Support	Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Babraham travel but be site will not be used by persons visiting Babraham Besearch Campus and is of no benefit to people.		resident di babilanani	FIGURE TO LO Say
esponding s an idividual	N	No opinion	No opinion	New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Strongly support	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel		Resident of Babraham	Other (please specify),Cannot see any reason to use.
am sponding an	Yı	Yes	Yes	A mix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties boundaries and grassland  Yes	Join the existing path along Sawston Road No opinion	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research		Resident of Stapleford	Prefer not to say
dividual um sponding		Van	Ven	New arrange of transmitted of the public transmant agridue and highway.	Via the DNA path* coming from No opinion	Discusto On fact	No Oninina	Ctrongly appear	Woodland planting between stons and residential properties  Grass and scattered trees alongside  Ves	Continue the new Active Travel path	Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel		Resident is Combiden	Description
an	Y	Yes	Yes	New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Cambridge No opinion  Via the public footpath and	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route  Strongly support	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub Although the fly-through video shows a neat route through pristine fields with the odd vehicle here and there it doesn't show		Resident in Cambridge	Recreation
sponding an dividual	N	No opinion	No opinion	New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	permissive path from the north/north east connected to Granham's Road  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	No Opinion	No opinion	Appropriate to discuss this with local residents as they have to live nearby and nature/wild life organisations for their views on what is suitable for the area to promote wild life.  Yes	No opinion Support	to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel the mess and disruption that the construction of this route will cause to what is currently a peaceful and very attractive Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, weep of unspoil countryside on the edge of Cambridge. The video doesn't show what the route will look like with more vehicles nor what it will sound like. How likely is it that there will be no plans to build alongside this route in the future? Once a road is built it opens up access to the land on either side.		Resident in Cambridge	Other (please specify), I would not use it as I would prefe be able to walk along old footpaths and bridleways and of the scenery as it is now
am sponding s an	N	No opinion	No opinion	A mix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	On foot	Oppose	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties the River Granta  Woodland planting between stops and residential properties the River Granta	Join the existing path along Sawston Road No opinion	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub Much of what is proposed is completely unnecessary. It would be far easier simply to add a bus lane to the A1307. The to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel proposed parking areas at Hinton Way and Haverhill Road (Stapleford) are not needed, as there are good public transport Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, links already and they will simply encourage even more traffic on to these roads. A broader vision is needed - how is the		Resident of Great Shelford	Prefer not to say
am espanding					Lide not visit the Nine Wells local				Woodland planting along field	In the existing path along	Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support,			
esponding s an ndividual	N	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties boundaries and the River Granta No	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Strongly support	Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel		Resident of Babraham	Other (please specify),Don't yet know
am esponding is an ndividual	N	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Strongly support	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Support Support Support Strongly Strongly Support Strongly Strongl		Resident of Babraham	Other (please specify),General
am esponding s an	Y	Yes	Yes	A mix of trees and hedges	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the north/north east connected to	I do not use the DNA path	Support	Oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Hedgerow planting along field boundaries and grassland Yes	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub The whole scheme seems to be a future white elephant. What is wrong with using the A1307 which is probably wide enough for most of its length from Addenbrookes to the A11/A505 roundabout to accommodate such a system with some Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, modifications. Priority for electric buses at Addenbrooke's roundabout, and all the other roundabouts and junctions on this	If the Equality Act 2010 is strictly followed then it should not.	Resident of Sawston, I occasionally travel in the area	Other (please specify), Currently we have a good local bu service and I would use this in preference to this scheme
am esponding		Voc		This approach is all wrong. This area is absolutely critical as a	Granham's Road  Via Ninewells or Babraham Road	Biarrel	Ot	2	Woodland planting along field		Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly Indicate the properties of the propert		Resident in Cambridge, Resident in South Cambridgeshir	
esponding as an ndividual	Yı	195	Yes	transport interchange but it has been designed as a massively motor-centric 4-lane layout so there	and the permissive path along the wooded strip.  Provide an alternative access from the north from the Cambridge Biomedical Campus area	DICYCIE	Strongly Support	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties boundaries and the River Granta  Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route  Strongly support	support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub If ell that the guided busway SHOULD NOT BE BUILT AT ALL. It provides no benefit to residents of Shelford and		Resident in Cambridge, Resident in South Cambridgeshir regularly travel in the area	Recreation
esponding as an individual	N	No opinion	No opinion	New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	I do not use the DNA path	Strongly Oppose	Oppose	Grass and scattered trees only No opinion Yes	No opinion Support	to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Stapleford who are not fit enough to walk or cycle (over a mile and up the one hill in the region) to the local stop, and is Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, injurious to our environment in terms of eating into the Green Belt; views; increased traffic on Hinton Way with noise pollution; loss of property value; the problem of being sandwiched between a bus crossing and the train crossing for those of us living on or off Hinton Way.		Resident of Great Shelford	Other (please specify),I would not use it, would use the parand ride instead
am responding as an	Y	Yes	Yes	I would like to see more green landscaping . As with all such schemes there must be arrangements to maintain green	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the north/north east connected to	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties boundaries and the River Granta  Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel   I have not found the "fly through" for Nine Wells in the virtual Consultation Room. I am concerned about the impact on	I can't see any real evidence of effective measures to improve access to recreation aspects such as picnic sites for wheelchair users, people with pushchairs or for people with limited mobility. Realistically they will still to drive to the city centre	Resident in South Cambridgeshire	Recreation, Other (please specify), Trave to Cambtride university facs in the city centre, Recartion ( shopping) in the city centre. As it stands I will not use it for access to
Individual am responding				areas. Too often cyclists and I'm concerned about the safety of traffic crossing the cycling lane to	Granham's Road  I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained				Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Grass and scattered trees alongside  Ves	Continue the new Active Travel path alonoside the public transport route	Path D: Oppose  Abington. I am unlikely to walk to the hub to catch a bus that takes me to the outskirts of Sawston and then walk further to Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly Stapleford are the edges of the village, and unlikely to be convenient. A route aligned with the railway would be much more			Sawston shopping centre
as an individual	Y	res	res	access the station (6,9)  New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway  The proposal information needs to	nature reserve  The track alongside Hooson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	Oppose	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Grass and scattered trees alongside the River Granta  Yes	alongside the public transport route	support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel		Resident in Cambridge, I regularly travel in the area	Recreation
responding as an Individual	Yı	Yes	Yes	provide greater detail on the new junction of the proposed station and in particular how the entrance will facilitate model shift from/to the	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Oppose	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties No opinion No opinion	No opinion	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose  The travel hub is yet again an investment in facilitating personal car transport. The investment should be directed towards public transport.		Resident in Cambridge	Prefer not to say
am Shelford and District responding Bridleways Group, on behalf of representing all a group or Bridleways users, ie	N	No ma	ne segregated arrangement proposed here akes no allowance for horseriders at all, and it ould NOT be at all safe for them to default to Yes sing the road carriageway, with cyclists speeding	We are pleased to see the An avenue of trees would be lovely, and great for the environment, but it must be recognised that they bring with	Many Active arrive at Nine Wells via the Permissive Path through White Hill We believe it is very important to maintain more than one access route to this nature reserve: maintain the track alongside Permissive Path through White Hill Heben's Conduit on well an access from the paths on the other side leading from Crophome Read and Pathscham Read	le d. Bicycle, Horse, On foot	No Opinion	No opinion	We would favour planting to be chosen in order to prioritise maximum benefit for the environment and wildlife, which may vary in each different area. At the same time, as mentioned already, we are concerned that there is a providing access for all, and there	No opinion No opinion	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Resear	This proposed new route will negatively impact those of a more nervous disposition (such as elderly, or those with disabilities who can only move slowly) who may be too scared to cross the new busway, and thus will have their access existing PRoWs around Babraham cut off for them.	to Resident of Stapleford	Recreation
business Walkers, Cyclists  am responding		tw	oways on their inside, and car traffic and buses	any equestrians; the diagonal weeks, which is simply not often enough at the worst times of year. If planting trees or hedgerows or a mixture,	i airii, ticii aitoi a circuit oi aicoc				commitment to maintaining these new plantings as needed, must be a commitment to	Continue the new Active Travel path	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly  Legger the hub operand and hubble of the Percent to Combridge South East Trapport	It will also negatively impact anyone with a disability that means they can't drive, because all the new bus stops are		
as an individual	N	No opinion	Yes lease see Smarter Cambridge Transport's	New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway  Please see Smarter Cambridge	nature reserve	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion		Yes	alongside the public transport route No opinion	oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub		I regularly travel in the area	Recreation
responding as an individual	N	No "W	reponse:  Yes  We are strongly opposed to the proposal to create dual carriageway along Francis Crick Avenue.	Tramsport's comment:  No opinion  We urge GCP to support our proposal for Cambridge South	Across fields from Ninewells/A1309 No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	No opinion No opinion No opinion	No opinion Strongly support	to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel   am opposed to the proposed busway and car park on the grounds that it is entirely incompatible with the future we now Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion No Opinion		Resident in Cambridge	Other (please specify),I have no need for this scheme.
am responding as an	N	Th lik No tal	ne path is too narrow for bidirectional cycling. I te the proper segregation, but space should be ken from the roads. On that note, there is no stification for four lanes of motor transport - the	What improvements? These sort of things need to be front and centre in the scheme.  No opinion  Just an opportunity?	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge No opinion	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C:		Resident in Cambridge, I regularly travel in the area, Loca business owner/employer	cal Commuting to work, Recreation
Individual am responding			urrent traffic flow doesn't require it and all	4. The current cycle access to the	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Planta On fact	0.0004	Otros de conse	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Hedgerow planting along field No	Join the existing path along Support	Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose,		Parished 4 Oireleford	Other (please specify),As it's so far out of the village I
as an Individual	"	ies	165	A mix of trees and hedges	Great Shelford  The track alongside Houson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Support	Strongly oppose	boundaries and grassland	Sawston Road Support	Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support		Resident of Stapleford	wouldn't use it.
responding as an individual	N	No opinion	Yes	New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  Provide an alternative access from the north from the Cambridge Biomedical Campus area	On foot	No Opinion	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta Yes	No opinion Support			Resident in South Cambridgeshire	Recreation
am responding as an	N	No co	ore segregation between the cycle path and the  vad, wider cycle paths and cycle paths should be  ontinuous across junctions. It is no use having  yes  vcle paths terminating where the cyclists need	The cyclepaths should be continuous across the junction [1,9,11,], with their own traffic lights etc. It is no use having a nice	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the north/north east connected to  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	No opinion Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route  No opinion	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Oppose Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D:		Resident in South Cambridgeshire, I regularly travel in the area, Other (please specify),Keen countryside explorer on foot and cycle	
ndividual  am responding			ost protection from the motorists.	cyclepath ending just at the	Granham's Road		Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field  No.		Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Oppose  I contend that this whole proposal is unnecessary and wrongly sited. Other options (e.g. use of old railway track) must be fully explored and seriously considered. The proposals shown here will do vast environmental damage and have a wholly		Resident of Stapleford	
as an individual				The proposal to effectively turn	Via the public footpath and		Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties boundaries and the River Granta No		negative impact upon the lives of those who live along the route.			
responding as an individual	Yı	Yes	Yes	Francis Crick Avenue into a dual carriageway is incredibly regressive No opinion and hostile to pedestrians and cyclists and will impede active travel	permissive path from the north/north east connected to Granham's Road  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route  Support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support Trav	People with mobility impairments will be negatively effected by the creation of a dual carriageway on Francis Crick aven as it is harder to cross, even with the provision of crossing points.	Resident of Great Shelford, I regularly travel in the area	Commuting to work
am responding as an individual	Y	Yes	Yes	A mix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge No opinion	Bicycle	Support	No opinion	Open grass with a belt of scrub or mixed species hedge (unmaintained) along boundaries to residences  No opinion  No opinion	No opinion No opinion	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub and Park & Ride scheme. I would prefer that the money be spent on improving existing cycle, bus & walking routes, without providing even more car parking spaces (at the hub). The location of the hub is not far from Whittlesford Parkway Rail Station, perhaps alternate development could be made here and greater use made of the rail infrastructure.		Resident in Cambridge, Resident of Great Shelford, Local business owner/employer, I regularly travel in the area	al Prefer not to say
am responding	Y	Yes	Yes	A mix of trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	No opinion	Wild flower meadow No	No opinion Oppose	Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion,		Resident in Cambridge	Recreation
ndividual am responding											Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly			
as an ndividual				The junction is very congested and		Bicycle					oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to The plans appear to be promoting the use of private cars - both to get to the travel hub and to continue to travel along the		Resident in Cambridge, I occasionally travel in the area	
responding as an individual	N	No opinion	Yes	still seems to allow private cars when every effort should be made to take away the need for private cars in line with decarbonising	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	Oppose	Oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  The country of the	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Strongly support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Oppose  Hub to Babraham Rese		Resident in Cambridge, I regularly travel in the area	
am esponding as an	Y	Yes	Yes	A mix of trees and hedges	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the morth/north east connected to Conduit and from public footpath and permissive path from the north/north east connected to Granham's Road. There is no reason whatsoever to restrict access to NineWells for the local population.	st Bicycle, On foot	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	There must be woodland planting to minimize all noise pollution so that there is ZERO effect of noise on adjacent residential properties. Such planting must run for a considerable distance either side of residential properties in woodland or "scattered trees" can	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research  Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research  If feel that this entire proposal is a White Elephant.  There is no benefit whatsoever to the residents of Great Shelford / Stapleford from having this busway run straight through the countryside. They will lose the surrounding countryside with the corresponding negative impact on local wildlife and bird life, and they will gain huge light and noise pollution from empty buses running almost 20 hours per day. There is no local	This scheme is of zero benefit to anyone with protected characteristics under the Equality Act 2010.	Resident of Great Shelford, I regularly travel in the area	Other (please specify), I would not use this scheme. Existin park & Ride and the DNA path and the existing train netwo are more than sufficient for Great Shelford and Stapleford residents' needs. There is no local desire or demand for thi
am responding					Granham's Road				order for it to be effective. Non-effective planting will be mitigate against the damage that		Campus - Path D: No Opinion need for this busway and local residents are firmly against it. Many alternatives including running more buses along the Dear GCP		Resident of Stapleford	proposal.
as an andividual am				Pedestrians & cyclists should be							Please reconsider the route of your busway to avoid irreparable damage to our green belt.  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Belt B: Support, Travel Hub to Belt B: Support, Travel Hub to Belt B: Support Travel Hub to B: Support Tra		·	
	Chairman Pampisford Parish ouncil	Yes	Yes	separated. 3.5 metres is not wide enough for 2-way cycle traffic, better to reduce road widths  A mix of trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion	Bicycle	Strongly Support	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Hedgerow planting along field boundaries and grassland Yes	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Support	Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel  Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support  Hub t		Resident in South Cambridgeshire	Recreation, Other (please specify), Cycling for shopping, meetings and appointments.
am responding as an	Y	Yes	Yes	New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	No opinion	No opinion No opinion No opinion	No opinion No opinion	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel I think, whatever is planned, needs to take account of the New Rail Station, and all the benefits THAT will bring - there has Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No it, and accommodation needs to be made for the station, for that reason.		Resident in Cambridge	Recreation
ndividual  am responding	N	No opinion	Vec	A mix of trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local notice groups and the None wells local No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	No Oninion	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties.  Hedgerow planting along field No opinion	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research  Add e-bicycle charging points at the hub. Create access from the A505 directly to the hub.		Resident of Babraham	Prefer not to say
as an ndividual					Via the public footpath and				I don't want any bridge crossings	alongside the public transport route	Campus - Path D: Strongly support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Travel			
responding as an individual	N	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	permissive path from the north/north east connected to Granham's Road  I object to the question; my preference is that there should be multiple access points to Nine Wells nature reserve as there are now.	Other (please specify),I use m routes to visit Nine Wells Natu reserve on foot and bny bike		Strongly oppose	I don't want planting as I don't want the busway - again a biased question based	No opinion Strongly oppose	oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose  dubluos in the post Covid era which is highly likely to see more working from home. At the very least, the evaluation/consultation period should be substantially extended to make a proper assessment of the longer term changes that the pandemic has caused to working practices and travel habits.		Resident of Great Shelford, I regularly travel in the area	Other (please specify),I would not plan to use this scheme
am responding as an ndividual	Y	Yes	Yes	A mix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	Oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Hedgerow planting along field boundaries and grassland Yes	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Oppose, Travel		Resident of Great Shelford	Recreation
I am responding		No opinion	No opinion	Land character & quality survey information is minimal and inadequate overall:  A mix of trees and hedges		Other (please specify),Have r visited yet because of disabili		Strongly oppose	Inadequate survey information of existing trees/ hedgerow shrub  Woodland planting between stops and residential properties species / Age Health Relationship in No	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route.	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Oppose  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose, Landscape character and quality should have disappeared twenty years ago.		Resident of Babraham, I regularly travel in the area, Other (please specify), High quality Landscape Assessment and detailed enclosing design.	er d Prefer not to say
as an Individual	, N		по ориноп	too much land severance in route chosen without adequate l strongly oppose this scheme entirely. The demand for this	Via the public footpath and	mobility issues		محدم وداري	woodlands and Conservation Areas means this question is derisorily	It is the selection and below.	Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus -		detailed ecological design. Disabled provision.	
responding as an individual	N	No opinion	No opinion	busway has fallen away as working lives have changed beyond recognition for the foreseeable	Granham's Road	On foot	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  Woodland planting would seem most sensible in terms of Woodland planting I guess - but you	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Strongly support	oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D. Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C. Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D. Strongly oppose Travel Hub to Garning and the search Travel Hub to Garning and the search Travel Hub to Garning and the search Lamber Strong and the search Indicate the search Strong and the search Strong an		Resident of Great Shelford	Other (please specify),I would refuse to use it
am responding as an individual	N	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the north/north east connected to Granham's Road  I can see no need for there to be only one access route for this nature reserve. Access should be maintained from both Hobsons Brook and the public footpath / permissive pathway. Alternatively, maintain access from Hobsons Brook and the Cambridge Biomedical Campus, although this disadvantages residents of Shelford who live further out of the village than	On foot	Support	No opinion	noise reduction but (as an affected landowner) we also need to understand the impact in terms of light emissions etc. In order to fully protect local properties planting will need to be currently open countryside. I simply	Join the existing path along Sawston Road  No opinion	to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel combination with a congestion charge would surely improve traffic flow into Cambridge and reduce carbon emissions, Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No		Resident of Great Shelford	Other (please specify),I would not use this scheme at all. I can get into Cambridge easily by road, bicycle, on foot or brain.
l am responding as an	v	Yes	Yes	Cycle tracks should be wider - 4.5m A mix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA nath* coming from	Bicycle	Oppose	Strongly oppose	quite extensive.  cannot see that the benefits of this  Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  A combination of the first four options, depending on location.  Yes	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Oppose	Opinion economics of this scheme were far from robust even before the pandemic and associated economic downturn. Any large In general I am not supportive of these proposals. I would be interested to know (1) whether any post-COVID travel Bahraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Bahraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Bahraham Research Campus - Path B: Support Travel Hub to Bahraham Research Campus - Path B: Supp	The proposals will negatively affect those people or groups who do not regularly cycle.	Resident of Stapleford	Recreation, Commuting to work
as an individual					Great Sheirora	·			opuons, depending on location.	Join the existing path plans	to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Oppose  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, residents of Babraham: Have access to several options for travel into Cambridge including the biomedical campus,	Age/Disability/Pregnancy - Many older people, disabled people and pregnant people who live in Sawston, Stapleford, an Shelford will not be able to walk all the way to the bus stops outside of the villages. Some of these people will not have a	d	<u> </u>
responding as an ndividual	N	No opinion	No opinion	New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Join the existing path along Sawston Road Strongly support	Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose Which are more convenient than the new proposed public transport route. These include the number 13 Stagecoach bus (whose bus stop is closer to the village than the new proposed travel hub), the existing cycle path which runs through the Babraham research campus and along Babraham road (which is more direct and thus quicker than cycling along the new	blue badge or access to a car, so will not be able to use the disabled parking spaces provided at the bus stop. For these people it would be much better to have bus stops nearer to their houses. The number 7 Stagecoach bus currently serves	Resident of Babraham, I regularly travel in the area	Other (please specify),I would not use it as existing public transport is more convenient for me.
am responding as an ndividual								Strongly oppose	No opinion				Resident of Babraham	Recreation
am responding as an								Strongly oppose					Resident of Babraham	Recreation
as an individual														
responding as an ndividual								Strongly oppose			Traval High to Croate Bork Bork A Superat Traval Links		Resident of Babraham	Recreation
am responding as an ndividual	Yı	Yes	No	A mix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge No opinion	On foot, Bicycle	Support	Strongly support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties boundaries and the River Granta  Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support		Resident in Cambridge, Resident of Sawston	Commuting to work, Recreation
am esponding	v	Yes	No opinion	New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	via Knightly Avenue No opinion	Bicycle	No Opinion	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel I totally opposed the building of a large car park. Anything which encourages car use should be avoided. You should be Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, trying to link places where people live to places where people work. The "bus" route is to be welcomed, but it should be a	The building of a large car park discriminates against poor people, as they are less likely to have a car. BAME are over- represented amongst the poor, so it is a form of indirect restal discriminate.	Resident in Cambridge	Recreation
					Via the public footpath and permissive path from the				Woodland planting along field		Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel	,		
as all individual	N	No opinion	No opinion	A mix of trees and hedges  The bio-directional cycle track on	permissive path from the north/north east connected to Granham's Road  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	Support	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	No opinion Support	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel  Question 16: Route A is vital in that it is absolutely necessary to have an Active Travel Route that actually physically goes		Resident of Great Shelford	Prefer not to say
am esponding s an	N		Yes	the south east side of the junction ends just marginally past the vehicle New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway exit from Cambridge South station	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford	On foot	Support	Strongly support	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties boundaries and the River Granta  Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route Strongly support	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research  The consultation documents refer to several hundred cycle parking spaces at the travel Hub. this is a must have if we are		Resident in South Cambridgeshire, I regularly travel in the area	ne Recreation
am sponding s an dividual am sponding s an	Y	Yes		then becomes publib realm with  A mix of trees and hedges	Via the public footpath and permissive path from the north/north east connected to	Bicycle	Support	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  No	No opinion Support	Campus - Path D: Support to positively promote Active Travel.  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub If the GCP scheme were genuinely interested in minimizing the environmental damage of this transport scheme, it would to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel have selected the cheapest option proposed in the initial proposals - widening the A1307.  Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion,		Resident of Great Shelford	Prefer not to say
am sponding s an dividual am sponding s an	Yı	Yes  No opinion	No opinion		north/north east connected to Granham's Road				boundaries and the River Granta		Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub  Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub  Istrongly oppose the routing of the guided bus path and stop locations to the east of Shelford and Stapleford. They are of to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel In obenefit to those who live in those locations and are unlikely to be used by them. They are too far to walk to and there is			·
am sesponding ss an ndividual am sesponding ss an ndividual am esponding ss an ndividual am am am dividual am am am dividual am	Yı	Yes	No opinion		Vio the DNA - silve '	On foot	Support	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Although there may be some construction difficulties through Stapleford and Shelford, the use of the disused Haverhill railway route would have much less impact on the environment and stops could be used by residents.		Resident of Great Shelford	Other (please specify), The route is too far from Shelford a Stapleford to be of any use to me. This is a shame.
am esponding s an dividual s an	Y.	No opinion No opinion	No opinion	New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  The track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained					No opinion Support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support			Other (please specify),No, of no use to me as the stops a
am esponding as an ndividual am am esponding as an ndividual am	Y. N	·	·	New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway  No opinion	Via the DNA path* coming from	On foot	No Opinion	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Copposit	Hub to Bahraham Research Campus - Path D. Support		Resident of Great Shelford	too far away. I can use the bus service.
as an individual lam responding andividual lam respondin	Y. N	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  No opinion  Via the DNA path* coming from No opinion		No Opinion Support		Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	обрания.	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Itr is the WRONG ROUTE. Going down the line of the old railway would avoid terrible eco and landscape degradation near			
lam responding as an ndividual lam responding as an ndividual am responding as an ndividual lam responding as an ndividual lam responding as an ndividual lam responding as an ndividual	Y. N	No opinion	No opinion		Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  No opinion  Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  No opinion	On foot Bicycle		Strongly oppose Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta No		Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Hub to Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Hub to Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Hub to Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Hub		Resident of Great Shelford  Resident of Stapleford  Resident of Babraham, I regularly travel in the area, Other	too far away. I can use the bus service.
am responding as an individual lam responding an individual lam responding as an individual lam responding an individual lam r	Y. N	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  No opinion  Via the DNA path* coming from No opinion				Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  No	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support  Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support		Resident of Stapleford	too far away. I can use the bus service.  er  commuting to work
am responding as an am responding as an am responding as an ndividual am	Y. N	No opinion	No opinion  No opinion  Yes	No opinion  A mix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  No opinion  Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  No opinion  I do not visit the Nine Wells local  No opinion	Bicycle	Support	Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field  No	Continue the new Active Travel path Strongly support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Tra		Resident of Stapleford  Resident of Babraham, I regularly travel in the area, Other (please specify),I am part of the Babraham Neighbourhoo Plan Committee, a sub-committee of Babraham Parish	too far away. I can use the bus service.  er  ood  Commuting to work
lam responding as an individual lam responding as an	Y (	No opinion  No opinion  Yes  Yes	No opinion  No opinion  Yes  No opinion  No opinion	No opinion  A mix of trees and hedges  New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway  No opinion	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  No opinion  I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion  No opinion  No opinion	Bicycle  Bicycle  Bicycle	Support Support No Opinion	Strongly oppose  Strongly oppose  No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  No opinion  No opinion  No opinion	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route  No opinion  No opinion  No opinion	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Camp	Stops out of village centres will be less accessible for those without cars and even more so if reduced mobility.	Resident of Stapleford  Resident of Babraham, I regularly travel in the area, Other (please specify),I am part of the Babraham Neighbourhoo Plan Committee, a sub-committee of Babraham Parish Council  Resident in Cambridge	er Commuting to work  Recreation
lam responding as an individual lam lam lam lam lam lam lam lam lam l	Y (	No opinion	No opinion  No opinion  Yes  No opinion	No opinion  A mix of trees and hedges  New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  No opinion  Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  No opinion  I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion	Bicycle	Support Support No Opinion	Strongly oppose Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  No opinion  No opinion  No opinion	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route  Strongly support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park -	Stops out of village centres will be less accessible for those without cars and even more so if reduced mobility.	Resident of Stapleford  Resident of Babraham, I regularly travel in the area, Other (please specify),I am part of the Babraham Neighbourhoo Plan Committee, a sub-committee of Babraham Parish Council	er Commuting to work  Recreation
lam responding as an individual lam responding as an	Y (	No opinion  No opinion  Yes  Yes	No opinion  No opinion  Yes  No opinion  No opinion	No opinion  A mix of trees and hedges  New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway  No opinion	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  No opinion  Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  No opinion  I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion  No opinion  No opinion  No opinion  No opinion	Bicycle  Bicycle  Bicycle	Support Support No Opinion	Strongly oppose  Strongly oppose  No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  No opinion  No opinion  No opinion  Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route  No opinion  No opinion  Strongly support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Travel Hub to Babraham Research Ca	Stops out of village centres will be less accessible for those without cars and even more so if reduced mobility.	Resident of Stapleford  Resident of Babraham, I regularly travel in the area, Other (please specify),I am part of the Babraham Neighbourhoo Plan Committee, a sub-committee of Babraham Parish Council  Resident in Cambridge	too far away. I can use the bus service.  er Commuting to work  Recreation  Other (please specify), I will not use it. Existing travel options
lam responding as an individual lam responding as an individua	Y (	No opinion  No opinion  Yes  Yes	No opinion  No opinion  Yes  No opinion  No opinion	No opinion  A mix of trees and hedges  New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway  No opinion	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  No opinion  I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion  Via the DNA path* coming from No opinion  Via the DNA path* coming from No opinion	Bicycle  Bicycle  I do not use the DNA path	Support Support No Opinion	Strongly oppose  Strongly oppose  No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  No opinion  No opinion  No opinion  Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route  No opinion  No opinion  Strongly support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Tr	Stops out of village centres will be less accessible for those without cars and even more so if reduced mobility.	Resident of Stapleford  Resident of Babraham, I regularly travel in the area, Other (please specify),I am part of the Babraham Neighbourhoo Plan Committee, a sub-committee of Babraham Parish Council  Resident in Cambridge  Resident of Babraham, I regularly travel in the area	er Commuting to work  Recreation  Other (please specify), I will not use it. Existing travel options are much better.  Other (please specify), I do not see myself using it for reasons given
am responding as an individual lam responding	Y (	No opinion  No opinion  Yes  Yes	No opinion  No opinion  Yes  No opinion  No opinion  No opinion  Yes	No opinion  A mix of trees and hedges  New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway  No opinion  New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway  New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  No opinion  I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion  I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion  Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  No opinion  No opinion	Bicycle  Bicycle  I do not use the DNA path  Bicycle  Bicycle	Support  Support  No Opinion  No Opinion  Support	Strongly oppose  Strongly oppose  No opinion  Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  No opinion  No opinion  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  No  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route  No opinion  No opinion  No opinion  Join the existing path along Sawston Road  Strongly support  Strongly support  Strongly support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly op	Stops out of village centres will be less accessible for those without cars and even more so if reduced mobility.	Resident of Stapleford  Resident of Babraham, I regularly travel in the area, Other (please specify),I am part of the Babraham Neighbourhoo Plan Committee, a sub-committee of Babraham Parish Council  Resident in Cambridge  Resident of Babraham, I regularly travel in the area  Resident of Great Shelford  Resident of Babraham, I regularly travel in the area	er Od Commuting to work  Recreation  Other (please specify), I will not use it. Existing travel options are much better.  Other (please specify), I do not see myself using it for reasons given
am responding as an individual am responding an individual am re	Y (	No opinion  No opinion  Yes  Yes	No opinion  No opinion  Yes  No opinion  No opinion	No opinion  A mix of trees and hedges  New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway  No opinion  New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  No opinion  I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion  I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve  No opinion  Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford  No opinion  No opinion	Bicycle  Bicycle  I do not use the DNA path  Bicycle  Bicycle	Support  Support  No Opinion  No Opinion  Support	Strongly oppose  Strongly oppose  No opinion  Strongly oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  No opinion  No opinion  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  Woodland planting between stops and residential properties  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta  No  Woodland planting along field boundaries and the River Granta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route  No opinion  No opinion  No opinion  Strongly support  Strongly support  Join the existing path along Sawston Road  Support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Oppose, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support  Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opin	Stops out of village centres will be less accessible for those without cars and even more so if reduced mobility.	Resident of Stapleford  Resident of Babraham, I regularly travel in the area, Other (please specify),I am part of the Babraham Neighbourhoo Plan Committee, a sub-committee of Babraham Parish Council  Resident in Cambridge  Resident of Babraham, I regularly travel in the area  Resident of Great Shelford	er Odd Commuting to work  Recreation  Other (please specify),I will not use it. Existing travel option are much better.  Other (please specify),I do not see myself using it for reasons given

l am responding as an individual	Yes	Yes	This design seems too focused or motor vehicles and doesn't give enough safe space for cyclists and pedestrians. I echo Carncycle's views.		Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge	ne track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	Support	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential p	woodland planting along fie boundaries and the River Gr		No opinion	Support	to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support	b A focus on safe and segregated cycling and walking is essential in all future planning. Car use has to be discouraged in favour of public transport, cycling and walking.	Resident in Cambridge, I regularly travel in the area	Recreation, Commuting to work
l am responding as an individual	Yes	Yes		New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	By walking through the housing estate No.	o opinion	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	Strongly support	Woodland planting between stops and residential p	operties Woodland planting along fie boundaries and the River Gr	d Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Strongly support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Trave Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support		Resident in Cambridge, I regularly travel in the area	Recreation
l am responding as an individual	No opinion	No opinion		No opinion	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	o opinion	Bicycle	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	Strongly oppose	C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose	All these proposals assume the busway should proceed. I oppose this so landscaping views are irrelevant.	Resident of Stapleford	Prefer not to say
I am responding as an individual	Yes	Yes		New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	o opinion	I do not use the DNA path	Support	Strongly support	Woodland planting between stops and residential p	operties Woodland planting along fie boundaries and the River Gr	d No anta	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Strongly support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Trav Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Support	rel	Resident in South Cambridgeshire	Recreation
l am responding as an individual	Yes	Yes		A mix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford	ne track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Support	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential p	operties Grass and scattered trees at the River Granta	ongside Yes	Join the existing path along Sawston Road	Support	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support	amount of investment and re-routing would improve take up to exiting routes further. In normal (non-covid) times the service is well used and most people are within a short walk to the bus stop.  The bus way stops are a long way (up to a mile) from the majority of the village populations of Shelford, Stapleford and Sawston. The proposed bus way stops have a car 'drop off point' thus promoting the use of the car for a double polluting the ethical thing to do would be to travel along the railway route. also pedestrians and cyclists should be separated from	Resident of Sawston, I occasionally travel in the area	Other (please specify),Do not intend to use. Existing service is appropriate
l am responding as an individual	Yes	Yes		No opinion	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	o opinion	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential p	operties Woodland planting along fie boundaries and the River Gr		Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	No opinion	Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support	busway to protect from falling into the path of the buses (as father from sawston working at Cambridge Assessment was killed by a bus when he lost his balance and fell into the path of a bus). For health reasons, the path should be much more than 2 and a bit meters wide (for air, pandemics, crashes). also for safety from crashing bikes or bikes hitting pedestrians and grass verge is needed for bikes to get out of way if an oncoming bike is going to hit them.  I have serious concerns about this project. In particular the section from Sawston to the Biomedical Campus that cuts		
l am responding as an individual	No opinion	No opinion		A mix of trees and hedges	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	o opinion	Bicycle	No Opinion	No opinion	Woodland planting between stops and residential p	operties Woodland planting along fie boundaries and the River Gr		No opinion	Strongly support	to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Trav Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion	rel through the greenbelt close to the the Gog Magog Down. In summary:  - The Gog Magog Down is a highly valued and unique landscape for local people. Whilst our public transport system needs to be upgraded, laying a wide concrete track in this area should absolutely be a measure of last resort. Local residents were offered no alternative route in earlier stages of this consultation. I am aware that Gt Shelford and Stapleford	Resident of Great Shelford	Recreation
l am responding as an individual	Yes	No		New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford	ne track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	Oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential p	operties Woodland planting along fie boundaries and the River Gr	d No	No opinion	Support		Rd.  Our general concerns are that the busway will destroy a large area of the unique green-belt countryside. This is unnecessary when there is a viable less damaging alternative of using the disused Cambridge-Haverhill Rail track which would be much more practical for the people of Stapleford and Shelford to access.  Bluild appropriate infrastructure, such as travel hubs, to give people everywhere in Greater Cambridge	Resident of Stapleford	Prefer not to say
l am responding as an individual	Yes	No opinion	I welcome the bi-directional weste	A mix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford	ne track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	On foot	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	No opinion	I do not support this route th open countryside an alternal must be found.		No opinion	Strongly oppose	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose	convenient and safe access to public transport services at a fair price to give incentive to use public transport.  Intervene tactically in the road network to prioritise buses  Peak time priority should be given to buses in the city and on all routes entering/exiting places of work.  This proposal would Negatively affect the rural villages of Cambridgeshire	Resident in South Cambridgeshire, I regularly travel in t area	the Prefer not to say
responding as an individual	Yes	Yes	cycle path, but am very concerned about the crossing of cars into the station - this junction doesn't feel fully thought out. Similarly any	d When you say new, presumably all those nice plane trees are getting the chop? what a shame. Basically my request would be to fit as much greenery in as possible, so hedges yes, avenue of trees yes, but long-lived trees like plane / oak should be replanted.	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford	o opinion	Bicycle, On foot	Strongly Oppose	Oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential p	operties No opinion	Yes	No opinion	Strongly support	Opinion	organ and better (and that might mean less at times.).	Resident of Great Shelford, I regularly travel in the area	Other (please specify),Nothing - it doesn't take me to heart of Cambridge or Sawston, the local places I go would continue to cycle to both instead.
responding as an individual	No opinion	No opinion		No opinion	I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	rovide an alternative access from the north from the Cambridge Biomedical Campus area	Bicycle	Support	Support	Open grass with a belt of scrub or mixed species he (unmaintained) along boundaries to residences	dge Grass and scattered trees al the River Granta	ongside Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	No opinion	Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Oppose, Travel Hub		Resident in South Cambridgeshire	Commuting to work, Recreation
responding as an individual	Yes	Yes		A mix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge  Via the public footpath and	ne track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	No Opinion	Oppose	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly oppose, Trave	This is all about planting. We wish to oppose any use of green belt for development for houses or carparks.	Resident in Cambridge	Recreation
responding as an individual		No dual carriageway. Vastly improved junctions for	From the dual carriageway (to giv	re l	permissive path from the	ne track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose		There should be no need as should use the existing railw			Strongly oppose	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub	and the area around the GOGs.	Resident of Stapleford	Prefer not to say
responding as an individual	No	people walking and cycling. Use smart signalling to reduce private motor vehicle access and increase public transport options. Improve the whole medical campus public transport access.	private vehicle their own lane?!!!) to the pedestrian crossing that put people walking in the path of peop cycling, this is truely awful. Your	A mix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Cambridge	o opinion	Bicycle, On foot	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Trav Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion	The money already allocated against this scheme would be far better spent on managing and mitigating the current road system. Vastly improve the greenway projects, develop smart signalling to prioritize a much bigger public transport system, and reduce access via private motor vehicles (including a workplace parking levy). This is cheaper and more effective than a big build project.  As a resident of the Shelfords I see that the problem with the plan is the proposed route of the proposed busway. This is for	Resident in Cambridge, I regularly travel in the area	Prefer not to say
responding as an individual I am Meldreth, Shepreth	No opinion	No opinion	5 & 6. We are concerned about th		Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford	ne track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion		Woodland planting between stops and residential p	operties Woodland planting along fie boundaries and the River Gr		No opinion	No opinion		a number of reasons  1. The location of the proposed Shelford and Stapleford stops is not near where residents live. As such they will not be used by Shelford residents. If the stops were nearer to where people lived they would make more sense be We are concerned that the bus route is not the most sustainable transport option for this corridor, and that rail could	Resident of Stapleford	Prefer not to say
responding on behalf of a group or business  Lam  I am  I am	Yes	Yes	conflict between cyclists, pedestrians and vehicles all accessing the Cambridge South Station site.	No opinion	Responding on behalf of a group No.	o opinion	Other (please specify),Respondi on behalf of a group.	No Opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Trav Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion		Other (please specify), The Community Rail Partnership seeks to promote sustainable, healthy & accessible trav	ntp ravel. Other (please specify),Responding on behalf of a gro
responding as an individual	No opinion								Strongly oppose						Traval Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion Traval Hub to	The way this questionnaire is written presupposes that those completing it are in favour of the busway. I am not in favour		
l am responding as an individual	No opinion	Yes	This whole approach looks	A mix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford	ne track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	No Opinion	No opinion	Open grass with a belt of scrub or mixed species he (unmaintained) along boundaries to residences	dge Grass and scattered trees at the River Granta	ongside No	No opinion	No opinion	to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Travi Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion	rel of the busway/ cycle path from Babraham to Cambridge Biomedical Campus across green belt land.  Environmentally I think there will be a negative impact from noise of the tram particularly when accelerating and	Resident of Stapleford	
l am responding as an individual	No opinion	Yes  Ludicrous. Simply not enough capacity here. There	massively overengineered and prevents an excessive barrier to pedestrian and cycle movements. Francis Crick Avenue should not the This cannot be considered in		I do not visit the Nine Wells local nature reserve	o opinion	I do not use the DNA path	No Opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	Yes	No opinion	No opinion	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Strongly support, Travel	convenient stops in any of the settlements along the route, so it is largely just an expensive bypass of the A1307. There are better ways to improve bus transport along A1307 - namely demand management strategies to reduce the level of private car usage, such as charging schemes and more frequent public transport.  If a segregated public transport corridor is needed in this area, then I think it would be far better to develop a railway to	Resident in Cambridge	
l am responding as an individual	No	is clear conflict between very large numbers of pedestrians exiting the rail station, entering the biomedical campus, and large numbers of cyclists coming past (both difecrions) on a 3.5m track. The	isolation of the station proposal. it simply ridiculous to consult on an interchange with an undefined station plan. The provision for		from the back of the ninewells development & field paths	fould not want a single access route. Needs to be access from A1307, Granhams rd & DNA path	Bicycle	Support	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential p	operties Hedgerow planting along fie boundaries and grassland	d Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Strongly support	Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly support Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No Opinion, Travel Hub	current triest noispot of the rails station cycle parky	Resident in Cambridge, Local business owner/employer regularly travel in the area	oyer, I Commuting to work, Recreation
l am responding as an individual	Yes	No opinion	Too much interaction between the cycle way and pedestrians - this leads to accidents/incidents	New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford	ne track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Support	Oppose	Woodland planting between stops and residential p	operties Woodland planting along fie boundaries and the River Gr		Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Support	to Babraham Research Campus - Path B: No Opinion, Trav- Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion	rel Strongly oppose the route between the bio medical campus and Sawston., The proposed route will destroy the countryside around Shelford and Stapleford. Please run the busway through the used/disused railway line through Shelford / Stapleford	Resident of Stapleford	Commuting to work, Recreation
l am responding as an individual	Yes	Yes		New avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford	ne track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Bicycle, On foot	Support	Strongly oppose	Grass and scattered trees only	Woodland planting along fie boundaries and the River Gr		Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route	Strongly support	Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C:	Shelford/Stapleford - Haverhill Road, Hinton Way, Granhams Road - it will only create significant disruption during construction, it would create traffic once operational and mostly would ruin the greenbelt landscape. I know Shelford and Stapleford parish council have proposed a route that avoids the new bus route cross these 3 arterial roads and I fully support this option and that option does not ruin the greenbelt	Resident of Stapleford	Recreation
l am responding as an individual															Trough High to Coople Park Park A. Curah	Have your calculations for numbers of CAR spaces required changed, given that people no longer commute post Covid 19 ? EVERYTHING has changed: How do your plans reflect the changes ? Please re-consider and don't rush things through just now.  I hate this proposed scheme. I don't understand whose benefit it is for? It is going to cut through large swathes of green	Resident in Cambridge	Recreation Other (places specify) There would be absolutely or
l am responding as an individual I am					Ne	o opinion	I do not use the DNA path	Strongly Oppose	Strongly oppose					Strongly support	oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: Strongly oppose		Resident of Great Shelford, I regularly travel in the area	just increase the length and time of my journey
responding on behalf of Pampisford Estate a group or business	No opinion	No opinion		A mix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Great Shelford	ne track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	On foot	No Opinion	Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential p	operties Hedgerow planting along fie boundaries and grassland	d No	Sawsion Road	Support	Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Oppose, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: Oppose, Travel	The scheme's viability should be questioned for two reasons. Firstly is it sensible to asphalt over and destroy the ecology be and habitats of more green belt agricultural, productive land given the issue of food insecurity and other ecological threats. Secondly given the economic stress laden on the nation and the county and local population by the pandemic, are there not far better ways to employ the resources that this scheme will require to improve the area's growth and productivity?	Local business owner/employer, I regularly travel in the Resident in South Cambridgeshire, Resident of Babraha	earea, prefer not to say
i am responding as an individual I am responding as an individual	Yes	Yes	Traffic lights on the diagonal cross	sinçNew avenue of trees either side of the public transport corridor and highway	Via the DNA path coming from Camt Bo		On foot	Support	Strongly oppose Support	Woodland planting between stops and residential p  Woodland planting between stops and residential p		No ongside Yes	Continue the new Active Travel path alongside the public transport route  Continue the new Active Travel path	Support		b to Sadly, the only footpath route between Lt Abington and Babraham (Lt A FP 3, Babraham FP 6) is affected by the A11 Travel A large proprtion of recreation users in the countrside are senior citizens, among them numbers of the less able. It is		
l am responding as an individual l am responding as an individual l am responding as an individual l am responding as an individual	Yes No No	Yes I feel that the proposed segregation will increase thi Yes Cycle lanes were made, at great expense and at los No Yes	<del>-</del>	A mix of trees and hedges	Via the DNA path* coming from Grea Pr Via the DNA path coming from Camt Pr	track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained rovide an alternative access from the north from the Cambridge Biomedical Campus area rovide an alternative access from the north from the Cambridge Biomedical Campus area track alongside Hobson's Brook Conduit to be retained	Other, by a 6m.p.h mobility scoo Bicycle, On foot, Other: interaction On foot On foot, I am strongly opposed to	on beSupport Stongly Support		Woodland planting between stops and residential p Woodland planting between stops and residential p on the Woodland planting between stops and residential p	operties Woodland planting along fie operties Grass and scattered trees all operties Woodland planting along fie	d bound No ongside Yes d bound No	Join the exisitng path along Sawston Join the exisitng path along Sawston	Support Support Strongly Support	Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: Support, Travel Hub to Travel Hub to Granta Park - Path A: No opinion, Travel Hub	Babraham Research Campus - Path B: Strongly support, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path C: No Opinion, Travel Hub to Babraham Research Campus - Path D: No Opinion  B: The interaction between cyclists and pedestrians needs to be looked at carefully! This is an area of potential accidents  None  It A simple track would be less impact on the attractive chalk downs - the raised bridges are extremely intrusive, urban and ug The Gog Magog trust would be severely affected by this proposal for Do not put the busway across fields at the foot of the magog down: the environmental destruction would be unjustified and probably irretrievable. Far too much farmland is already being destroyed in this region by buiolding on greenspace raises.	Resident in Great Shelford, I regularly travel in the area Resident in Cambridge, Resident in South Cambridgest Resident in Cambridge	ea Recreation