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1 Introduction

This Statement of Community Involvement has been prepared by Mott MacDonald to accompany the Outline Business Case (OBC) for Phase 2 of the Cambridge South East Transport (CSET) project. The project will be referred to as ‘CSET’ throughout the document.

Since inception of the CSET project in 2015 a community and stakeholder engagement process has produced a number of outcomes. This report aims to consolidate all activities to date in a clear, concise document to better understand how community and stakeholder engagement has informed the development of the CSET project.

1.1 Background

The Cambridge South East Transport (CSET) scheme, formerly known as the ‘A1307, Three Campuses to Cambridge’ scheme has considered various measures to improve sustainable transport provision, bus priority, active travel infrastructure and road safety along the A1307 corridor between Cambridge and Haverhill. Initially the project was consulted on as one entity until it was divided into Phase 1 and Phase 2 at the 2018 public consultation.

Phase 1 comprises road safety, walking, cycling and bus priority measures along the A1307 between Haverhill and Cambridge. Phase 2 involves a new public transport route from a new travel hub near the A11/A1307/A505 junctions via Sawston and Shelford to the Cambridge Biomedical Campus. Alongside this new public transport route will be a new path for walkers, cyclists and horse riders.

Work initially began in 2015 when key stakeholders, including politicians and employment site representatives identified transport problems and ideas for potential improvements and links between three of the area's major employment sites: Granta Park, Babraham Research Campus and the Cambridge Biomedical Campus (CBC). Initial concepts were developed, and these were subject to public consultation in the summer of 2016. Further options development followed this public consultation. At the request of the GCP Executive Board, revised scheme options were then presented at a series of workshops with the Local Liaison Forum (LLF).

In 2018 the scheme entered a second period of public consultation, where different route strategies were presented for comment. Following the public consultation, a preferred strategy was presented to the Executive Board and subsequently approved.

Further work was completed on the preferred strategy over the course of 2018 and 2019, which was then presented at a public consultation in the Autumn of 2019. The consultation presented five route options and three travel hub site options and invited comments on these options.

1.2 The Role of Consultation

Consultation and communication with the general public and key stakeholders is an essential element of the planning process for major transport schemes such as the CSET project. It is important that communities are made fully aware of any proposals which may impact upon their local area and are provided with opportunities to discuss any issues and concerns with the project team. It also offers the opportunity to compile direct feedback on proposals.

In addition, consultation with local communities provides an understanding of transport users’ needs and the potential impact a high-quality public transport scheme could have on their homes, land, businesses and travel choices.
2 Public Consultation 2016

2.1 Introduction
An 'Initial Stage' public consultation was undertaken in the summer of 2016 that presented high-level options for the CSET Phase 2 project.

The public consultation was part of the work that identified the constraints and scope of investment requirements that informed the Strategic Outline Business Case (SOBC). For the full consultation report, please see Appendix A.

2.2 Methodology
An initial round of public consultation was undertaken between 16 June and 1 August 2016 to seek feedback on some initial concept options for sustainable transport infrastructure improvements in the A1307 corridor.

Consultation leaflets and a questionnaire were delivered to over 14,000 residential properties and businesses in the A1307 area. The consultation leaflet and further information were also made available online and the online survey was widely publicised. Across the Haverhill to Cambridge area awareness raising activities were carried out including emails to stakeholders, library displays, bus stop posters, leaflets at Park & Ride sites, advertising on bus tickets, buses and social media including Facebook advertising targeting the Cambridge and Haverhill area.

Table 2.1: Summer 2016 Public Consultation Events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 July 2016</td>
<td>Linton Village College, Linton</td>
<td>5pm - 8pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 July 2016</td>
<td>Haverhill Arts Centre, Haverhill</td>
<td>5pm - 8pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 July 2016</td>
<td>Addenbrooke’s Hospital Main Concourse</td>
<td>11am - 2pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 July 2016</td>
<td>Babraham Road Park &amp; Ride, Babraham</td>
<td>5.30pm - 7.30pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 July 2016</td>
<td>Spicers Pavilion, Sawston</td>
<td>5.30pm - 7.30pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 July 2016</td>
<td>St John the Evangelist, Cambridge</td>
<td>5.30pm - 8pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 July 2016</td>
<td>Memorial Hall, Great Shelford</td>
<td>5.30pm - 7.30pm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The consultation presented different options as summarised in Figure 2.1 below. These comprised a series of on-highway and off highway options, particularly for enhancing bus, pedestrian and cycle access along the A1307 corridor. The full consultation leaflet can be viewed in Appendix B.

**Figure 2.1: Options Presented in Summer 2016 Public Consultation**

2.3 Consultation Findings

A total of 1,489 responses were received to the consultation, including 796 paper survey responses and 632 online survey responses, as well as several letters and emails.

The key findings of the consultation were as follows:

- The survey results indicate that there is a very high level of support for the concept options presented in the consultation with 83.3% of respondents indicating that they either supported or strongly supported the concept of bus, cycling and walking improvements on the A1307 and these levels of support were broadly consistent across the various modes of travel.

- There was slightly more support for the on-highway option with a greater number of people who strongly opposed the off-highway option in comparison, and this seemed to be due to environmental concerns, as an off-highway link would be closer to the Nine Wells Nature Reserve.
  - 49.9% supported the ‘On Highway’ option;
  - 44.9% supported the ‘Off Highway’ option; and
  - 16% strongly opposed the ‘Off Highway’ option whereas 8.5% strongly opposed the ‘On Highway’ option.

- The idea of additional Park & Ride capacity was generally well supported with both of the options supported by over 60% of respondents. The option to expand the existing Babraham Road site was marginally more popular (2%) than the idea of creating a new site close to the A11 junction. Hence a Park & Ride study was commissioned to look into the two options in more detail.
  - 62.8% supported Babraham Road Park & Ride Site expansion; and
  - 60.8% supported a new A11 Park & Ride.
● There was a good level of support expressed for improved bus links between Granta Park and Cambridge Biomedical Campus, with more than half of respondents supporting this idea. However, there was a clear preference in favour of making best use of the public highway land extents before using new land as an off-highway route was 10% less popular. Again, those who opposed the off-highway option were generally concerned about the environmental and visual impact on the historic landscape, given the context of the indicative route shown which runs close to the Gog Magog Hills. This contributed to the preference to use the public highway land before purchasing new land for additional bus infrastructure.
  ○ 53.7% of respondents supported or strongly supported the ‘On Highway’ bus link. Whilst
  ○ 43.6% were for the ‘Off Highway’ option; and
  ○ 40.4% of strongly supporting respondents for the ‘Off Highway’ bus link are car users, with
  ○ 19.7% of strongly opposing respondents being bus users.
● Respondents were asked which concepts they thought would provide most benefit to the A1307 corridor. The following were key themes in response to this question:
  ○ Of the two Park & Ride options, the proposed A11 Park & Ride site was mentioned and supported the most, although at times under different names (e.g. “Fourwentways” and “Granta Park”);
  ○ The majority of the cycle and walking link responses did not refer to a specific section, but stated a general preference for ‘Off Highway’ links in the interests of user safety;
  ○ and ‘On Highway’ bus links were preferable, with the Babraham Road Park & Ride to Cambridge Biomedical Campus bus link being favoured more.

2.4 What this meant for the CSET Scheme

● The key findings of the public consultation indicated that users of the A1307 corridor were supportive of sustainable transport improvements to give people more realistic alternative choices of travel modes. However, in view of environmental concerns, there was a distinct preference for solutions to be delivered within the available public highway land where possible before additional land take is considered, especially close to sensitive assets of ecological and historic significance such as the Gog Magog Downs and Nine Wells Nature Reserve.

● The options selected for further development following the consultation were selected on the basis of the following criteria:
  ○ Options selected which received public support from the public consultation.
  ○ Prioritising those options which best meet the key City Deal objectives.
  ○ Securing future economic growth and quality of life, supporting growth, and facilitating easy movement between major employment and residential areas.
  ○ The City Deal will invest in enhancing transport infrastructure that makes it easier for people to travel between places of work, home or study using sustainable modes of transport, reduce congestion and support our city region’s connectivity with regional and national transport networks.
  ○ Considering the objective of the City Deal transport vision: The City Deal will make it easier to travel in, out and around Cambridge and South Cambridgeshire by public transport, cycle or on foot, and reduce and maintain lower traffic levels to ease congestion.
3 Public Consultation 2018

3.1 Introduction

Following public consultation in 2016 and further development of options in 2017, three high-level strategies which would help deliver faster, more reliable and sustainable public transport options for journeys between Cambridge and the area to the south east were taken to public consultation.

This consultation also presented details of 17 shorter-term proposals for bus priority, junction improvements, walking and cycling measures and road safety improvements along the A1307 between Haverhill and Cambridge common to all strategies and to be delivered in Phase 1 of the scheme, with the longer term public transport improvements presented as the three strategies to be delivered in Phase 2. The consultation ran from 9 February 2018 to 9 April 2018.

3.2 Methodology

The consultation adopted a multi-channel approach to promote and seek feedback including through traditional and online paid-for, owned and earned media, community engagement events in key or high footfall locations along the route and through the widespread distribution of around 22,000 consultation leaflets.

Thirteen drop-in events were held across the area to enable people to have their say in person and the opportunity to question transport officers and consultants. Table 3.1 below details the events held.

Table 3.1: 2018 Public Consultation Events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22 February 2018</td>
<td>Babraham Research Campus, Cambridge</td>
<td>11.30am - 2.30pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 February 2018</td>
<td>Cambridge Biomedical Campus (ATC room 4)</td>
<td>1pm - 2.15pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 February 2018</td>
<td>Linton Village Hall, Linton</td>
<td>4pm - 7pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 March 2018</td>
<td>Addenbrooke's Hospital Concourse</td>
<td>11am - 2pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 March 2018</td>
<td>Granta Park Hub, Great Abington</td>
<td>11am - 2pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 March 2018</td>
<td>Stapleford Pavilion, Stapleford</td>
<td>4pm - 7pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 March 2018</td>
<td>Queen Edith’s Community Forum AGM, St John the Evangelist, Cambridge</td>
<td>6pm - 9pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 March 2018</td>
<td>Haverhill (Tesco Superstore), Haverhill</td>
<td>9.30am - 12pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 March 2018</td>
<td>Abington Institute, Great Abington</td>
<td>4pm - 7pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 March 2018</td>
<td>Sawston Village College, Sawston</td>
<td>4pm - 8pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 March 2018</td>
<td>Saint John the Evangelist, Cambridge</td>
<td>4pm - 7pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 March 2018</td>
<td>Babraham Road Park &amp; Ride, Babraham</td>
<td>8am - 9.30am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 March 2018</td>
<td>Haverhill Arts Centre</td>
<td>5pm - 8pm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The consultation strategy for this stage of the Cambridge South East Transport Study proposals was designed by the Greater Cambridge Partnership communications team with input from the County Council’s Research Team. During the design process reference was made to the County Council’s Consultation Guidelines, in particular considering the following points:
The consultation is taking place at a time when proposals are at a formative stage (with a clear link between this consultation round and the previous consultation).

Sufficient information and reasoning are provided to permit an intelligent response from the public to the proposals.

Adequate time given for consideration and response given the significance of the decision being taken.

Plans in place for a full analysis of the results and for these to be presented at a senior level to enable the consultation to be conscientiously taken into account in finalising any proposals.

The Phase 2 consultation options were presented for comment in three strategies, which were as follows:

**Strategy 1**

- A new segregated Mass Rapid Transit route from the A11 via Sawston to the Cambridge Biomedical Campus
- A Park & Ride site near the A11/A505 junction
- Would most likely form part of the Cambridgeshire Autonomous Metro (CAM) proposed by the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Combined Authority (CPCA)
Strategy 2

- A new dedicated public transport link between the existing Babraham Road Park & Ride and the Cambridge Biomedical Campus
- An inbound bus lane from Babraham Research Campus to the Babraham Road Park & Ride site
- A Park & Ride site near the A11/A1307 junction

Strategy 3

- An inbound bus lane along the A1307 from Babraham Research Campus to the Addenbrooke’s Hospital roundabout
- A Park & Ride site near the A11/A1307 junction
3.3 Consultation Findings

A total of 1,785 complete responses to the consultation were recorded. The key findings are as follows:

- The 17 Phase 1 elements that were common to each of the proposed strategies were more supported than opposed. Most were supported by the majority of respondents with the exception of: the signalisation and right-turn ban (except buses) from Linton High Street, the measures to ease bus movements in Linton, the westbound bus lanes on the approach to the B1052 and closing the central reserve at Dean Road crossroads.

- Strategy 1 was the most supported of the three Phase 2 strategies and Strategy 1 had the highest percentage of respondents who felt it would encourage them to switch transport mode away from a car. However nearly as many felt that none of the strategies would encourage modal shift.

- A great number of detailed comments were received. From these it was clear that travel safety in the area was a significant concern for the public, so improvements were felt to be needed. There was debate over which strategy would solve congestion issues quickly and how ‘future-proof’ they would be.

- Responses were also received on behalf of a number of different groups or organisations. All of the responses from these groups were made available to GCP board members in full and were published alongside the results of the public consultation survey.

3.4 Written Representations

129 responses were received regarding the consultation through email; social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter; and at consultation events. The main themes of the responses were:

- Many of these respondents indicated their support for Strategy 1 and felt it would be the best long-term solution to increasing congestion problems in the area.

- Many of these respondents felt that the proposals were a positive step to improve the safety of the route. A few respondents felt that potholes and other road maintenance issues needed to be resolved more quickly as these contributed to problems in the area.

- Some of these respondents were concerned the increase in congestion caused by some of the proposals would increase air pollution.

- Some of these respondents were opposed to Strategy 2, a few of these respondents because of the impact on Nine Wells Nature Reserve, a few of these respondents because they felt it would have little impact on problems in the area.

- Some of these respondents felt that a Park & Ride site needed to be located closer to Haverhill. A few of these respondents felt that the proposed Park & Ride site at Four Went Ways needed to be located in a different place to avoid queues on the A11.

- These respondents felt that more consideration needed to be made to the impact these proposals would have on villages along the route. Some of these respondents were concerned about the impact from Strategy 1.

3.5 What this meant for the CSET Scheme

- Strategy 1 was the Phase 2 solution that had the greatest public support and is the one most strongly aligned to off-highway, segregated, public mass transit options. Further technical and environmental work was needed on Strategy 1.

- The results of the 2018 public consultation were reported to the GCP Executive Board in July 2018, but no decision on a preferred strategy was made pending the outcome of a review of GCP major transport projects to ensure alignment of these projects with the transport objectives of the Cambridgeshire & Peterborough Combined Authority.
The review by the Combined Authority of Greater Cambridge Partnership major projects concluded that Strategy 1 is aligned with Combined Authority transport objectives.

Following the completion of this review, a further report was brought to the GCP Executive Board in October 2018. The Board agreed the adoption of Strategy 1, the off-road strategy, as the preferred strategy for CSET Phase 2 and requested that officers developed detailed proposals for delivery of the scheme.
4 Phase 2 Public Consultation 2019

4.1 Introduction

Between 9 September 2019 and 4 November 2019, a public consultation was held for Phase 2 of the CSET project. The consultation presented travel hub options, proposed stops and shortlisted route alignments for CSET. The consultation followed on from the 2018 public consultation, where route strategy options were presented. The full consultation report is provided in Appendix E and this chapter provides a summary.

The objectives of the consultation were as follows:

● Present scheme options to the widest range of people and representative groups affected by them;

● Provide them with an opportunity to give their views; and

● Give full consideration to the views received in the consultation to aid the politicians reaching a decision on the preferred route and proposed Travel Hub site.

4.2 Methodology

The consultation adopted a multi-channel approach to promote and seek feedback including through traditional and online paid-for, owned and earned media, community engagement events in key or high footfall locations along the route and through the wide-spread distribution of around 13,000 consultation leaflets.

There were 11 drop-in events were held across the area to enable people to have their say in person and the opportunity to question transport officers and consultants. Table 4.1 below details the events held.

Table 4.1: 2019 Public Consultation Events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 September 2019</td>
<td>Babraham Road Park &amp; Ride, Babraham</td>
<td>7.45am - 9am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 September 2019</td>
<td>Cambridge City Centre Bus Stops</td>
<td>4pm - 6pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 September 2019</td>
<td>Trumpington Park &amp; Ride, Trumpington</td>
<td>7.45am - 9am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 October 2019</td>
<td>Addenbrooke’s Hospital, Food Concourse</td>
<td>12.30pm - 2pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 October 2019</td>
<td>Babraham Research Campus Petersfield Lecture Theatre, The Cambridge Building</td>
<td>12pm - 2pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 October 2019</td>
<td>Long Road Sixth Form College, Cambridge</td>
<td>5pm - 7pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 October 2019</td>
<td>Haverhill Arts Centre, Haverhill</td>
<td>5pm - 7pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 October 2019</td>
<td>Granta Park Lecture Room 2, The Granta Centre</td>
<td>12pm - 2pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 October 2019</td>
<td>Stapleford Pavilion, Stapleford</td>
<td>5.30pm - 7.30pm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There were three travel hub options presented for public consultation, as shown in Figure 4.1 below:

**Travel Hub Site A**
- Traffic from the A1307 would have to divert onto the A11/A505 to reach the site
- Road access to the site via an access road from an improved junction on the A505
- Site constrained by high-pressure gas main to the east and the River Granta to the north
- Located close to Granta Park but less convenient for Babraham Research Campus
- Located in Green Belt

**Travel Hub Site B**
- On the direct route into Cambridge from the A1307 and A11
- Road access to the site via a new junction on the A1307
- Site constrained by high-pressure gas main to the west and the River Granta to the south
- Located midway between Granta Park and Babraham Research Campus, with potential for good pedestrian and cycle links between the Travel Hub and both campuses
- Located in Green Belt

**Travel Hub Site C**
- On the direct route for traffic from Haverhill to Cambridge, but traffic approaching from the A11 would have to divert away from Cambridge to reach the site
- Road access to the site by replacing the existing junction between the A1307 and Newmarket Road with a four-arm roundabout
- Located further from Babraham Research Campus and Granta Park and less accessible
- Additional stop near Babraham to allow for easier access to the Babraham Research Campus and Granta Park
- Located outside Green Belt
● Close to Little Abington
● Requires new bridge over the A11, which would be more expensive

4.3 Consultation Findings

A total of 695 consultation questionnaires were received as part of the consultation. Analysis of the geographical spread and the breadth of responses from different groups demonstrated that a sufficiently robust consultation had been delivered. The key findings of the results are as follows:

● Over half of respondents indicated they support the proposals for a scheme to improve public transport in the south-east of Cambridge.

● There was no majority of support for any of the three Travel Hub locations:
  ○ Under half of respondents supported Travel Hub ‘Site B’
  ○ Respondents were less clear on their support for Travel Hub ‘Site A’
  ○ Over two fifths opposed Travel Hub ‘Site C’.

● There was no majority of support for any of the five routes for accessing the Travel Hub sites:
  ○ Respondents were not clear on their support for the ‘Purple route (Site A)’, ‘Pink route (Site B)’, or ‘Brown route (Site B)’
  ○ Respondents were opposed to both ‘Site C’ routes (‘Black’ and ‘Blue’ routes).

● Many detailed comments were received. From these the most debate/concerns were about:
  ○ The negative impact the proposals would have on the environment, due to the use of Green Belt land
  ○ The negative impact the Travel Hub access routes and proposed stop locations would have on congestion of connected roads and villages
  ○ The accessibility of the stop locations
  ○ The suggested possibility of using existing infrastructure (A1307 or former railway alignments) in place of the proposed route.

● Responses were also received on behalf of 36 different groups or organisations. All of the responses from these groups will be made available to board members in full and will be published alongside the results of the public consultation survey.
4.4 Written Representations

108 responses were received regarding the consultation through email; social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter; at events; and letters. The main themes of the responses were:

Use of existing infrastructure

- Most of the respondents who discussed this theme felt that the new public transport route should follow the former Haverhill railway line or be alongside the existing road network
- Most of these respondents felt these routes would have less negative impact on the environment and be more cost effective
- A few of the respondents who discussed this theme felt that the funding for these proposals could be spent on improving existing public transport, increasing routes/service frequency and subsidising the cost of use

Environment

- Respondents who discussed this theme were concerned about the proposals’ impact on wildlife and the environment
- Most of these respondents were concerned about the use of Green Belt land. Some of these respondents were concerned the proposals would attract further development
- Some of these respondents were concerned about the proposals’ proximity to Nine Wells Nature Reserve and Gog Magog Downs

Congestion

- Some of the respondents who discussed this theme were concerned that the proposed stop locations would increase congestion in nearby villages, due to the risk of increased on-street parking and waiting times at the crossing points, which would have a negative impact on local residents
- Some of the respondents who discussed this theme were concerned about the potential Travel Hub sites, as they felt congestion would increase in nearby roads and villages with vehicles attempting to access the sites. Some of these respondents were concerned this would have a negative impact on local residents

Stop locations

- Respondents who discussed this theme felt the proposed stop locations for the new public transport route were too far away from residential centres for users to access and that the paths to them were too narrow/in poor condition for users to walk or cycle on them
- These respondents were also concerned the proposed stop locations would increase congestion in nearby villages, due to the risk of increased on-street parking and waiting times at the crossing points, which would have a negative impact on local residents

Improve public transport

- Some of the respondents who discussed this theme felt that the funding for these proposals could be spent on improving existing public transport, increasing their routes/frequency and subsidising the cost of use
- Some of the respondents who discussed this theme felt that public transport should avoid being powered by fossil fuels and more environmentally friendly measures used

Usage

- Respondents who discussed this theme felt that the proposals would be underutilised, as they would only be useful for those travelling between campuses
- Some of these respondents felt the proposals would not be of benefit to those living in nearby villages
Shared use paths

- Some of the respondents who discussed this theme were concerned about the use of shared use paths as they felt there was a risk of conflict between users. These respondents felt that some form of on path segregation or wider paths were needed to avoid this.
- Some of the respondents who discussed this theme debated about the appropriateness of equestrian access on these paths, with some respondents feeling the routes were needed to improve equestrian access and others concerned about the safety of mixing equestrians with other non-motorised users.

4.5 Landholder Meetings

As part of the 2019 public consultation, private meetings were held with directly affected landholders. All landholders within the scheme boundary were invited to book a private meeting with members of the CSET Project Team. Figure 4.2 below shows the boundary used to identify affected landholders.

Figure 4.2: Scheme Boundary used to Identify Affected Landholders

The landholder meetings took place to ensure those directly affected by the scheme properly understood the impact the proposal could have on their land. This then allowed them to constructively respond to the consultation. It also allowed the project team to better understand the impacts the proposals could have on homes and businesses.
4.6 What this meant for the CSET Scheme

● Meetings with landholders allowed the design team to look at where adjustments to the proposed route alignment might be made to reduce the impact of the scheme on individual landholders.

● Meetings with landholders allowed conversations about potential biodiversity net gain land to commence.

● The consultation period allowed the team to gather meaningful feedback about the options, which has helped inform the development of a preferred option.

● Through having more developed proposals, more detailed conversations could take place with key stakeholders regarding the scheme.
5 The Local Liaison Forum

5.1 Introduction

A Local Liaison Forum (LLF) for the CSET project was formed in January 2017. The forum provides regular dialogue between the project team and members of the local community, ensuring that interested parties are kept informed and can continue to contribute to the scheme development outside of consultation periods.

The LLFs are an informal part of the GCP’s decision making process with the Chair of the LLF providing the GCP Joint Assembly with the group’s views on the project.

In addition to holding LLF meetings, the project team attended a number of local parish council and residents’ association meetings.

5.2 The LLF

The LLF is made up of representatives of parish councils, local district and county councillors as well as representatives from local residents’ associations and other local organisations.

The LLF meets before project relevant GCP Joint Assembly meetings and before other key project milestones. The LLF meetings include presentations given by the project team to provide updates on progress and technical information. This has given the LLF opportunity to respond and offer resolutions to concerns.

In early 2017 the GCP Executive Board recommended further work on options development with the LLF. A total of five workshops were held between April and September 2017. The outcome of these workshops was presented to the GCP Executive Board in late 2017 and recommended for public consultation in 2018.

A further workshop was held in May 2019 to give the LLF an opportunity to input into the proposals presented for the public consultation in the autumn of 2019.

LLF meeting minutes can be found on the project website - https://www.greatercambridge.org.uk/transport/transport-projects/cambridgesoutheast/cambridgesouth-east-llf

Table 5.1 below presents an overview of the LLF meetings that have taken place.

Table 5.1: LLF Meetings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Presentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 February 2017</td>
<td>• Project update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Review of public consultation results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Next steps of the project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 September 2017</td>
<td>• Project update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Overview of LLF Workshops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Overview of Transport Strategies for consultation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Next steps of the project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 February 2018</td>
<td>• Project update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Update on Cambridge Autonomous Metro project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Overview of public consultation arrangements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6 June 2018
- Project update
- Public consultation feedback
- Update on CSET Phase 1

12 September 2018
- Project update
- CPCA Board findings
- Review of support for Phase 2
- Explanation of Benefit Cost Ratio
- Review of project programme

4 June 2019
- Overview of 2018 public consultation results
- Proposals for 2019 public consultation
- Overview of project process
- Review of project programme

5.3 Outcomes
Since the Local Liaison Forum was established in 2017 it has enabled the project to:
- Facilitate open discussions with key stakeholders from the local community.
- Present public consultation strategies and materials for discussion.
- Keep a clear and transparent channel of communication about the project.
- Provide a platform for the local community and elective representatives to share views and suggestions outside of consultation periods.
6 Technical Working Groups

6.1 Introduction

In May 2019, two Technical Working Groups were set up in order to provide a forum for technical stakeholders to contribute to the development of the three key GCP public transport projects, (CSET, Cambourne to Cambridge and Cambridge South West Transport projects). The intention is that other GCP transport schemes will take note of the outcomes from the working groups to support project development.

Table 6.1: Technical Working Groups and Invitees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group Name</th>
<th>Invitees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Landscape, Heritage and Ecology     | ● Mott MacDonald (MM)  
                                 | ● Cambridgeshire County Council                                        |
|                                     | ● Cambridge City Council                                                |
|                                     | ● Cambridge Past, Present and Future (CPPF)                             |
|                                     | ● Environment Agency                                                   |
|                                     | ● Historic England                                                     |
|                                     | ● National Trust                                                       |
|                                     | ● Natural England                                                      |
|                                     | ● Cambridgeshire, Bedfordshire and Northants Wildlife Trust            |
| Non-Motorised Users                 | ● Mott MacDonald (MM)                                                  |
|                                     | ● American Cemetery and Memorial                                       |
|                                     | ● British Horse Society                                                |
|                                     | ● Cam Local Access Forum                                               |
|                                     | ● CamCycle                                                             |
|                                     | ● Cambridgeshire County Council                                        |
|                                     | ● South Cambridgeshire District Council                                |
|                                     | ● Campaign to Protect Rural England (CPRE)                              |
|                                     | ● Ramblers Association                                                 |
|                                     | ● Sport England                                                        |
|                                     | ● Sustrans                                                             |
|                                     | ● The Gardens Trust                                                    |

6.2 Meetings

To date, six Landscape, Heritage and Ecology meetings and seven Non-Motorised Users (NMU) meetings with CSET matters on the agenda have taken place. The groups meet approximately every 4-6 weeks and they are intended to continue through the development of the design and Environmental Impact Assessment of the preferred route. Summaries of the meeting discussions are presented in Tables 6.2 and 6.3 below.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Attendees</th>
<th>Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 16 May 2019        | GCP, MM, Historic England, CPPF, National Trust, Cambridge City Council, Cambridgeshire County Council | • Kick off meeting  
• Terms of Reference for the working group discussed |
| 19 June 2019       | GCP, MM, Historic England, CPPF, National Trust, Cambridge City Council, Cambridgeshire County Council | • Terms of Reference agreed  
• Design principles discussed  
• Biodiversity Net Gain methodology |
• Agreement of design principles  
• Review of opportunities in relation to landscape impacts  
• Land mitigation management |
• Discussion over maintenance strategies for landscape and ecology  
• Discussion about landscape and habitat creation opportunities |
| 17 October 2019    | GCP, MM, Historic England, CPPF, National Trust, Cambridge City Council, Cambridgeshire County Council, Cambridgeshire, Bedfordshire and Northants Wildlife Trust | • Discussion on LDA Green Belt reports  
• Biodiversity Net Gain update |
• Explanation of the impact of the general election purdah period on the projects  
• CamCycle presentation to group |
### Table 6.3: Non-Motorised Users Working Group Meetings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Attendees</th>
<th>Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16 May 2019</td>
<td>GCP, MM, Cambridgeshire County Council, CamCycle, CPPF, Local Access Forum, British Horse Society, Cambridge Ramblers, South Cambridgeshire District Council, Sport England</td>
<td>• Kick off meeting&lt;br&gt;• Terms of Reference for the working group discussed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 June 2019</td>
<td>GCP, MM, Cambridgeshire County Council, CamCycle, CPPF, British Horse Society, Cambridge Ramblers, South Cambridgeshire District Council, Cambridge City Council, Living Sport</td>
<td>• Project updates&lt;br&gt;• Terms of Reference discussed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 July 2019</td>
<td>GCP, MM, Cambridgeshire County Council, CamCycle, CPPF, Local Access Forum, British Horse Society, South Cambridgeshire District Council, Cambridge City Council</td>
<td>• Project updates&lt;br&gt;• Terms of Reference agreed&lt;br&gt;• Review of proposed design principles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 August 2019</td>
<td>GCP, MM, Cambridgeshire County Council, CamCycle, CPPF, Local Access Forum, British Horse Society, The Ramblers Association, Sustrans, CPRE, South Cambridgeshire District Council, Sport England, Living Sport, Skanska</td>
<td>• SharePoint site set up&lt;br&gt;• Project updates&lt;br&gt;• Information gaps discussed, in relation to public rights of ways and permissive paths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 October 2019</td>
<td>GCP, MM, Cambridgeshire County Council, CamCycle, CPPF, Local Access Forum, British Horse Society, The Ramblers Association, Sustrans, CPRE, South Cambridgeshire District Council, Sport England, Living Sport, Skanska</td>
<td>• Discussion on rights of way and where there are gaps to enhance the existing network&lt;br&gt;• Discussion about producing a Design Guide for NMU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 November 2019</td>
<td>GCP, MM, Historic England, CPPF, National Trust, Cambridge City Council, Cambridgeshire County Council, Cambridgeshire, Bedfordshire and Northants Wildlife Trust, British Horse Society, South Cambridgeshire District Council, Local Access Forum, CamCycle, Living Sport, Strutt and Parker, Skanska</td>
<td>Joint LHE and NMU Working Group&lt;br&gt;• Explanation of the impact of the general election purdah period on the projects&lt;br&gt;• CamCycle presentation to group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 March 2020</td>
<td>GCP, MM, Cambridge City Council, Cambridgeshire County Council, British Horse Society, South Cambridgeshire District Council, CamCycle, Living Sport</td>
<td>• Presentation by MM on development of a GCP NMU Policy Framework&lt;br&gt;• Workshop sessions on best practice guidance, key issues and examples of good practice</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7 Joint Projects Working Group

7.1 Introduction
Following the 2019 public consultation a Joint Projects Working Group was established. The purpose of this group was to identify and discuss interfaces between the major transport projects under concurrent development in Cambridge and the surrounding areas. The projects invited to the group are as follows:

- East West Rail
- Cambridge South Station
- Cambridgeshire Autonomous Metro (CAM)
- Cambourne to Cambridge (C2C)
- Cambridge South East Transport (CSET)

7.2 Meetings
The meetings are planned to take place on a quarterly basis, with each meeting being chaired by a different project. To date the group has met twice by teleconference. The meetings are an opportunity for the various projects to discuss successes, issues and share project updates. The meetings are attended by the scheme promoters and their appointed consultants. The group will reconvene once the GCP projects have presented their preferred options at the Executive Board.

Table 7.1: Joint Projects Working Group Meetings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Attendees</th>
<th>Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 21 November 2019   | GCP, MM, East West Rail, Cambridge South Station, CAM, C2C, CSET | • Kick off meeting  
|                    |                                                | • Purpose of meeting discussed  
|                    |                                                | • Individual project updates                   |
| 18 February 2020   | GCP, MM, East West Rail, Cambridge South Station, CAM, C2C, CSET | • Individual project updates                     |
8 Summary

8.1 Engagement and Consultation Overview

Since the inception of the CSET project in 2015, community and stakeholder engagement has taken place using differing methodologies and producing a number of outcomes. The feedback from the consultation and engagement activities outlined in this report has informed and shaped the scheme design and optioneering process, which has led to the recommended preferred option outlined in the OBC.

Stakeholder and public involvement in the process for option appraisal and assessment has always been considered essential. Public and stakeholder involvement has taken place at every major stage in the optioneering process. It has allowed transparency in the development of the emerging major transport scheme and it has given key stakeholders and communities the opportunity to raise any concerns and provide direct feedback on the proposals. The direct community involvement has provided an understanding of transport users’ needs and the impact that a high-quality public transport scheme could have on their homes, land, businesses and travel behaviour.

8.2 Next Steps

The CSET project will be discussed at the GCP Executive Board meeting in June 2020, where the recommended preferred option detailed in the OBC will be presented for consideration.

Following the Executive Board meeting a period of engagement with landholders will take place, to present the preferred option and explain the impact the route might have on their home, land or business.

The project will then enter into the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) engagement period, where more developed design and environmental mitigation will be presented.
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